# THE REMINISCENCES

OF

# SIR HENRY HAWKINS

### BARON BRAMPTON

EDITED BY

RICHARD HARRIS, K.C.

WITH PORTRAITS

IN TWO VOLUMES VOL. I.

LONDON
EDWARD ARNOLD
41 & 43 MADDOX STREET, BOND STREET, W.
1904

[All rights reserved]

### PREFACE

As a preface I wish to say only a very few words namely, that but for the great pressure put upon me I should not have ventured to write, or allowed to be published, any reminiscences of mine, being very conscious that I could not offer to the public any words of my own that would be worth the time it would occupy to read them; but the whole merit of these volumes is due to my very old friend Richard Harris, K.C., who has already shown, by his skill and marvellously attractive composition in reproducing my efforts in the Tichborne case, what interest may be imparted to an otherwise very dry subject. In that work\* he has done me much more than justice, and for this I thank him, with many good wishes for the success of this his new work, and with many thanks to those of the public who may take and feel an interest in such of my imperfect reminiscences as are here recorded.

BRAMPTON.

Harrogate, August 17, 1904.

<sup>\* &#</sup>x27;Illustrations in Advocacy' (fourth edition).

#### EDITOR'S PREFACE

THESE volumes are the outcome of many conversations with Lord Brampton and of innumerable manuscript notes from his pen. I have endeavoured, as far as possible, to present them to the public in such a manner that, although chronological order has not been strictly adhered to, it has been, nevertheless, considering the innumerable events of Lord Brampton's career, carefully observed.

Apocryphal stories are always told of celebrated men, and of no one more than of Sir Henry Hawkins during his career on the Bench and at the Bar; but I think I may venture to say that there is no doubtful story in these volumes, and, further, that there is not one which has ever been told exactly in the same form before. Good stories, like good coin, lose by circulation. If there should be one or two in these reminiscences which have lost their image and superscription by much handling, I hope that the recasting which they have undergone will give them, not only the brightness of the original mint, but a wider circulation than they have ever known.

The distinguishing characteristics by which Lord Brampton's stories may be known I have long been familiar with, and have no hesitation in saying that

CHAPTER	PAGE
XX. APPOINTED QUEEN'S COUNSEL—SITTING AS A COM-	
MISSIONER	172
XXI. ARTHUR A'BECKET-'TAKEN IN' TO CROSS-EXAMINE	
IN CHANCERY—JESSEL'S IDEA OF IT	183
XXII. 'FARMER RYDE' THE PRIZE-FIGHT ON FRIMLEY	
COMMON	190
XXIII. SAM WARREN, THE AUTHOR OF 'TEN THOUSAND A	
YEAR'	202
XXIV. HOW JUDGES USED BIG 'D'S' IN THE BRAVE DAYS	
OF OLD	212
XXV. CLUBS	227
XXVI. THE KNEBWORTH THEATRICAL ENTERTAINMENTS —	
SIR EDWARD BULWER LYTTON—CHARLES DICKENS,	
	235
XXVII. ALDERSON, TOMKINS, AND A FREE COUNTRY	
XXVIII. RACING ACQUAINTANCES, AND A VISIT TO A COUNTRY	250
HARVEST-HOME FESTIVAL AT THE VILLAGE CHURCH	257
XXIX. MR. BARON MARTIN	
XXX. CROCKFORD'S—'HOOKS AND EYES'—DOUGLAS JERROLD	200
XXXI. A CONVERSATION ABOUT PALMER THE POISONER,	270
BETWEEN TWO BETTING MEN	210
XXXII. COMPENSATION—NICE CALCULATIONS IN OLD DAYS—	ort
EXPERTS—LLOYD AND I	277
XXXIII. ELECTION PETITIONS	285
AZZIV. MI CANDIDATORE FOR DARROTATED -	291
AXXII IIII IIIIIIOME OAGU	307
XXXVI. THE TICHBORNE CASE—continued	316

## THE REMINISCENCES OF

## SIR HENRY HAWKINS

(NOW LORD BRAMPTON)

#### CHAPTER I

#### AT BEDFORD SCHOOL

My father was a solicitor at Hitchin, and much esteemed in the county of Hertford. He was also agent for many of the county families, with whom he was in friendly intercourse. My mother was the daughter of the respected Clerk of the Peace for Bedfordshire, a position of good influence, which might be, and is occasionally, of great assistance to a young man commencing his career at the Bar. To me it was of no importance whatever.

My father had a large family, sons and daughters, of whom only two are living. I mention this as an explanation of my early position when straitened circumstances compelled a most rigid economy. During no part of my educational career, either at school or in the Inn of Court to which I belonged, had I anything but a small allowance from my father. My life at home is as little worth telling as that of any other

1

in the same social position, and I pass it by merely stating that, after proper preparation, I was packed off to Bedford School for a few years.

My life there would have been an uninteresting blank but for a little circumstance which will presently be related. It was the custom then at this very excellent foundation to give mainly a classical education, and doubtless I attained a very fair proficiency in my studies. Had I cultivated them, however, with the same assiduity as I did many of my pursuits in after-life, I might have attained some eminence as a professor of the dead languages, and arrived at the dignity of one of the masters of Bedford.

However, if I had any ambition at that time, it was not to become a professor of dead languages, but to see what I could make of my own. It is of no interest to anyone that I had great numbers of peg-tops and marbles, or learnt to be a pretty good swimmer in the Ouse. There was a greater swim prepared for me in after-life, and that is the only reason for my referring to it.

In the year 1830 Bedford School-house occupied the whole of one side of St. Paul's Square, which faced the High Street. From that part of the building you commanded a view of the square and the beautiful country around. The sleepy old bridge spanned the still more sleepy river, over which lay the quiet road leading to the little village of Willshampstead, and it came along through the old square where the school-house was.

It was market-day in Bedford, and there was the usual concourse of buyers and sellers, tramps and country people in their Sunday gear; farmers and

their wives, with itinerant vendors of every saleable and unsaleable article from far and near.

I was in the upper schoolroom with another boy, and, looking out of the window, had an opportunity of watching all that took place for a considerable space. There was a good deal of merriment to divert our attention, for there were clowns and merry-andrews passing along the highroad, with singlestick players, Punch and Judy shows, and other public amusers: Everyone knows that the smallest event in the country will cause a good deal of excitement even if it be so small an occurrence as a runaway horse.

There was, however, no runaway horse to-day; but suddenly a great silence came over the people, and a sullen gloom that made a great despondency in my mind without my knowing why. Public solemnity affects even the youngest of us. At all events, it affected me.

Presently—and deeply is the event impressed on my mind after seventy years of a busy life, full of almost every conceivable event—I saw, emerging from a bystreet that led from Bedford Gaol, and coming along through the square and near the window where I was standing, a common farm cart, drawn by a horse which was led by a labouring man. As I was above the crowd on the first-floor, I could see there was a layer of straw in the cart at the bottom, and above it, tumbled into a rough heap as though carelessly thrown in, a quantity of the same; and I could see also from all the surrounding circumstances, especially the pallid faces of the crowd, that there was something sad about it all. The horse moved slowly along, at almost a snail's pace, while behind walked a poor sad couple with

their heads bowed down, and each with a hand on the tail-board of the cart. They were evidently overwhelmed with grief.

Happily we have no such processions now; even Justice itself has been humanized to some extent, and the law's cruel severity mitigated. The cart contained the rude shell into which had been laid the body of this poor man and woman's only son, a youth of seventeen, hanged that morning at Bedford Gaol for setting fire to a stack of corn!

He was now being conveyed to the village of Willshampstead, six miles from Bedford, there to be laid in the little churchyard where in his childhood he had played. He was the son of very respectable labouring people of Willshampstead; had been misled into committing what was more a boyish freak than a crime, and was hanged. That was all the authorities could do for him, and they did it. This is the remotest and the saddest reminiscence of my life, and the only sad one I mean to relate, if I can avoid it.

But years afterwards, when I became a Judge, this picture, photographed on my mind as it was, gave me many a lesson which I believe was turned to good account on the judicial bench. It was mainly useful in impressing on my mind the great consideration of the surrounding circumstances of every crime, the degree of guilt in the criminal, and the difference in the degrees of the same kind of offence. About this I shall say something hereafter.

I remained at this school until I had acquired all the learning my father thought necessary for my future position, as he intended it to be, and much more than I thought necessary, unless I was to get my living by teaching Latin and Greek.

Whether I liked it or not, I was not even consulted, it not being the fashion in those days to consult school-boys in so important a matter as their future prospects, for what could they know about it? I was articled, therefore, to my worthy uncle, the Clerk of the Peace, and, had I possessed my present experience, should have known that it was a diplomatic move of the most profound policy to enable me, if anything happened to him, to succeed to that important dignity.

But I did not see things in the light of my present experience, and submitted to the articling business with a meekness which said much for the gentleness of my disposition, but nothing for the independence of my judgment.

Had I been ambitious of wealth, there were other offices which my uncle held, to the great satisfaction of the county, as well as his own. These would naturally descend to me, and I should have been in a position of great prominence in the county, with a very respectable income.

But I hated the drudgery of an attorney's office. In six months I saw enough of its documentary evidence to convince me that I hated it from my heart, and that nothing on earth would induce me to become a solicitor. I took good care, meek as I was, to show this determination to my friends. It was my only chance of escape. But while remaining there it was my duty to work, however hateful the task, and I did so.

Even this, to me, most odious business had its advantages in after-life. I attended one morning with my uncle the Petty Sessions of Hertford, where, no doubt,

I was supposed to enlarge my knowledge of sessions practice; it certainly did so, for I knew nothing, and received a lesson, which is not only my earliest recollection, but my first experience in *Advocacy*.

At this Hertford Petty Sessional Division the chairman was a somewhat pompous clergyman, but very devoted to his duties. He was strict in his application of the law when he knew it, but it was fortunate for some delinquents, although unfortunate for others, that he did not always possess sufficient knowledge to act independently of his clerk's opinion, while the clerk's opinion did not always depend upon his knowledge of law.

An impudent vagabond was brought up before this clergyman charged with a violent and unprovoked assault on a man in a public-house. He was said to have gone into the room where the prosecutor was, and to have taken up his jug of ale and appropriated the contents to his own use without the owner's consent. The prosecutor, annoyed at the outrage, rose, and was immediately knocked down by the interloper, and in falling cut his head.

There was to my untutored mind no defence, but the accused was a man of remarkable cunning and not a little ingenuity. He knew the magistrate well, and his special weakness, which was vanity. By this knowledge the man completely outwitted his adversary, and shifted the charge from himself on to the prosecutor's shoulders. The curious thing was he cross-examined the reverend chairman instead of the witness, which I thought a master-stroke of policy, if not advocacy.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;You know this public-house, sir?' he asked.

The reverend gentleman nodded.

'I put it to yourself, sir, as a gentleman, how would you have liked it if another man had come to your house and drunk your beer?'

There was no necessity to give an answer to this question. It answered itself. The reverend gentleman would not have liked it, and, seeing this, the accused continued:

- 'Well, your honour, this here man comes and takes my beer.
  - "Halloa, Jack!" I ses, "no more o' that."
  - "No," he says, "there's no more; it's all gone."
  - "Stop a bit," says I; "that wun't do, nuther."
- "That wun't do?" he says. "Wool that do?" and he ups with the jug and hits me a smack in the mouth, and down I goes clean on the floor; he then falls atop of me and right on the pot he held in his hand, which broke with his fall, bein a earthenware jug, and cuts his head, and "Sarve him right," I hopes your honour 'll say; and the proof of which statement is, sir, that there's the cut o' that jug on his forehead plainly wisible for anybody to see at this present moment. Now, sir, what next? for there's summat else.
- "Jack," says I, "I'll summons you for this assault."
- "Yes," he says, "and so 'll I; I'll have ee afore his Worship Mr. Knox."
- "Afore his Worship Mr. Knox?" says I. "And why not afore his Worship the Rev. Mr. Hull? He's the gentleman for my money—a real gentleman as 'll hear reason, and do justice atween man and man."
  - " What!" says Jack, with a oath that I ain't going

to repeat afore a clergyman—"what!" he says, "ad——d old dromedary like that!"

"Dromedary, sir," meaning your worship! Did anybody ever hear such wile words against a clergyman, let alone a magistrate, sir? And he then has the cheek to come here and ask you to believe him. "Old dromedary!" says he—"a d——d old dromedary."

Mr. Hull, the reverend chairman, was naturally very indignant, not that he minded on his own account, as he said—that was of no consequence—but a man who could use such foul language was not to be believed on his oath. He therefore dismissed the summons, and ordered the prosecutor to pay the costs.

I think both my father and uncle still nursed the idea that I was to become the good old-fashioned county attorney, for they perpetually rang in my ears the praises of 'our Bench' and 'our chairman,' our Bench being by far the biggest thing in Hertfordshire, except when a couple of notables came down to contest the heavy-weight championship or some other noble prize.

For myself, I can truly say I had no ambition at this time beyond earning my bread, for I pretty well knew I had to trust entirely to my own exertions. The fortunate have many friends, and it is just the fortunate who are best without them. I had none, and desired none, if they were to advise me against my inclinations. My term being now expired, for I loyally pursued my studies to the bitter end, my mind was made up, ambition or no ambition, for the Bar or the Stage.

Like most young men, I loved acting, and quite

believed I would succeed. My passion for the stage was encouraged by an old schoolfellow of my father's when he was at Rugby, for whom I had, as a boy, a great admiration. I forget whether in after-life I retained it, for we drifted apart, and our divergent ways continued their course without our meeting again.

Any worse decision, so far as my friends were concerned, could not be conceived. They both remonstrated solemnly, and were deeply touched with what they saw was my impending ruin, especially the ruin of their hopes. In vain, however, did they attempt to persuade me; my mind was as fixed as the mind of two-and-twenty can be. Having warned me in terms of severity, they now addressed me in the language of affection, and asked how I could be so headstrong and foolish as to attempt the Bar, at which I could only succeed after working about twenty years as a special pleader.

I have thought since I must have been mistaken in the number of years, but it was not material; any number of years would have had the same meaning to me at that time.

They next set before me, as a terrible warning, my uncle, another brother of my father's, who had gone to the Bar, and I will not say never had any practice, for I believe he practised a good deal on the Norfolk Broads, and once had a brief at sessions concerning the irremovability of a pauper, which he conducted much to the satisfaction of the pauper, although I believe the solicitor never gave him another brief.

However, our family trio could not go on for ever quarrelling, and at last they made a compromise with me, much to my satisfaction. My father undertook to

allow me a hundred a year for five years, and after that time it was to cease automatically, whether I sank or swam, with this solemn proviso, however, for the soothing of his conscience: that if I sank my fate was to be upon my own head! I agreed, also, to that part of the business, and accepting the terms, started for London.

#### CHAPTER II

#### AT MY UNCLE'S OFFICE

I OUGHT to mention, in speaking of my ancestors, that I had a very worthy godfather who was half-brother to my father. He was connected with a family of great respectability at Royston, in Cambridgeshire, and inherited from them a moderate-sized landed estate A portion of this property was a little farm situate at *Brampton*, in Huntingdonshire, from which village I took the title I now enjoy.

The farm was left, however, to my aunt for life, who lived to a good old age, as most life-tenants do whom you expect to succeed, and I got nothing until it was of no use to me. When I came into possession I was making a very fair income at the Bar, and the probability is my aunt did me, unconsciously, the greatest kindness she could in keeping me out of it so long.

So much for my ancestors. About the rest of them I know nothing, except an anecdote or two.

There was one more event in my boyhood which I will mention, because it is historic. I assisted my father, on my little pony, in proclaiming William IV. on his accession to the throne, and I mention it with the more pride because, having been created a Peer of

the Realm by her late gracious Majesty Queen Victoria, who was pleased to allow me the title of Brampton, I was qualified to assist as a member of the Privy Council at the accession of his present most gracious Majesty, and had the honour to hear him announce himself as King Edward of England by the title of Edward the Seventh!

Arrived in London, full of good advice and abundance of warnings as to the fate that awaited me, I entered as a pupil the chambers of a famous special pleader of that time whose name was Frederick Thompson. This was in the year 1841.

I have the right to say I worked very hard there for several months, and studied with all my might; nor was the study distasteful. I was learning something which would be useful to me in after-life. Moreover, being endowed with pluck and energy, I wanted to show that my uncles—for the godfather warned me as well—and my father were false prophets. So I gave myself up entirely to the acquisition of knowledge, this being absolutely necessary if I was to make anything of my future career. 'Sink or swim,' my father said, was the alternative, so I was resolved to keep my head above water if possible.

After being at Thompson's my allotted period, I next went to Mr. George Butt, a very able and learned man, who afterwards became a Queen's Counsel, but never an advocate. I acquired while with him a good deal of knowledge that was invaluable, became his favourite pupil, and was after a time entrusted with papers of great responsibility, so that in time it came to pass that Mr. Butt would send

off my opinions without correction.

These are small things to talk of now, but they were great then, and the foundation of what, to me, were great things to come, although I little suspected any of them at that time; and as I look back over that long stretch of years, I have the satisfaction of feeling that I did not enter upon my precarious career without doing my utmost to fit myself for it.

I must here mention an event which took place about this time, and which was by no means the idle escapade that some people might suppose.

In those early days of the century prize-fights were very common in England. The noble Art of Self-defence was patronized by the greatest in the land. Society loved a prize-fight, and always went to see it as Society went to any other fashionable function. Magistrates went, and even clerical members of that august body. As magistrates it may have been their duty to discountenance, but as county gentlemen it was their privilege to support, the noble champions of the art, especially when they had their money on the event.

The magistrates, if their presence was ever discovered, said they went to prevent a breach of the peace, but if they were unable to effect this laudable object, they looked on quietly so as to prevent anyone committing a breach of the peace on themselves. Their individual heads were worth something.

It was to one of these exhibitions of valour, between Owen Swift and Brighton Bill, that a reverend and sporting magistrate took my brother John, a nice good schoolboy, in a tall hat. He thought it was the right thing that the boy should see the world. I thought, also, that what was good for John as

prescribed by his clerical adviser, would not be bad for me, so I went as well.

No doubt it was a rare 'set to,' and the champions, I honestly believe, did right good service to their backers. It was exciting in the extreme; I stood not far behind the clergyman, who had John by his side. John seemed as pleased as his spiritual guide, and kept his hands plunged deep down into his little pockets; it is the safest way to guard your money if you have any, for while your hands are there nobody else's can be. John was a saving boy, and always kept his savings in his own pockets. I wish people would adopt that plan more often than they do. It would save many of them from ruin.

I saw John's arms stretched tightly down by his sides, and knew that he had as much as a half-crown in each pocket, for he had shown them to me with great pride that very morning.

These were all his worldly possessions. There was a great crowd, of course, and a good many rough fellows between him and me, but I kept my eye on John's tall chimney-pot hat, knowing that while I saw that I should not lose John.

There was a stir, for Brighton Bill had landed a tremendous blow on the cheek of Owen Swift, and while we were applauding, as is the custom at prize-fights and public dinners, a cunning pick-pocket standing immediately behind John pushed the tall chimney-pot hat tightly down over the boy's eyes.

His little hands went up in a moment to raise his hat, so that he might see the world, the big object he had come to see; and immediately in went two other

hands, and out came the savings of John's life, his boasted two half-crowns!

When he saw the world again the rogue had disappeared.\*\*

The famous place for these pugilistic encounters, or one of the famous places, was a spot called Noon's Folly, which was within a very few miles of Royston, where the counties of Cambridge, Suffolk, Essex, and Hertfordshire meet, or most of them. That was the scene of many a stiff encounter; and although, of course, there was both magisterial and police interference when the knowledge reached them that a fight was about to take place within their particular jurisdiction, by some singular misadventure the knowledge never reached them until their worships were returning from the battle. All was over before any official communication was made.

I was entered of the Middle Temple, † and remained with Mr. Butt until I had kept sufficient terms to qualify me to take out a license to plead on my own account, which I did at the earliest possible date. This was a great step in my career, although, of course, the license did not enable me to plead in court, as I was not called to the Bar.

If work came I should now be in a fair way to attain independence; but the prospect was by no means flattering; it was, in fact, all but hopeless while the position of a special pleader was not my ambition. The look-out, in fact, was anything but

<sup>\*</sup> So greatly did the world differ in its treatment of the brothers: while the rogues emptied John's pockets, they filled Henry's.

<sup>†</sup> April 16, 1839.

encouraging from the fifth-floor of No. 3, Elm Court—I mean prospectively. It was a region not inaccessible of course, but it looked on to a landscape of chimney-pots, not one of which was likely to attract attorneys; it was cheap and lonely, dull and miserable; a melancholy altitude beyond the world and its companionship. Had I been of a melancholy disposition I might have gone mad, for hope surely never came to a fifth-floor. But there I sat day by day, week by week, and month by month, waiting for the knock that never came; hoping for the business that might never come.

It was hard, weary work doing nothing, and at times I almost despaired and wondered if I had done wisely in neglecting my father's advice. It was watching, waiting, listening for a footstep. Alas! between me and the courtyard there were many able practitioners, and my lofty abode was a very long way from there. It was as though I were besieged, but not by clients, simply cut off by a powerful blockade.

Hundreds of times had I listened with vain expectations to the footsteps on the stairs below—footsteps of attorneys and clerks, messengers and office-boys. I knew them all, and that was all I knew of them. Down below at the bottom flight they tramped, and there they mostly stopped. The ground-floor was evidently the best for business; but some came higher, to the first-floor. That was a good position; there were plenty of footsteps, and I could tell they were the footsteps of clients. A few came a little higher still, and then my hopes rose with the footsteps. Now someone had come up to the third-floor: he stopped! Alas! there was the knock, one single hard knock;

it was a junior clerk. The sound came all too soon for me, and I turned from my own door to my little den and looked out of my window up into the sky, from whence it seemed I might just as well expect a brief as from the regions below.

This was not quite true. On another occasion some bold adventurer ascended with asthmatical energy to the fourth-floor, and I thought as I heard him wheeze he would never have breath enough to get down again, and wondered if the good-natured attorneys kept these wheezy old gentlemen out of charity. But it was rare indeed that the climber, unless it was the rent-collector, reached that floor.

The fifth landing was too remote for the postman, for I never got a letter—at least, so it seemed; and no squirrel watching from the topmost bough of the tallest pine could be more lonely than I.

At last I thought a step had passed even the fourth landing, and was approaching mine; but I would not think too fast, and damped my hopes a little on purpose lest they should burn too brightly and too fast. I was not mistaken: there was a footstep on my landing, and I listened for the one heavy knock. It seemed to me I waited about an hour and a half, judging by the palpitations of my heart, and wished the man had knocked as vigorously. But I was rewarded; the knocker fell, and, as my boy was away with the toothache, I opened the door myself. He was the same wheezy man I had heard below some time before; and I really seem to have liked asthmatical people ever since, except when I became a Judge and they disturbed me in court, at which time the usher would always take out the cough, or

the dog, or whatever the nuisance might happen to be.

'Papers!'

That is enough to say to anyone who understands the situation. You may be sure I gave them my best attention, that they were finished promptly, and, as I hoped, in the best style. If I had required any additional incentive to keep me to my daily task of watching, this would have been sufficient; but I wanted none. I knew that my whole future depended upon it, and there I was from ten in the morning till ten at night.

My first fee was small, but it was the biggest fee I ever had. It was 10s. 6d. I was only a special pleader, and with some papers our fees were even less; we only had to draw pleadings, not to open them in court: that comes after you are called to the Bar. Drawing them means really drawing the points of the case for counsel, and opening them means a gabbling epitome of them to the jury which no jury in this world ever yet understood or ever will.

It should be remembered that at that time there were a good many fourth and fifth floor men who never got their fees at all from some attorneys; these gentlemen (the attorneys) patronized the poor pleaders by way of giving them 'a turn,' as they called it, out of good-nature. They never showed me that benevolence, because I determined from the first to have only business arrangements with my clients—no love—on my side, and no 'accommodation' on theirs.

This little matter was the forerunner of others, and by little and little I steadily went on, earning a few shillings now and a few shillings then, but, best of all, becoming known little by little here and there. I was aware that some knowledge of the world would be necessary for me when I once got into it by way of business as an advocate, so I came to the conclusion that it would be well to commence that branch of study as soon as I closed the other for the day or rather for the night.

I had not far to go to school, only to the Haymarket and its delightful purlieus, and there were the
best teachers to be found in the world, and the most
recondite studies. For all these I kept, as the great
politicians say, an open mind, and learned a great deal
which stood me in good stead in after-life. You
cannot pick up much knowledge of the world on a
fifth-floor, 11 feet by 8 feet, and a sloping ceiling; but
under the 'broad canopy' there is ample space for
meditation. I availed myself of my opportunities,
and when it became essential had a fair stock of
knowledge, even sometimes enough for my learned
friends as well, especially if they had been 'well
brought up.'

It is not necessary, I suppose, in writing these reminiscences, to describe all I saw—at least, I hope not. Manners have so changed since that time that people who have no imagination would not believe me, and those who have would imagine I was exaggerating. So I must skip this portion of my youthful studies, merely saying that I saw nearly, if not quite, all the life which was to be seen in London; and I am sure I am not exaggerating when I say that that would nearly fill an octavo volume of itself. There is so much to be seen in London, as a dear old lady I used to drink tea with once told me.

But she did not know more than I, for she had

never seen the night-houses, gambling hells, and other places of amusement that at that time were open all night long, nor had she seen the ghastly faces of the morning. I attribute my escaping the consequences of all these allurements, to the beautiful influence which my mother in early life exercised over me, as I attribute my knowledge of them to the removal of the restraint with which my earlier years had been curbed.

My mother died before I came to London, but undoubtedly her influence was with me, although I broke loose, as a matter of course, from all paternal control.

But I was never a 'man about town.' To be that you must have plenty of money, or none at all, and in either case you are an object to avoid. I had, nevertheless, a great many pleasures that a young man from the country can enjoy. I loved horse-racing, cricket, and the prize-ring. It was not because pugilism was a fashionable amusement in those days that I attended a 'set-to' occasionally; I went on my own account, not to ape people in the fashionable world, and enjoyed it on my own account, not because they liked it, but because I did.

It was the taste of the age, and its principal pastime—a fight on Sunday morning on the village green—was a common mode with the rustics of rubbing off their weekly accounts, and starting with a clean slate for Monday. But none of these sports and amusements did me any harm. On the contrary, they did me good. The 'wit there I got there' I could get 'nae ither where,' and it was all of excellent service in enabling me to play my part in the real drama of life that was before me.

My rent at this time of my entrance into the

fashionable world was £12 a year; my laundress, perhaps, a little less. She earned it by coming up the stairs; but she was a good old soul. I remembered her long years after, and always with gratitude for her many kindnesses in those gloomy days. Her name was Hannem.

Of course, I had to buy the necessary books for my professional use, coals, and other things, and after paying all these I had to live on the narrow margin of my £100 a year.

This recollection is very pleasing: I never got into debt, and never wanted; but I had to be frugal and avoid every unnecessary expense.

But the time at last came when I was no longer to rest on my lonely perch at the top of Elm Court. I had kept my terms, and was duly called to the Bar of the Middle Temple on May 3, 1843.

It was a day to be remembered, and a red-letter day in my life's calendar, to be especially remembered in connection with an event that took place *fifty* years afterwards. The separation of time does not affect the associations of memory.

Just fifty years after, when I was a Judge, and almost the Senior Bencher of my Inn, our illustrious Sovereign, then Prince of Wales, who is also a Bencher of the Middle Temple, favoured us with his presence at dinner, and did me the honour to propose my health in a gracious speech. On returning thanks for this kindness, I told the crowded audience of my jubilee, and pointed out the spot where fifty years before I had held my call party.

#### CHAPTER III

#### SECOND YEAR-THESIGER AND PLATT

In my second year I made £50, the sweetest fifty pounds I ever made. I had no longer any weary waiting, for there was no weariness in it, and I confess at this time my sole idea, and I may add my only ambition, was to relieve myself of all obligations to my father. If I could accomplish this I should have vindicated the step I had taken, and my father would have no further right, whatever reason he might think he had, to complain.

My third year came, and then, to my great joy, finding that I was earning more than the hundred pounds he allowed me, I wrote and informed him, with all proper expressions of gratitude, that I should no longer need his assistance, and from that time I never had a single farthing that I did not earn.

I am sure I was prouder of that than of my peerage, for I experienced for the first time the joyous pride of independence. There is no fruit of labour so sweet as that.

But I no sooner began to obtain a little success than my rivals and others tried to deprive me of the merit of it, if merit there was—'Oh, of course his father and uncle are both solicitors in the county'; while one of the local newspapers years after was good enough to publish a paragraph which stated that I owed all my success to my father's office.

This, of course, does not need contradiction, for it is immaterial to everybody how I succeeded, except to myself. Nobody in this world, and especially in our profession, ever does anything which the world thinks the least meritorious without inspiring a feeling of jealousy in the unsuccessful. He will be lucky if he escapes without some unfriendly paragraph as to his being an overrated man.

I shall, however, small as the matter seems, contradict it in these memoirs, and say, for the gratification of others who hope to succeed in life's great battle, that my success has been due entirely to hard work. That I had an occasional small session's case from my father's office was natural enough, but it did not help me in after-life. It was valuable only for the little fee it brought, and which I was most pleased to receive.

An occasional small brief from Hitchin was the beginning and the end of my father's influence, while sessions practice was not the practice I hoped to finish my career with, although I had little hopes of eminence. Certainly if I had I should have known that eminence could not come from Hitchin.

You may learn advocacy at Quarter Sessions, and much of it must be learnt there; how else are you to acquire any practice in cross-examination, which in itself comprehends all the other branches if you know how to separate them? Cross-examination includes your speech. Great achievements can only be accomplished by long, laborious study and perseverance. My

first brief for the defence was delivered to me at Hertford Quarter Sessions in 1844.

To a man of good natural abilities and power to use them a certain amount of sessions practice will be most useful. It enables a man to think on his legs, and this is the first step to advocacy.

I chose the Home Circuit, and did not leave it till I was made a Judge. It is impossible to forget the kindness I received from its members throughout my whole career. There was a brotherly feeling amongst us which made life very pleasant.

It is more difficult to obtain business on circuit at first than at sessions, and one has ample opportunity for studying human nature as exhibited in that condensed form which you find amongst leading advocates.

There were several celebrated men on the Home Circuit when I joined. Amongst them were Thesiger and Platt.

This was long before the former became Attorney-General, which took place in 1858. He afterwards was Lord Chancellor, and took his title from the little county town where probably he obtained his start in the career which ended so brilliantly.

Platt became a Baron of the Exchequer.

Thesiger was a first-rate advocate, and I need not say at all times scrupulously fair. He had a high sense of honour, and was replete with a quiet, subtle humour, which seemed to come upon you unawares, and, like all true humour, derived no little of its pleasure from its surprise. He was constantly bubbling over with good puns and real wit. Many a good saying of his and others was recorded in the

Home Circuit records, but the book unfortunately was lost. No one, however, has had the hardihood to publish it, and it was therefore a useless prize to him who had the misfortune to lose it or find it.

Old stories, someone observed, are none the worse for keeping, if they do not get too dry. In the course of this narrative I will tell one or two when I have got through the historical part of my earlier career. Let me finish my description of these two worthy advocates, and give an idea as to what they were like by a case in which I took much interest on the first day I attended the circuit.

The siger, in addition to his abilities, was ever kind-hearted and gentle, especially in his manner towards juniors. I know that he sympathized with them, and helped them whenever he had an opportunity. It did not fall to my lot to hold many briefs with him, but I am glad to say that I had some, because I shall not forget the kindness and instruction I received from him. It is a pleasant reminiscence.

Platt was an advocate of a different stamp. He also was kind, and in every way worthy of grateful remembrance. He loved to amuse especially the junior Bar, and more particularly in court. He was a good natural punster, and endowed with a lively wit. The circuit was never dull when Platt was present; his geniality enlivened the despondent, and he seemed to 'let himself go' for the pleasure it afforded to our younger members, of whom I was the youngest.

But there was one trait in Platt's character as an advocate that Judges always profess to disapprove of —he loved popular applause, and his singularly bold and curious mode of cross-examination sometimes

brought him both rebuke and hearty laughter from the most austere of Judges.

He dealt with a witness as though the witness was putty, moulding him into any grotesque form that suited his humour. No evidence could preserve its original shape after Platt had done with it. He had a coaxing manner, so much so that a witness would often be led to say what he never intended, and what afterwards he could not believe he had uttered. His manner was original, and he turned at times a stream of humour on to the unhappy individual in the witness-box, much to his discomfort.

The siger, who was his constant opponent, was sometimes irritated with Platt's manner, and on the occasion I am about to mention fairly lost his temper.

It was in an action for nuisance before Tindal, Chief Justice of the Common Pleas, at Croydon Assizes.

The siger was for the plaintiff, who complained of a nuisance caused by the bad smells that emanated from a certain tank on the defendant's premises, and called a very respectable but ignorant labouring man to prove his case.

The witness gave a description of the tank, not picturesque, but doubtless true, and into this tank all kinds of refuse seem to have been thrown, so that the vilest of foul stenches were emitted.

Platt began his cross-examination of poor Hodge by asking in his most coaxing manner to describe the character and nature of the various stenches. Had Hodge been scientific, or if he had had a little common-sense, he would have simply answered 'bad character and ill-nature,' but he improved on this simplicity and said:

'Some on 'em smells summat like paint.'

This was quite sufficient for Platt.

- 'Come, now,' said he, 'that's a very sensible answer. You are aware, as a man of undoubted intelligence, that there are various colours of paint. Had this smell any particular colour, think you?'
  - 'Wall, I dunnow, sir.'
- 'Don't answer hurriedly; take your time. We only Now, what colour do you want to get at the truth. say this smell belonged to?"
  - 'Wall, I don't raightly know, sir.'
- 'I see. But what do you say to yellow? Had it a yellow smell, think you?'
- 'Wall, sir, I doan't think ur wus yaller, nuther. No, sir, not quite yaller; I think it was moore of a blue like.'
- 'A blue smell. We all know a blue smell when we see it.'

Of course, I need not say the laughter was going on in peals, much to Platt's delight. Tindal was simply in an ecstasy, but did all he could to suppress his enjoyment of the scene.

Then Platt resumed:

- 'You think it was more of a blue smell like? Now, let me ask you, there are many kinds of blue smells, from the smell of a Blue Peter, which is salt, to that of the sky, which depends upon the weather. Was it dark, or---'
  - 'A kind of sky-blue, sir.'
  - 'More like your scarf?'

Up went Hodge's hand to see if he could feel the colour.

'Yes,' said he, 'that's more like---'

- 'Zummut like your scarf?'
- 'Yes, sir.'

Then he was asked as to a variety of solids and liquids; and the man shook his head, intimating that he could go a deuce of a way, but that there were bounds even to human knowledge.

Then Platt questioned him on less abstruse topics, and to all of his questions he kept answering:

'Yes, my lord.'

- 'Were fish remnants,' asked Platt, 'sometimes thrown into this reservoir of filth, such as old cods' heads with goggle eyes?'
  - 'Yes, my lord.'
  - 'Rari nantes in gurgite vasto?"

'Yes, my lord.'

The siger could stand it no longer. He had been writhing while the court had been roaring with laughter, which all the ushers in the universe, supported by all the javelin-men in the kingdom, could not suppress.

'My lord, my lord, there must be some limit even to cross-examination by my friend. Does your lordship think it is fair to suggest a classical quotation to a

respectable but illiterate labourer?'

Tindal, who could not keep his countenance—and no man who witnessed the scene could—said:

'It all depends, Mr. Thesiger, whether this man understands Latin.' Whereupon Platt immediately turned to the witness and said:

'Now, my man, attend: Rari nantes in gurgite vasto. You understand that, do you not?'

'Yes, my lord,' answered the witness, stroking his chin.

Tindal, trying all he could to suppress his laughter, said:

'Mr. Thesiger, the witness says he understands the quotation, and, as you have no evidence to the contrary, I do not see how I can help you.' Of course, there was a renewal of the general laughter, but Thesiger, in his reply, turned it on Platt.

This was my first appearance on circuit, and my first lesson from a great advocate in the art of caricature.

### CHAPTER IV

#### AT THE OLD BAILEY IN THE OLD TIMES

It is a vast space to look back over sixty years of labour, and yet there seems hardly a scene or an event of any consequence that is not reproduced in my mind with a vividness that astonishes me.

In my earlier visits to Her Majesty's Courts of Justice my principal business was to study the Queen's Counsel and Serjeants, and they were worthy the attention I bestowed on them. They all belonged to different schools of advocacy, and some knew very little about it.

I went to the Old Bailey, a den of infamy in those times not conceivable now, and I verily believe that no future time will produce its like—at least, I hope not. Its associations were enough to strike a chill of horror into you. It was the very cesspool for the offscourings of humanity. I had no taste for criminal practice in those days, except as a means of learning the art of advocacy. In these cases, presided over by a Judge who knows his work, the rules of evidence are strictly observed, and you will learn more in six months of practical advocacy than in ten years elsewhere. The Criminal Court was the best school in which to learn your work of cross-examination and

examination-in-chief, while the Courts of Equity were probably the worst. But I shall not dwell on my struggles in connection with the Old Bailey at that early period of my life. What will be more interesting, perhaps, are some curious arrangements which they had for the conduct of business and the entertainment of the Judges.

These are a too much neglected part of our history, and when referred to in reminiscences are generally referred to as matters for jocularity. They exercised, however, a serious influence on the minds and feelings of the people, as well as their manners; more so than a hundred subjects with which the historian or the novelist sometimes deals.

In all cases of unusual gravity three Judges sat together. Offences that would now be treated as not even deserving of a day's imprisonment in many cases were then invariably punished with death. It was not, therefore, so much the nature of the offence as the importance of it in the eyes of the Judges that caused three of them to sit together and try the criminals.

They sat till five o'clock right through, and then went to a sumptuous dinner provided by the Lord Mayor and Aldermen. They drank everybody's health but their own, thoroughly relieved their minds from the horrors of the court, and, having indulged in much festive wit, sometimes at an alderman's expense, and often at their own, returned into court in solemn procession, their gravity undisturbed by anything that had previously taken place, and looking the picture of contentment and virtue.

Another dinner was provided by the Sheriffs; this

was for the Recorder, Common Serjeant, and others who took their seats when their lordships had arisen.

I ought to mention one important dignitary—namely, the chaplain of Newgate—whose fortunate position gave him the advantage over most persons, for he dined at both these dinners, and assisted in the circulation of the wit from one party to another; so that what my Lord Chief Justice had made the table roar with at five o'clock, the Recorder and the Common Serjeant roared with at six, and were able to retail at their family tables at a later period of the evening. It was in that way so many good things have come down to the present day.

The reverend gentleman alluded to of course attended the court in robes, and his only, but solemn, function was to say 'Amen' when the sentence of death was pronounced by the Judge. It was worth hearing, because it sounded like an air-bubble breaking from his windpipe: a wheezy kind of performance, and worth very little to anybody concerned, still less to the prisoner.

There were curious old stories, too, about my lords and old port at that time which are not of my own reminiscences, and, therefore, I shall do no more than mention them in order to pass on to what I heard and saw myself.

The first thing that struck me in the after-dinner trials was the extreme rapidity with which the proceedings were conducted. As Judges and counsel were exhilarated, the business was proportionately accelerated. But of all the men I had the pleasure of meeting on these occasions, the one who gave me the

best idea of rapidity in an after-dinner case was Muirhouse.

Let me illustrate it by a trial which I heard. Jones was the name of the prisoner. His offence was that of picking pockets, entailing, of course, a punishment corresponding in severity with the barbarity of the times. It was not a plea of 'Guilty,' when, perhaps, a little more inquiry might have been necessary: it was a case in which the prisoner solemnly declared he was 'Not guilty,' and therefore had a right to be tried.

The accused having 'held up his hand,' and the jury having solemnly sworn to hearken to the evidence, and 'to well and truly try, and true deliverance make,' etc., the witness for the prosecution climbs into the box, which was like a pulpit, and before he has time to look round and see where the voice comes from, he is examined as follows by the prosecuting counsel:

'I think you were walking up Ludgate Hill on Thursday 25th, about half-past two in the afternoon and suddenly felt a tug at your pocket and missed your handkerchief which the constable now produces. Is that it?'

'Yes, sir.'

'I suppose you have nothing to ask him?' says the Judge. 'Next witness.'

Constable stands up.

'Were you following the prosecutor on the occasion when he was robbed on Ludgate Hill, and did you see the prisoner put his hand into the prosecutor's pocket and take this handkerchief out of it?'

'Yes, sir.'

Judge to prisoner: 'Nothing to say, I suppose?' Then to the jury: 'Gentlemen, I suppose you have no doubt? I have none.'

Jury: 'Guilty, my lord,' as though to oblige his lordship.

Judge to prisoner: 'Jones, we have met beforewe shall not meet again for some time—seven years' transportation—next case.'

Time: two minutes, fifty-three seconds.

Perhaps this case was a high example of expedition, because it was not always that a learned counsel could put his questions so neatly; but it may be taken that these after-dinner trials did not occupy on the average more than four minutes each.

Of course, in those days there were Judges of the utmost strictness as there are now, who insisted that the rules of evidence should be rigidly adhered to. It was only occasionally that you were favoured with such despatch. I will give some instances of a Judge whose abilities were of a remarkable order, and whose memory is still fresh in the minds of many of my contemporaries — I mean Mr. Justice Maule. asthmatic cough was the most interesting and amusing cough I ever heard, especially when he was saying anything more than usually humorous, which was not infrequently. He was a man of great wit, sound sense, and a curious humour, such as I never heard in any other man. He possessed, too, a particularly keen apprehension. To those who had any real ability he was the most pleasant of Judges, but had little love for mediocrities. He flourished in the days I have been speaking of, those of Tindal and Jervis, who were in the Common Pleas, a court always prolific of the very finest Judges our country ever had. Perhaps, however, I should place the Exchequer of a later period on the same elevated plane.

Maule's voice was as peculiar as his cough, and both in concert gave you the idea he was speaking out of a large gramophone, only more distinct than the gramophone generally is. His scientific knowledge was excellent. I remember on one occasion a great personal friend consulting him as to the instructions he should give to an architect who was to prepare plans for building a music-room in addition to some others in his house.

'Have you told the architect what you want?' asked Maule.

'No,' said his friend, 'not yet.'

'Then don't,' said Maule, 'for God's sake! If you have not told him, you may stand a chance of getting it, but if you give him scientific instructions—your scientific instructions—you will so puzzle the poor man that the odds are you will get nothing in the world like what you require. Leave it to him.'

The same Judge possessed also a great amount of common-sense, which on the Bench is of much more importance than learning itself, because a small quantity of the latter, in most cases, goes a long way if aided by the former. The more pedantry the less justice.

Maule was one day hearing cases in what are called 'Judges' Chambers,' where a good deal of skirmishing and sharp-shooting between boys who come from solicitors' offices and represent the firm, used to take place over pleadings and other preliminary matters, before the general action commenced.

These conflicts were sometimes annoying, especially if the Judge was of a wavering mind, or a disposition to please both sides. Maule was by no means that kind of man, and after he had given his prompt decision, one of the boys lost his temper and evidently thought the Judge was wrong. This was the boy who had lost his case; the other boy thought the Judge was right in his law. Alas! the first boy, as he approached the doorkeeper, muttered the words: 'A damned old fool!'

This was too much for the doorkeeper—a gentleman who had been told off to do his duty in that station of life in which Providence, supported by solicitous friends, had placed him, during the last forty years—and he could not 'stand it.' In his opinion, such language, applied to a learned Judge of the High Court, amounted to little less than high treason, if it was not really a much greater offence.

Consequently, as in duty bound to make all the mischief he could, this official immediately reported the words the lad had used to the Judge.

'Bring him back,' said Maule—' bring him back at once!'

Then, pale and trembling, the youth stood before the Judge in reverential attitude, with his chin on his breast and his eyes cast down to the floor; he who had been so bold and indiscreetly loud in damning the old fool a minute previously.

'I understand,' said his lordship, 'that in passing out of these chambers you called me "A damned old fool!" I don't say you are wrong, my boy, for a moment; you may be right. I may be a damned old fool, but it would have been more polite if you had

deferred the expression of your opinion until you were outside. You may now go.'

Such was his sense of dignity—a dignity that did not condescend to punish as a Judge what was spoken against the mere individual as a man; he was not defending the self-conceit of his office, and I think rather admired the boy's outspoken frankness.

#### CHAPTER V

# MR. JUSTICE MAULE, THE VICAR, AND THE SABBATH-BREAKER

I come now to the theological department of Mr. Justice Maule's character. I have said he had a keen discernment, and no man ever was endowed with a greater abhorrence of hypocrisy. I learnt a great deal in watching him and noting his observations. One day a very sad case was being tried. It was that of a man for killing an infant, and it was proposed by the prosecution to call as a witness a little brother of the murdered child.

The boy's capacity to give evidence, however, was somewhat doubted by the counsel for the Crown, John Clark, and it did honour to his sense of fairness. Having asked the little boy a question or two as to the meaning of an oath, he said he had some doubt as to whether the witness should be admitted to give evidence, as he did not seem to understand the nature of an oath, and the boy was otherwise deficient in religious knowledge.

He was asked the usual sensible questions which St. Thomas Aquinas himself would have been puzzled to answer; and, being a mere child of seven, or at most eight, years of age, without any kind of education, was unable to state what the exact nature of an oath was.

Having failed in this, he was next asked what, when they died, became of people who told lies.

- 'If he knows that, it's a good deal more than I do,' said Maule.
- 'Attend to me,' said the Crown counsel. Do you know that it's wicked to tell lies?'
  - 'Yes, sir,' the boy answered.
- 'I don't think,' said the counsel for the prosecution, 'it would be safe to swear him, my lord; he does not seem to know anything about religion at all. You can stand down.'
- 'Stop a minute, my boy,' says Maule; 'let me ask you a question or two. 'You have been asked about a future state—at least, I presume that was at the bottom of the gentleman's question. I should like to know what you have been taught to believe. What will become of you, my little boy, when you die, if you are so wicked as to tell a lie?'
  - 'Hell fire,' answered the boy with great promptitude and boldness.
- 'Right,' said Maule. 'Now let us go a little further. Do you mean to say, boy, that you would go to hell fire for telling any lie?'
  - 'Hell fire, sir,' said the boy emphatically, as though it were something to look forward to rather than shun.
  - 'Take time, my boy,' said Maule; 'don't answer hurriedly; think it over. Suppose, now, you were accused of stealing an apple; how would that be in the next world, think you?'
    - 'Hell fire, my lord!'
    - 'Very good indeed. Now let us suppose that you

were disobedient to your parents, or to one of them; what would happen in that case?'

'Hell fire, my lord!'

'Exactly; very good indeed. Now let me take another instance, and suppose that you were sent for the milk in the morning, and took just a little sip while you were carrying it home; how would that be as regards your future state?'

'Hell fire!' repeated the boy.

Upon this Clark suggested that the lad's absolute ignorance of the nature of an oath and Divine things rendered it imprudent to call him.

'I don't know about that,' said Maule; 'he seems to me to be very sound, and most divines will tell you he is right.'

'He does not seem to be competent,' said the counsel.

'I beg your pardon,' returned the Judge, 'I think he is a very good little boy. He thinks that for every wilful fault he will go to hell fire; and is very likely while he believes that doctrine to be very strict in his observance of truth. If you and I believed that such would be the penalty for every act of misconduct we committed, we should be better men than we are. Let the boy be sworn.'

On one occasion, before Maule, I had to defend a man for murder. It was a terribly difficult case, because there was no defence except the usual one of insanity.

The court adjourned for lunch, and Woollet, who was my junior, and I went to consultation. I was oppressed with the difficulty of my task, and asked Woollet what he thought I could do.

'Oh,' said he in his sanguine way, 'make a hell of

a speech. You'll pull him through all right. Let 'em have it.'

'I'll give them as much burning eloquence as I can manage,' said I, in my youthful ardour; 'but what's the use of words against facts? We must really stand by the defence of insanity; it is all that's left.'

'Call the clergyman,' said Woollet; 'he'll help us all he can.'

With that resolution we returned to court. I made my speech for the defence, following Woollet's advice as nearly as practicable, and really blazed away. I think the jury believed there was a good deal in what I said, for they seemed a very discerning body and a good deal inclined to logic, especially as there was a mixture of passion in it.

We then called the clergyman of the village where the prisoner lived. He said he had been Vicar for thirtyfour years, and that up to very recently, a few days before the murder, the prisoner had been a regular attendant at his church. He was a married man with a wife and two little children, one seven and the other nine.'

'Did the wife attend your ministrations, too?' asked Maule.

'Not so regularly. Suddenly,' continued the Vicar, after suppressing his emotion, 'without any apparent cause, the man became a Sabbath-breaker, and absented himself from church.'

This evidence rather puzzled me, for I could not understand its purport. Maule in the meantime was watching it with the keenest interest and no little curiosity. He was not a great believer in the defence of insanity, except occasionally, that of the solicitor

who set it up, and he watched the Vicar with scrutinizing intensity.

'Have you finished with your witness, Mr. Woollet?' his lordship inquired.

'Yes, my lord.'

Maule then took him in hand, and after looking at him steadfastly for about a minute, said:

- 'You say, sir, that you have been Vicar of this parish for four-and-thirty years?'
  - 'Yes, my lord.'
- 'And during that time I dare say you have regularly performed the services of the Church?'

'Yes, my lord.'

'Did you have week-day services as well?'

'Every Tuesday, my lord.'

'And did you preach your own sermons?'

'With an occasional homily of the Church.'

'Your own sermon or discourse, with an occasional homily? And was this poor man a regular attendant at all your services during the whole time you have been Vicar?'

'Until he killed his wife, my lord.'

'That follows—I mean up to the time of this sabbath-breaking you spoke of. He regularly attended your ministrations, and then killed his wife?'

'Exactly, my lord.'

'Never missed the sermon, discourse, or homily of the Church, Sunday or week-day?'

'That is so, my lord.'

'Did you write your own sermons, may I ask?'

'Oh yes, my lord.'

Maule carefully wrote down all that our witness said, and I began to think the defence of insanity

stood on very fair grounds, especially when I perceived that Maule was making some arithmetical calculations; but you never could tell by his manner which way he was going, and, therefore, we had to wait for his next observation, which was to this effect:

'You have given yourself, sir, a very excellent character, and, doubtless, by your long service in the village, have richly deserved it. You have no doubt also won the affection of all your parishioners, probably that of the Bishop of your diocese, by your incomparable devotion to your parochial duties. The result, however, of your indefatigable exertions, so far as this unhappy man is concerned, comes to this——'

His lordship then turned and addressed his observations on the result to me.

'This gentleman, Mr. Hawkins, has written with his own pen and preached or read with his own voice to this unhappy prisoner about one hundred and four Sunday sermons or discourses, with an occasional homily, every year.'

There was an irresistible sense of the ludicrous as Maule uttered, or rather growled, these words in a slow enunciation, and an asthmatical tone. He paused as if wondering at the magnitude of his calculations, and then commenced again more slowly and solemnly than before.

'These,' said he, 'added to the week-day services—make—exactly one hundred and fifty-six sermons, discourses, and homilies for the year.' (Then he stared at me, asking with his eyes what I thought of it.) 'These, again, being continued over a space of time, comprising, as the reverend gentleman tells us, no less than thirty-four years, give us a grand total of five

thousand three hundred and four sermons, discourses, or homilies during this unhappy man's life.'

Maule's eyes were now riveted on the clergyman as though he were an accessory to the murder.

'Five thousand three hundred and four,' he repeated, 'by the same person, however respectable and beloved as a pastor he might be, was what few of us could have gone through unless we were endowed with as much strength of mind as power of endurance.

'I was going to ask you, sir, did the idea ever strike you when you talked of this unhappy being suddenly leaving your ministrations and turning Sabbath-breaker, that after thirty-four years he might want a little change? Would it not be reasonable, to suppose that the man might think he had had enough of it?'

'It might, my lord.'

'And would not that in your judgment, instead of showing that he was insane, prove that he was a very sensible man?'

The Vicar did not quite assent to this, and as he would not dissent from the learned Judge, he said nothing.

'And,' continued Maule, 'that he was perfectly

sane, although he murdered his wife?"

All this was very clever, not to say facetious, on the part of the learned Judge, but as I had yet to address the jury, I was resolved to take the other view of the effect of the Vicar's sermons, and I did so. I worked Maule's quarry, I think, with some little effect; for after all his most strenuous exertions to secure a conviction, the jury believed, probably, that no man's mind could stand the ordeal; and, further, that any doubt they might have, after seeing the two

children of the prisoner in court dressed in little black frocks, and sobbing bitterly while I was addressing them, would be given in the prisoner's favour, which it was. This incident in my life is not finished. On the same evening I was dining at the country house of a Mr. Hardcastle, and near me sat an old inhabitant of the village where the tragedy had been committed.

'You made a touching speech, Mr. Hawkins,' said the old inhabitant.

'Well,' I answered, 'it was the best I could do under the circumstances.'

'Yes,' he said; 'but I don't think you would have painted the little home in such glowing colours if you had seen what I saw last week when I was driving past the cottage. No, no; I think you'd have toned down a bit.'

'What was it?' I asked.

'Why,' said the old inhabitant, 'the little children who sobbed so violently in court this morning, and to whom you made such pathetic reference, were playing on an ash-heap near their cottage; and they had a poor cat with a string round its neck, swinging backwards and forwards, and as they did so they sang:

"This is the way poor daddy will go! This is the way poor daddy will go!"

Such, Mr. Hawkins, was their excessive grief!' Yes, but it got the verdict.

### CHAPTER VI

## HOW I TOOK TWENTY-TWO POINTS AGAINST A CONVICTION

Two incidents in my very junior days have left their impression duly stamped on my mind. So true is it that the most endurable mementos are the unkindnesses inflicted upon us, especially in those days when they might have ruined our chances of success.

Before Lord Abinger and three other Judges I was holding my first brief in banc. It was doubtless a very bad case, or perhaps I should not have been entrusted with it. I was holding it for my old and respected tutor. But being so bad a case made it, as I thought, the less necessary for four mighty Judges to come down upon me like sledge-hammers at every argument I used.

But I remember chiefly Abinger, whose manner was rude and unkind to a degree. This is sixty years ago! But can a man blot out memory? If he would try to forget, the effort itself would make him remember. I was arguing away in fine style, having recovered from that nervous sensation which kills many a good advocate, and thought I was doing pretty well. I was persistently pressed upon this point, and that, by first one of my lords and then another; but I as persistently

held on, perhaps a little too pertinaciously, when Lord Abinger, with his white cambric to his eye, which was painfully affected and probably accounted for his temper, put to me a string of questions in this form:

'What would you say, sir, if so and so were the case'—a set of supposititious facts of the most embarrassing character, and of the relevancy of which I had not the slightest conception—'what would you say, sir, if so and so, and if such and such, and this and that, were the case?'

He was trying to ensnare me, so, to avoid the entanglement I answered, rather boldly, I dare say:

'My lord, I should say that that case was not this case.'

Abinger started back like a broken bow, and snappishly said:

'I did not say it was, young man. Go on.'

And on I went until, very shortly after, the whole four Barons mercilessly set upon me, and mercifully put me out of my misery. That was my first attempt at 'sticking up to the court in banc.' You must have a very strong case if you succeed; and if your case is strong, you will not require, or did not in those days require, much argument to make them see it.

Not long after, Abinger came my circuit. This was the last we saw of him, for he died at Bury St. Edmunds, and was succeeded by a man who was at all points a scholar, a lawyer, and a gentleman, who would, and who could, never behave discourteously to anyone who approached him. His court was the most agreeable of any I ever practised in. He was Sir Frederick Pollock.

The other incident I refer to was before Gurney (J.),

a man the very opposite of his son, Russell Gurney, late Recorder of London, and the best criminal Judge, I think, of my time. He was, moreover, all kindness and courtesy, without lacking firmness and resolute impartiality. 'I not only,' said he, 'wish to believe myself and to know myself to be impartial; I want the poor wretch I try to believe and know it too.' However, I am speaking of his father, before whom I had a defence on behalf of a poor servant girl, who was accused of murdering her illegitimate child.

I had prepared my arguments most elaborately, and hoped to distinguish myself in this first case of murder. Alas! when the prisoner was arraigned she was only indicted for concealment of birth, and the ground was cut from under my feet. My disappointment, no doubt, was great, for I was pretty certain as to what would be the result of my efforts in the more serious case. At that time I had had no experience to enable me to change my tactics, and set up another line of defence on the spur of the moment. I must defend for murder or nothing. I therefore delivered my oration in its original form. This was a blunder, but did not warrant Gurney in making a sneering attack on my juvenile efforts as he did. In summing up the case to the jury, instead of making a kind and good-natured excuse for its inapplicability, he spoke with cutting bitterness. It was so ill-natured that I remember his words to this hour, and can hear the sarcastic tone in which he uttered them.

'Gentlemen,' he said, 'the speech that has just been delivered to you was evidently prepared by the young gentleman for another occasion.'

Denman was a different kind of man, almost too dignified for small occasions, and too stern for trifling foibles. His manner was not encouraging to young counsel, but he never wilfully discomfited them, or prevented them from freely discharging their duty to their clients. This is the first thing a Judge should consider in his relations with counsel. He was neither a great lawyer nor an orator, but knew enough law to make his arguments powerful, and spoke with sufficient solemnity to make them respected. He was not an express train that moved with rapidity, but a slow luggage that carried weight.

At this time I was in considerable practice as a 'devil,' and when I held a brief always endeavoured to make the most of it. To show how we learn by steady and persistent study of the art of advocacy, I laid it down very early in my career that an advocate should never have too many points. Concentration is the art of argument. If you are diffuse you will be cut up in detail; if you advance with compactness and precision you will be irresistible. The case I was next entrusted with was for the purpose of quashing a conviction against which I, believing it to be wrong, raised no less than two-andtwenty nice points of law, any one of which, had it been sound, would have been sufficient to acquit the prisoner. In laying down the rule that the fewer points you take the better, so that they be sound, I ought to say I am repeating the advice Denman once gave me, and which I proved by my own experience, and especially in the present case, to be right.

'Remember also,' he said, 'to put forth your

'Remember also,' he said, 'to put forth your best points first, for the weak ones are very likely

to prejudice the good ones if they take the lead. It would be better advice to say never bring them forward at all, because they are useless.'

I argued ten of my points with great persistency, and they seemed conclusive. The court listened, I must say, with exemplary patience, and then, without the slightest compunction, overruled them all at one fell stroke, and with almost one word.

The next ten I took with persevering energy, and the court bestowed no attention on them. They shared the fate of their predecessors.

I had now my remaining two, kept till the last because I thought they were substantial. Alas! when I put them before the court not one of the Judges listened to me. They talked quietly to one another, bestowing on me an occasional glance, and then, Denman, who had given me such good advice, now gave judgement against me in these simple words:

'There is nothing in any of Mr. Hawkins' arguments;' and the Judge smiled. A smile from Denman made great amends for his adverse judgment; he was a man who never smiled except as a matter of

courtesy.

At this part of my eventful career I look back with mixed feelings of pleasure and discomfort; the pleasure being that I overcame many difficulties, the discomfort that I was always pulling against the collar. The ordeal was great, and I always seemed to get hold of the wrong cases. They taught me lessons, however, that I could never have learnt in any other form of advocacy. Had I always had good cases, I could never have learnt how to win a bad one, and when I got a good case I knew how to let it win itself;

like a rider on the best mount in the field—if the horse cannot win, the jockey cannot.

There is a little story with the title 'Perry and the Burglary' which crosses my mind at this moment. It was a curious case in many of its circumstances. A man was tried for participation in a burglary at the house of one whose name was Nehemiah Perry, a farmer, who had married in early life a gipsy girl—a real gipsy, with flaming eyes and black hair. After a time, whether from her wild Bohemian disposition or some other cause I know not, she had ceased to live with her husband, and had been absent from him for some years. Nor do I think he had ever seen her since their separation.

For some reason or other, perhaps without reason, he was under the apprehension that an attack would be made upon his house. He lived with a brother in a lonely farm in Essex. His house was provided with all necessary bolts and bars, and he always had a loaded gun by his bedside. Such precautions he deemed necessary for his safety.

His apprehensions were verified. One night a burglarious attack was made, whether by professionals or amateurs or those he had suspected, I cannot say, nor was it ever ascertained.

On hearing a noise in the dead of night immediately underneath his room, Nehemiah seized his gun and proceeded to the top of the stairs. Peering down through the gloom, he discerned a man in a mask stealthily coming along the passage and holding a light in his hand. The moment he appeared Perry levelled his gun, fired, and killed him on the spot.

Perry kept his position, knowing there were others

in the neighbourhood. Nehemiah's brother slept on the opposite side of the landing, and was aroused by the report of the gun. On going to the spot, he was saluted with the exclamation, 'Tom, I've snuffed his candle out!'

The brothers talked matters over, without any proposition to investigate the matter, left the dead burglar lying in the passage, and went to their respective rooms, where each of them fell into a sound sleep as if nothing had happened.

After some time, and while it was yet night, they were awakened once more by a sound of footsteps, as if some persons were dragging the dead body along the passage. This continued for some time, and then all was still except for an occasional whisper. They sat up, and having listened for a considerable time, heard the front-door closed, and then slept quietly till the morning.

When the farm servants arrived, they were horrified to find the corpse outside the door. It was presumed by the police that the persons who came to drag it away did so to prevent identification, and found it too difficult a task. However, be that as it may,

they left it in the garden near a hedge.

Perry, who never seemed to lose his presence of mind, and acted with the greatest coolness, in order to preserve evidence of identity, caused the body to be removed to his little study, a cold room on the east side of the house, and, it being winter and frosty, thought it pretty safe.

Why he did not communicate immediately with the police I never learnt, but, nevertheless, in a few days a man was arrested and taken to the farmhouse. There he was confronted with his dead companion, and confessed that he had been engaged with him in the act of burglary when the deceased was shot.

He afterwards admitted that he and several others of the gang had dragged his body down the passage with a view to removal so as to prevent identification, but the task being too difficult, they resolved to carry it to an outhouse where they had previously lain concealed; that they intended to place it next to the wooden partition of the chaff-house, and then set fire to the range of buildings so as to burn and consume the body. Some noise—I believe the barking of the house-dog—alarmed them, and prevented this from being carried out.

The prisoner was convicted before Lord Chief Baron Pollock, who gave him merely a short imprisonment, observing, as he passed sentence, that he 'thought there was a little hard-headedness in the way in which the body had been used for the purpose of identification.'

But the singular part of this story is to come. The solicitors for the prosecution told me that the body was packed up in a hamper by the Perrys and conveyed as a Christmas present to a young friend of Nehemiah's, who was a student at Addenbrook Hospital at Cambridge, for the purpose of dissection.

Not to conclude the chapter with so gruesome a story, I will mention a little compensation case in which I was engaged. A claimant for damages charged, as part of his expenses attendant upon his loss, twenty shillings a week for the feed of a poor old horse, which looked, and undoubtedly was, nearly starved.

I did not believe the plaintiff's story, and feeling for the poor animal very sincerely, I said, with some degree of warmth:

'Oh, gentlemen, it makes me sad to think of that poor horse, who from his very heart must wish his master was speaking the truth!'

### CHAPTER VII

#### NEWMARKET HEATH

My first visit to Newmarket Heath had one or two little incidents which may be interesting, although of no great importance. The Newmarket of to-day is not quite the same Newmarket that it was then; many things connected with it have changed, and, above all, its frequenters have changed; and if 'things are not what they seem,' they do not seem to me, at all events, to be what they were 'in my day.'

Sixty years is a long space of time to traverse, but I do so with a very vivid recollection of my old friend Charley Wright.

Many of us can remember Hermit winning the Derby, with his colours indistinguishable in the snowstorm; but I am going back a long way beyond Hermit's year; beyond the Flying Dutchman and Voltigeur, and other famous winners of the blue riband of the Turf.

It was on a bright October morning when we set out, and glad enough was I to leave the courts at Westminster and the courts of the Temple, especially my native den, for I seemed to have been born at the top of Elm Court, where I sat like a bird moping in its cage for so many years—glad enough to break loose

55

from the thraldom of nothing to do and get away into the beautiful country.

Charley and I were always great friends; we had seen so much together, especially of what is called 'the world,' which I use in a different sense from that in which we were now to seek adventures. We had seen so much of its good and evil, its lights and shades, and had so many memories in common, that they formed the groundwork of a lasting friendship.

He was the only son of an almost too indulgent father, who was the very best example of an old English gentleman of his day you could ever meet. He also had seen a good deal of life, and was not unfamiliar with any of its varied aspects. He was intellectual and genial, and dispensed his hospitality with the most winning courtesy. To me he was all kindness, and I have a grateful feeling of delight in being able in these few words to record my affectionate reverence for his memory.

It was at his house in Pall Mall where I met John Leach and Percival Leigh. Mr. Wright called me his Lord Chancellor when I was in their company, with the best of fellowship and good feeling. Indeed, you experienced nothing else amongst the bright geniuses of those days—men who met under the hospitable roofs of those who appreciated their talents.

But I digress as my mind goes back to these early dates, like one 'looking up' something in an encyclopædia, and unless I break away, Charley and I will not reach Newmarket in time for the race. It happened that when we made this memorable visit I had an uncle living at The Priory at Royston, which was some five-and-twenty miles from Newmarket, where the big

handicap, I think the Cesarewitch, was to be run the following day, or the next—I forget which.

But an interesting episode interrupted our journey to the Heath. To our surprise, and no little to our delight, there was to be an important meeting of the 'Fancy' to witness a great prize-fight between Jack Brassy and Ben Caunt.

Ben Caunt was the greatest prize-fighter, both in stature and bulk, as well as in strength, I ever saw. He looked what he was—then or soon after—the champion of the world.

Brassy, too, was well made, and seemed every whit the man to meet Caunt. The two, indeed, were equally well made in form and shape, and as smooth cut as marble statues when they stripped for action.

The advertisements had announced that the contest was to come off at, 'or as near thereto as circumstances permitted' (circumstances here meaning the police), the village of Little Bury, near Saffron Walden.

At the little inn of the village some of the magnates of the Ring were to assemble on the morning of the fight for an early breakfast, to which Charley and I had the good fortune to be invited by Jack Brassy's second, Peter Crawley, another noted pugilist of his day.

It was different weather from that we enjoyed in the morning, for the rain was pouring down in torrents, and we had a drive of no less than fifteen miles before us to the scene of action. Vehicles were few, and horses fewer. Nothing was to be had for love or money, as it seemed. But there was at last found one man who, if he had little love for the prizering, had much reverence for the golden coin that

supported it. He was a Quaker. He had an old gig, and, I think, a still older horse, both of which I hired for the journey, the Quaker, of course, pretending that he had no idea of any meeting of the 'Fancy' whatever. Nor do I suppose he would know what that term implied.

If ever any man in the world did what young men are always told by good people to do—namely, to persevere—I am sure we did, Charley and I, with the Quaker's horse. Whether he suspected the mission on which we were bent, or was considering the danger of such a scene to his morals, I could not ascertain, but never did any animal show a greater reluctance to go anywhere except to his quiet home.

Charley plied the whip while I tugged the reins. Then he jumped out and patted the animal, tried to coax him with soft words, and then felt himself pulled along as the creature went backwards, I all the while exercising my utmost patience, for otherwise nothing could have prevented me from swearing.

However, I leave that part of my description to

sketch another phase or two of the great scene.

Your happiness at these great gatherings depended entirely upon the distance or proximity of the police. If they were pretty near, the landlord of the inn would hesitate about serving you, and if he did, would charge a far higher price in consequence of the supposed increased risk. He would never encourage a breach of the peace in defiance of the county magistrates, who were the authority to renew his license at Brewster Sessions. So much, then, if the officers of justice were near.

If they happened to be absent, which, as I have

said, occasionally occurred when a big thing was to come off, there was then a dominant feeling of social equality which you could never see manifested so strongly in any other place. A gentleman would think nothing of putting his fingers into your pockets and abstracting your money, and if you had the hardihood to resent the intrusion, would think less of putting his

fist into your eyes.

Here met the high-souled noble and low-browed villain from the foul slums of Whitechapel; the thimble-rig who showed you where the little pea was, and the gentleman who pointed out which was the 'loop in the garter' that 'held' the wire you were asked to insert, at the odds of two sovereigns to one, that you could not find it. They could safely have laid a hundred to one. We were by no means certain, as I learned, that our fight would come off after all, for it appeared the magistrates had given strict and specific instructions to the police that no combat was to take place in the county of Essex. Consequently the parties, whose duty it was to make preparations, had fled from that respectable county and gone away towards Six Mile Bottom, just in one of the corners of Cambridgeshire, as if the intention was that the dons of the University should have a look in. Constables slept more soundly in Cambridgeshire than in Essex. Moreover, the Essex magistrates would themselves have a moral right to witness the fight if it did not take place in their county.

Thus, breakfastless since five o'clock, we turned our horses' heads towards this celebrated rendezvous, and I must say our four-footed Quaker seemed better pleased with this part of the journey, as it was more

in the direction of his home. Charley had discovered by this time that he was not accustomed to the whip, for instead of urging him forward it produced the contrary effect. He planted his fore-legs firmly in the mud, and flashed his hind ones in the air. However, we got along by slow degrees towards the honoured spot, and when we came up with the crowd—oh!

Such a scene I had never witnessed in my life, nor could have conceived it possible on this earth or anywhere out of that abyss, the full description of which you will find in 'Paradise Lost.'

It was a procession of the blackguardism of all ages and of all countries under heaven. The sexes were apparently in equal numbers and in equal degrees of ugliness and ferocity. There were faces flat for want of noses, and mouths ghastly for want of teeth; faces scarred, bruised, battered into every shape but what might be called human. There were fighting-men of every species and variety-men whose profession it was to fight, and others whose brutal nature it was; there were women fighters, too, more deadly and dangerous than the men, because they added cruelty to their ferocity. Innumerable women there were who had lost the very nature of womanhood, and whose mouths were the mere outlet of oaths and filthy language. Their shrill clamours deafened our ears and subdued the deeper voices of the men, whom they chaffed, reviled, shrieked at, yelled at, and swore at by way of fun.

Amidst this turbulent rabble rode several members of the peerage, and even Ministerial supporters of the 'noble art,' exchanging with the low wretches I have mentioned a word or two of chaff or an occasional laugh at the grotesque wit and humour which are never absent from an English crowd.

As we approached the famous scene, to which everyone was looking with the most intense anticipation, the crowd grew almost frenzied with expectancy, and yet the utmost good-humour prevailed. In this spirit we arrived at Bourne Bridge, and thence to the place of encounter was no great distance. It was a little field behind a public-house.

Every face was now white with excitement, except the faces of the combatants. They were firm set as iron itself. Trained to physical endurance, they were equally so in nerve and coolness of temperament, and could not have seemed more excited than if they were going to dinner instead of to one of the most terrible encounters I ever witnessed.

To those who have never seen an exhibition of this kind it was quite amazing to observe with what rapidity the ropes were fixed and the ring formed; nor were the men less prompt. Into the ring they stepped with their supporters, or seconds, and in almost an instant the principals had shaken hands and were facing each other in what well might be deadly conflict. There were illustrious members of all classes assembled there, members probably of all professions, men who afterwards, as I know, became great in history, politics, law, literature, and religion, for it was a very great fight, and attracted all sorts and conditions from all places and positions. Nothing since that fight, except Tom Sayers and the 'Benicia Boy,' has attracted . so goodly and so fashionable an audience and so fierce an assembly of blackguards.

But in the time of the latter battle the decadence of

the Ring was manifest, and was the outcome of what is doubtless an increasing civilization. At the time of which I am now speaking the Prize Ring was one of our fashionable sports, supported by the wealthy of all classes, and was supposed to contribute to the manliness of our race; consequently our distinguished warriors, as well as the members of our most gentle professions, loved a good old-fashioned English 'set-to,' and nobody, as a rule, was the worse for it, although my poor brother Jack never recovered his half-crowns.

We had been advised to take our cushions from the gig to sit upon, because the straw round the ring was soddened with the heavy rains, and I need not say we found it was a very wise precaution. The straw had been placed round the ring for the benefit of the élite, who occupied front seats.

The fight now began, and, I must repeat, I never saw anything like it. Both pugilists were of the heaviest fighting weights. Caunt was a real giant, ugly as could be by the frequent batterings he had received in the face. His head was like a bull-dog's, and so was his courage, whilst his strength must have been that of a very Samson; but if it was, it did not reside in his hair, for that was short and close as a mouse's back.

At first I thought Brassy had the best of it; he was more active, being less ponderous, and landed some very ugly ones, cutting right into the flesh, although Caunt did not appear to mind it in the least. Brassy, however, did not follow up his advantage as I thought he ought to have done, and in my opinion dreaded the enormous power and force of his opponent in the event of his 'getting home.'

With the usual fluctuations of a great battle, the contest went on until nearly a hundred rounds were fought, lasting as many minutes, but no decisive effect was as yet observable. After this, however, Brassy could not come up to time. The event, therefore, was declared in Caunt's favour, and his opponent was carried off the field on a hurdle into the public-house, where I afterwards saw him in bed.

Thus terminated the great fight of the day, but not thus my day's adventures.

The sport was all that the most enthusiastic supporters of the Ring could desire. It no doubt had its barbarous aspects, regarded from a humanitarian point of view, but it was not so demoralizing as the spectacle of some poor creature risking his neck in a performance for which the spectator pays his sixpence, and the whole excitement consists in the knowledge that the actor may be dashed to pieces before his eyes.

It was time now to leave the scene, so Charley and I went to look for our gig (evidence of gentility from the time of Thurtell and Hunt's trial for the murder of Mr. Weare).

Alas! our respectability was gone—I mean the gig.

In vindication of the wisdom and foresight of Charley and myself, I should like to mention that we had entrusted that valuable evidence of our status to the keeping of a worthy stranger dressed in an old red jacket and a pair of corduroy trousers fastened with a wisp of hay below the knees.

When we arrived at the spot where he promised to wait our coming, he was gone, the horse and gig too; nor could any inquiries ascertain their whereabouts.

Whether this incident was a judgment on the Quaker, as Wright suggested, or one of the inevitable incidents attendant on a prize-fight, I am not in a position to say; but we thought it served the Quaker right for letting us a horse that would not go until the gentleman in the red jacket relieved us of any further trouble on that account.

Mistakes are so common amongst thieves, that one can never tell how the horse got away; but if I were put on my oath, knowing the proclivities of the animal, I should say that he was backed out of the field.

We were now, as it seemed, the most deplorable objects in creation. Without friends and without a gig, wet through, shelterless and breakfastless, amidst a crowd of drunken, loathsome outcasts of society, with only one solitary comfort between us—a pipe, which Charley enjoyed and I loathed. Drink is always quarrelsome or affectionate, generally the one first and the other after. When the tears dry, oaths begin, and we soon found that the quarrelsome stage of the company had been reached.

Amidst all this excitement we had not forgotten that this little matter of the prize-fight was but an incident on our journey to Newmarket, and thitherward we set our faces, not with an appearance which would have found us ready recognition in the Mall; but we cared nothing for the Mall, as we were not known by the fashion in the racing world, and as for the others, we should like to avoid them in any world.

You will wonder in these circumstances what we did. We waited where we were through the whole of that wet afternoon, and then, on a couple of hacks—how we obtained them I don't know; I never asked

Charley, and nothing of any importance turns upon them—we arrived at our comfortable Royston quarters about eight o'clock, tired to death.

We were received with a hearty welcome by my uncle, who was much entertained with our day's adventures. He liked my description of the fight, especially when I told him how Brassy 'drew Caunt's claret,' and showed such other knowledge of the scientific practice that no one could possibly have learnt had he not read up carefully *Bell's Life* for the current week.

I am sure my uncle thought I was one of the best of nephews, and I considered him in reality 'my only uncle.' Long, thought I, may he prove to be; and yet I never borrowed a penny from him in my life.

On the next day, fully equipped, and with all that was necessary for our distinguished position, we set out for Newmarket Heath, even now the glory of the racing world, not forgetting Goodwood, which is more or less a private business and fashionable picnic.

I shall not attempt to describe Newmarket. No one can describe the indescribable. I will only say it was not the Newmarket which our later generation knows. It was then in its crude state of original simplicity. There were no stands save 'the Duke's,' at the top of the town, and one other, somewhat smaller and nearer to the present grand-stand.

Those who could afford to do so rode on horseback about the Heath; those who could not walked if they felt disposed, or sat down on the turf, the best enjoyment of all if you are tired.

Being on our palfreys (now called 'hacks'), we rose vol. 1.

to and fro to 'The Old King,' and to the various starting and finishing posts.

I could tell something about the practices of the turf of those days, although I had not acquired much knowledge by experience. Indeed, I was so innocent that I cannot relate any of the details of the races of that day; but I recollect seeing a white horse whose name was Old Isaac, a well-known animal, and famous in his time. I do not know why I remember him, but I suppose he made the first impression on my mind, and many an 'old Isaac' have I met since, but not on four legs.

I took notice of those who were called 'the swells' of that day, an extinct race in ours, and who existed in great numbers; but they were a race of cannibals who ate one another up.

Amongst the celebrities of the turf I remember seeing Harry de Ratcliffe, who was connected with George IV.'s racing establishment, and whose name was even known to me when a boy at Hitchin, although I could not have told why or how I knew it.

#### CHAPTER VIII

#### MY FIRST BRIEF

I now returned to the more commonplace and familiar scenes of Elm Court. Newmarket had been a great pleasure, but a greater was in store.

No man at the Bar can forget the joy of his first brief—that wonderful oblong packet of white papers, tied with the mysterious pink tape, which his fourth share of the diminutive clerk brings him, marked with the important '1 gua.'

I speak not to stall-fed juniors who have not to wait till their merits are discovered, and who know that whosoever may watch and wait and hope or despair, they shall have enough. All blessings go with them; I never envied them their heritage. They are born to briefs as the sparks fly upwards. I tell my experience to those who will understand and appreciate every word I say; to men who have to make their way in the world by their own exertions, and live on their own labour or die of disappointment. There is one consolation even for the wretched waiters on solicitors' favours, and that is, that the men who have never had to work their way seldom rise to eminence or to any position but respectable mediocrity. They never knew hope, and will never know what it

is to despair, or to nibble the short herbage of the common where poorer creatures browse.

A father never looked on his firstborn with more pleasure than a barrister on his first brief. If the Tower guns were announcing the birth of an heir to the throne, he would not look up to ask, 'What is that?'

It was the turning-point of my life, for had there been no first brief pretty soon, I should have thought my kind relations' predictions were about to be verified. But I should never have returned home; there was still the stage left, on which I hoped to act my part.

Strange to say, my first brief, like almost everything in my life, had a little touch of humour in it.

I was instructed to defend a man at Hertford Sessions for stealing a wheelbarrow, and unfortunately the wheelbarrow was found on him; more unfortunate still—for I might have made a good speech on the subject of the animus furandi—the man not only told the policeman he stole it, but pleaded 'Guilty,' before the magistrates. I was therefore in the miserable condition of one doomed to failure, take what line I pleased. There was nothing to be said by way of defence, but I learnt a lesson never to be forgotten.

Being a little too conscientious, I told my client, the attorney, that in the circumstances I must return the brief, inasmuch as there was no defence for the

unhappy prisoner.

The attorney seemed to admire my principle, and instead of taking offence, smiled in a good-natured manner, and said it was no doubt a difficult task he had imposed on me, and would exchange the brief for another. He kept his word, and soon after returned

with a much easier case, a prosecution where the man pleaded 'Guilty.' It was a grand triumph, and I was much pleased.

Those were early days to begin picking and choosing briefs, for no man can do that unless he is much more wanted by clients than in want of them; but I learned the secret in after-life of a great deal of its success.

I was, however, a little chagrined when I saw the mistake I had made. Rodwell was leader of the sessions, and ought to have been far above a guinea brief; judge, then, of my surprise when I saw that same brief a few minutes after accepted by that great man, the brief I had refused because there was nothing to be said on the prisoner's behalf. My curiosity was excited to see what Rodwell would do with it, and what defence he would set up; it was soon gratified. He simply admitted the prisoner's guilt, and hoped the chairman, who was Lord Salisbury, would deal leniently with him.

I could have done that quite as well myself, and pocketed the guinea. From that moment I resolved never to turn a case away because it was hopeless. I could always find something to say, and if I could not I could find someone to say it for me. I did a deal of practice in that way in after-years to the advantage of many of my friends.

Their name was 'Legion.'

# CHAPTER IX

# AN EPISODE AT HERTFORD QUARTER SESSIONS

Hearsay is not, as a rule, evidence in a court of justice. There are one or two exceptions which I need not mention. If you want, therefore, to say what Smith said, you cannot say it, but must call Smith himself, and probably he will swear he never said anything of the sort.

The Marquis of Salisbury, in the early days that I speak of, was a kind-hearted chairman, and would never allow the quibble of the lawyer to stand in the way of justice to the prisoner. In those days at sessions they were not so nice in the observances of mere forms as they are now, and you could sometimes get in something that was not exactly evidence, strictly speaking, in favour of a prisoner by a side-wind, as it were, although it was not the correct thing to do.

It happened that I was instructed to defend a man who had been committed to Hertford Quarter Sessions on a charge of felony. The committing magistrates having refused to let the man out on bail, an application was made at Judges' Chambers before Mr. Baron Martin to reverse that decision, which he did.

'Not a rag of evidence,' said the attorney's clerk when he delivered the little brief—'not a shadder of evidence, Mr. 'Awkins. It's a walk-over, sir.'

I knew that meant a nominal fee, but wondered how many more similes he was going to deliver instead of the money. But to the honour of the solicitor, I am bound to say that point was soon cleared up, and the practice of magistrates supposed to be in their right minds, committing people for trial with no 'shadder' of evidence against them, it now became my duty to inquire into. I asked how he knew there was no evidence, and whether the man bore a respectable character.

'Oh, I was up before the Baron,' he answered. ('Yes,' I thought, 'but you must wake very early if you are up too soon for Baron Martin.') 'And the Baron said, as to grantin' bail, "Certainly he should; the magistrates had no business to commit him for trial, for there was not a rag of a case against the man." So you see, sir, it's a easy case, Mr. 'Awkins, and as the man's a poor man, we can't mark much of a fee.'

The usual complaint with quarter sessions solicitors. Such were my instructions. I was young in practice at that time, and took a great deal more in—I mean in the way of credulity—than I did in after-life. Nor was I very learned in the ways of solicitors' clerks. I knew that hearsay evidence, even in the case of a judge's observation, was inadmissible, and, therefore, what the Baron said could not strictly be given; but I did not know how far you might go in the country, nor what the Marquis's opinion might be of the Baron. I therefore mentioned it to Rodwell, who, of course, was instructed for the prosecution; he was, in every-

thing, on one side or the other—never, I believe, on both.

This stickler for etiquette was absolutely shocked; he held up his hands, began a declamation on the rules of evidence, and uttered so many Pharisaical platitudes that I only escaped annihilation by a hair's-breadth. He was always furious on etiquette.

Much annoyed at his bumptious manner, I was resolved now, come what would, to pay him off. I wanted to show him he was not everybody, even at Hertford Sessions. So when the case came on and the policeman was in the box, I rose to cross-examine him, which I did very quietly.

'Now, policeman, I am going to ask you a question, but pray don't answer it till you are told to do so, because my learned friend may object to it.'

Rodwell sprang to his feet and objected at once.

'What is the question?' asked the Marquis. 'We must hear what the question is before I can rule as to your objection, Mr. Rodwell.'

This was a good one for Mr. Rodwell, and made him colour up to his eyebrows, especially as I looked at him

and smiled.

'The question, my lord,' said I, 'is a very simple one: Did not Mr. Baron Martin say, when applied to for bail, that there was not a rag of a case against the prisoner?'

'This is monstrous!' said the learned stickler for forms and ceremonies—'monstrous! Never heard of

such a thing!'

It might have been monstrous, but it gave me an excellent grievance with the jury, even if the Marquis did not see his way to allow the question;

and a grievance is worth something, if you have no defence.

The Marquis paid great attention to the case, especially after that observation of the Baron's. Although he regretted that it could not be got in as evidence—he was good enough to say I should get the benefit of it with the jury.

All this time there was a continuous growl from my learned friend of 'Monstrous! monstrous!' so much so that for days after that word kept ringing in my ears, as monotonous as a muffin bell on a Sunday afternoon.

But I believe he was more irritated by my subsequent conduct, for I played round the question like one longing for forbidden fruit, and emphasized the objection of my learned friend now and again—all very wrong, I know now, but in the heyday of youthful ardour how many faults we commit!

'Just tell me,' I said to the policeman, 'did the learned Judge—I mean Mr. Baron Martin—seem to know what he was about when he let this man out on bail?'

'Oh yes, sir,' said the witness, 'he knowed what he was about, right enough,' stroking his chin.

'You may rely on that,' said the Marquis. 'You may take that for granted, Mr. Hawkins.'

'I thought so, my lord; there is not a Judge on the Bench who can see through a case quicker than the Baron.'

The grumbling still continued.

- 'Now then, don't answer this.'
- 'You have already ruled, my lord,' said Rodwell.
- 'This is another one,' said I; 'but if it's regular to keep objecting before the prisoner's counsel has a chance of putting his question, I sit down, my lord.

I shall be allowed, probably, to address the jury—that is, if Mr. Rodwell does not object.

The noble Marquis, on seeing my distress, said:

'Mr. Hawkins, the question needs no answer from the policeman; you will get the benefit of it for what it is worth. The jury will draw their own conclusions from Mr. Rodwell's objections.'

As they did upon the whole case, for they acquitted, much to Mr. Rodwell's annoyance.

'Now,' said the Marquis, 'let the officer stand back. I want to ask what the Baron really did say when he let this man out on bail.'

'My lord,' answered the witness, 'his lordship said as how he looked upon the whole lot as a gang of thieves.'

'You've got it now,' said Rodwell.

'And so have you,' said I. 'You should not have objected, and then you would have got the answer he has just given.'

I subjoin a copy of my first brief, as my readers may like to see it, and will give some account of one that was offered me fifty years after, in its proper place.

It must be remembered that in those days the gallows was a very popular institution; they hanged for the most trivial offences, and this case would have been considered a very serious one; while a sentence of seven years' transportation was almost as good as an acquittal. No. 10.

Herts.

Michaelmas Sessions, 1844. Regina

Elizabeth Norman.

Brief for the Prosecution.

Mr. Hawkins, 1 Gua.

H. Hawkins.

Plea-Guilty.

н. н.

Oct. 14, 1844.

Transported for 7 years. H. H.

11. 13

Cobham.

Ware.

These are my notes:

Sep. 20.

Mr. Page.

Silk shawl.

Apprehension.

Various accounts.
Exam. before J—— J——

Propy found.

Mrs. Stevens, Witnesses.

I made a rule throughout my professional life to note my cases with the greatest care.

# CHAPTER X

# HOW I ESCAPED FROM A DANGEROUS SITUATION

A NAME occurs to my mind which I learned when in a very striking situation, and which recurred half a century later, when I had attained a different position. But that circumstance will be told in its proper order.

I had been to Paris in the summer of 18- for a little holiday, and was returning in the evening, after some races had taken place near that city. I had not attended them, and was, in fact, not aware that they were being held; but I soon discovered the fact from finding myself in the midst of the motley crowd which always throng railway-stations on such occasions, only at this particular day they were a little worse than usual. The race-meeting had brought together the roughs of all nations, and especially from England. As it seemed to me, my fellow-countrymen always took the lead in this kind of competition. I was surrounded with a sea of faces resembling those I met on the way to the prize-fight-shapeless faces, low-browed, flat and evil-looking. It seemed as if I was doomed to study this peculiar phase of man-If so, I was prepared to make the best of my opportunities, and learn as much as I could in as short a time.

1 × 1

I was endeavouring to get to the booking-office amongst the rest of the crowd, and there was far more pushing and struggling than was at all necessary for that purpose. Presently a burly ruffian, with a low East-End face of the slum pattern and complexion, rolled out a volley of oaths at me for pushing against him. I did not push against him, for he was the last object I would have come in contact with if I could have avoided it. He asked me where the —— I was pushing and what game I was up to, as though I was a professional pickpocket like himself. He had the advantage of me in being surrounded by a gang of the most loathsome blackguards you could imagine, while I was without a friend.

The bully turned his scarred, blotched, bloated face partly round, and continued his questions as to what I wanted and where I wished to go to, being evidently anxious for a row, in which I should have been hustled and robbed without a chance of escape. Indeed, it would have been providential to have escaped the lock-up. I spoke, therefore, very civilly, and said the crowd were pushing behind and forcing me forward. The brute was annoyed at my coolness, and irritated all the more.

Hitherto his language had not been strong enough to frighten me, so he improved its strength by some tremendous epithets, considerably above proof. I think he must have enjoyed the exclusive copyright, for I never knew his superlatives imitated. He finished the harangue by saying that he would knock my head off if I said another word.

To this I replied, with a look stronger than all his `language, 'No, you won't!'

My look must have been strong, because the countenances of the bystanders were a little subdued.

'Why won't I, muster?' he asked.

'For two reasons,' I said: 'first, because you won't try, and secondly, because you could not if you did.'

He was somewhat tamed, and I then lifted my hat, so that he could see my close-cropped hair, which was as short as his own, only not for the same reason. 'You don't seem to know who I am,' I added, hoping he would now take me for a member of the prizering. But my appearance did not frighten him. I had nothing but my short-cropped hair to rely on; so in self-defence I had to devise another stratagem. To frighten him one must look the ruffian in the face, or look the ruffian that he was. He continued to abuse me as we passed on our way to the booking-office window, and I have no doubt he and his gang were determined to rob me. One thing was common between us - we had no regard for one another. I now assumed as bold a manner as I could and a rough East-End accent: 'Look-ee 'ere,' said I: 'I know you don't keer for me no more 'an I keers for you. I ain't afraid o' no man, and I'll tell you what it is: it's your ignorance of who I am that makes you bold. I know you ain't a bad un with the maulers. Let's have no more nonsense about it here. I'll fight you on Monday week, say, for a hundred a side in the Butts, and we'll post the money at Peter Crawley's next Saturday. What d'ye say to that?'

Peter Crawley, whom I have already mentioned as inviting me to breakfast, was like a thunderclap to him. I must be somebody if I knew Peter Crawley, and now he doubtless bethought him of my short hair.

I must confess if the fellow had taken me at my word I should have been in as great a funk as he was, but he did not, and so I posed as well as the narrow limits of my position enabled me, as a great pugilist, only waiting for the stakes to be covered. I beat him at the game of bluff.

'I don't want no row,' he said; and at that moment a voice in the crowd behind was heard.

'It's a fair offer, Joe, the gent makes—it's a fair offer; it's right enough. Let's have no row.'

The man who spoke was Coney, whose name years after was destined to figure in the English law reports in a leading case, which I will speak of by-and-by.

However, my challenge was boldly delivered and as boldly declined, so I escaped from that awful gang of Whitechapel thieves and pickpockets unhurt, with my head still on my shoulders, to be turned to more useful purposes in future times.

A curious incident happened once in the rural district of Saffron Walden. It is a borough no doubt, but it always seemed to me to be too small for any grown-up thing, and its name sounded more like a little flower-bed than anything else. And yet it was a borough, and had its Recorder and other judicial and ministerial authorities, and I am even going to tell you how once upon a time it actually had a prisoner of sufficient proportions to match all the other attributes of its municipal existence.

I don't know how I came to be there on this particular occasion; perhaps I was merely lounging about for the purpose of improving my mind, and possibly contributing to my health.

However, at Saffron Walden I was, and there was

a great sensation because they had got a prisoner, an event which baffled the experience of the oldest inhabitant. Being known to one of the vergers, or whatever he was called (he acted as one of our javelin-men on circuit), I got in with more ease than I otherwise should; for if a court is crowded there's an end of a barrister's rights, unless he has business. This is merely by way of explanation.

The Recorder was an elderly barrister, full of pomp and dignity; and, like many of his brother Recorders, had very seldom a prisoner to try. You may therefore imagine with what stupendous importance he was invested when he found that the rural magistrates had committed a little boy for trial for stealing a ball of twine. Think of the grand jury filing in to be 'charged' by this judicial dignitary. Imagine his charge, his well-chosen sentences in anticipation of the one to come at the end of the sitting. Think of his eloquent disquisition on the law of larceny! It was all there!

The learned functionary was a small man, but by no means too small for the place; he would have fitted well enough at two or three sizes less. But what he lacked in stature he made up in dignity, for he distended his cheeks from time to time, as if to blow off the steam that gave him such power, and puffed away at his own greatness with every sign of self-approbation. Nobody could have done it better.

It should be known as widely as possible that persons in these dignified positions ought to comport themselves so as to show that they are perfectly indifferent to everybody else in the world.

After the usual proclamation against vice and immorality had been read, which the Recorder seemed to

think a high compliment to pay to the Saffron Walden people—as great as it would be if a man were to read it in his own family circle—and after the grand jury had duly found a true bill, the next thing was to find the prisoner and bring him up for trial.

We may not be sentimental, or I might have cried 'God save the child!' as the usher said 'God save the Queen!' But 'Suffer little children to come unto Me' would not have applied to our gaols in those miserable and inhuman times. Mercy and sympathy were out of the question when you had law and order to maintain, as well as all the functionaries who had to contribute to their preservation.

'Put up the prisoner!' said the Recorder in solemn and commanding tones.

Down into the jaws of the cavern below the dock descended the gaoler of six feet two—the only big thing about the place. He was a resolute-looking man in full uniform, and I can almost feel the breathless silence that pervaded the court during his absence.

Time passed, and no one appeared. When a sufficient interval had elapsed for the stalwart gaoler to have eaten his prisoner, had he been so minded, the Recorder, looking up from behind the *Times*, which he appeared to be reading, asked why the prisoner was not 'put up.' This was spoken in a very stern voice.

They did not put up the boy, but the gaoler, with a blood-forsaken face, put himself up through the hole, like a policeman coming through a trap-door in a pantomime.

'Why don't you bring up the prisoner?' asked the learned Recorder.

'I beg your honour's pardon, my lord, but they have forgot to bring him.'

'Forgot to bring him! What do you mean? Where is he?'

'They've left him at Chelmsford, your honour.'

It seemed there was no gaol at Saffron Walden, because, to the honour of the borough, be it said, they had no one to put into it; and this small child had been committed for safe custody to Chelmsford to wait his trial at sessions, and had been there so long that he was actually forgotten when the day of trial came. I never heard anything more of him, but hope his small offence was forgotten as well as himself.

This, however, reminds me of a ludicrous circumstance of another kind that occurred when I was a small boy, and when those dignified beings in red and gold, known as beadles, were in full bloom, sometimes combining the more important office of sexton as well.

The sexton whom I remember was a stern man, and a most workman-like grave-digger. He had dug more graves than any living man; and used to say with a little levity concerning his vocation that he provided a home for everybody, and they never 'turned 'em out when he once put 'em in!'

His attire was not exactly clerical; nevertheless, of a mournful complexion and somewhat saintly—that is to say, when he was on Sunday duty. He always carried a long ash stick which he twirled occasionally round the bodies of small boys who misbehaved themselves on what he facetiously called his 'manor'—the manor of God's-acre. In fact, whenever he met a small boy it was his mode of discipline to give him a cut with his ash wand. If a boy slept in church he awoke him with that instrument, and thus prevented him from sleeping during the rest of the service. This official's name was Morgan, and his duty was to assist the clergyman at funerals.

On one occasion a man was to be buried, and the bearers were four paupers from the workhouse. They were carrying a brother pauper to the only home he ever had; and as they were slowly wending their way to the grave, there came running at full speed a little boy shouting, 'Hould 'ard! hould 'ard!' The sexton saw him, and got his ash stick ready for its proper office. The boy dodged him with wonderful agility, but at last the ash knew too much for him, and came across him with such a swish that the boy leaped into the air.

Nothing daunted, he reached the clergyman, who was standing with his book ready to begin the service. 'Hould 'ard, sir!' he said—'hould 'ard! Please, sir, they've left Maister Farlow at hoame!'

I relate this as something that happened in my presence, and never set myself to solve the mystery. I have no doubt, however, that the mistake was speedily remedied and Maister Farlow duly deposited as a tenant in Morgan's manor.

#### CHAPTER XI

# THE ONLY 'RACER' I EVER OWNED

I HAVE been often asked whether I ever owned a racer. In point of fact, I never did, although I went as near to that honour as any man who never arrived at it—a racer, too, who afterwards carried its owner's colours triumphantly past the winning-post.

The reader may have been shocked at the story I told of those poor ill-brought up children whose mother was murdered, from the natural feeling that if pure innocence is not to be found in childhood, where are we to seek it?

I will indicate the spot in three words—on the Turf.

True, you will find fraud, cunning, knavery, and robbery, but you will find also the most unsophisticated innocence.

I went as a spectator and a lover of sport and a lover of horses; and took more delight in it than I ever could in any haunt of fashionable idleness.

I amused myself by watching the proceedings of the betting-ring, where there is a good deal more honesty than in many places dignified by the name of 'marts.'

But if there was no innocence on the turf, rogues

could not live; they are not cannibals—not, at all events, while they can obtain tenderer food. And are there not commercial circles also which could not exist without their equally innocent supporters?

Experience may be a dear school, but its lessons are never forgotten. A very little should go a long way, and the wisest make it go farthest. If anyone wants a picture of innocence on the turf, let me give one of my own drawing, taken from nature.

All my life I have loved animals, especially horses and dogs; and all field sports, especially hunting and racing. But I went on the turf with as much simplicity as a girl possesses at her first ball, knowing nothing about public form or the way to calculate odds, to hedge, or do anything but wonder at the number of fools there were in the world. I did not know 'a thing or two,' like the knowing ones who lose all they possess. Who could believe that men go about philanthropically to inform the innocent how to 'put their money on,' while they carefully avoid putting on their own? Tipsters, in short, were no part of my racing creed. I was not so ignorant as that. I believed in a good horse quite as much as Lord Rosebery does, and much more than I believed in a good rider. But there were even then honest jockeys, as well as unimpeachable owners. All you can say is, honesty is honesty everywhere, and you will find a good deal of it on the turf, if you know where to look for it; and its value is in proportion to its quantity. The moment you depart a hair's-breadth from its immaculate principle there is no medium state between that and roguery.

However, be that as it may, I was once the owner

of a pedigree thoroughbred called Dreadnought, which was presented to me when a colt. Dreadnought's dam Collingwood was by Muley Moloch out of Barbelle. Dreadnought was good for nothing as a racer, and had broken down in training. As a castaway he was offered to me, and I gladly accepted the present.

Being too young to work, I sent him down to——Park to be kept till he was fit for use. He was there for a considerable time, and was then sent back in a neglected and miserable condition.

I rode him for some time, until one day he took me to Richmond Park, and on going up the hill fell and cut both his knees to pieces and mine as well. This was a sad mishap, and, of course, I could have no further confidence in poor Dreadnought, fond of him as I was, so he was placed under the care of a skilful veterinary surgeon, who gave him every attention. His bill was by no means heavy, and he brought him quite round again.

In the course of time he acquired a respectable appearance, although his broken knees, to say nothing of his 'past,' prevented his becoming valuable so far as I was concerned. Certainly I had no expectation of his ever going on to the turf. How could one believe that any owner would think of entering him for a race?

One morning my groom came to me and said, 'I think, sir, I can find a purchaser for Dreadnought, if you have no objection to selling him; he's a gentleman, sir, who would take great care of him and give him a good home.'

'Sell him!' said I. Well, I should not object if he found a good master. I cannot ride him, and he is

practically useless. What price does he seem inclined to offer for him?'

'Well, he ain't made any offer, sir, but he seems a good deal took with him and to like the look of him. Perhaps, sir, he might come and see you. I told him that I thought a matter o' fifteen pun might buy un, I dunnow whether I did right, sir, but I told un you would never take a farden less. I stuck to that,'

'No,' said I, 'certainly not, when the vet's bill was twelve pounds ten-not a farthing less, James.'

When the proposed purchaser came, he said: 'It's a poor horse—a very poor horse; he wants a lot of looking after, and I shouldn't think of buying him except for the sake of seeing what I could do with him, for I am not fond of lumber, Mr. Hawkins-I don't care for lumber.'

It was straightforward, but I did not at the time see his depth of feeling. He was evidently intending to buy him out of compassion, as he had some knowledge of his ancestors; but I stuck to my fifteen pounds hard and fast, and at last he said: 'Well, Mr. Hawkins, I'll give you all you ask, if so be you'll throw in the saddle and bridle!

I was tired of the negotiations, and yielded; so away went poor Dreadnought with his saddle and bridle, never for me to look on again. I was sorry to part with him, and the more so because his life had been But I was deceived in him as well unfortunate. as in his new master. From me he had concealed his merits, only to reveal them, as is often the case with latent genius, when some accidental opportunity offered.

At that time Bromley in Kent was a central

attraction for a great many second-class patrons of the sporting world. I know little about the events that were negotiated at Bromley and other small places of the kind, but there was, as I have been informed, a good deal of blackguardism and pickpocketing on its course and in its little primitive streets—lucky if you came out of them with only one black eye. They would steal the teeth out of your mouth if you did not keep it shut and your eyes open.

However, Bromley races came on some time after the sale of my Dreadnought. The next morning my groom came with a look of astonishment that seemed to have kept him awake all night, and said:

'You'll be surprised to hear, sir, that our 'oss has won a fifty-pound prize at Bromley, and a pot of money besides in bets for his owner.'

'Won a prize!' said I. 'Was it by standing on his head?'

'Won a race, sir.'

'Then it must have been a walk-over.'

'Oh no, sir; he beat the cracks, beat the favourites, and took in all the knowing ones. I always said there was something about that there 'oss, sir, that I didn't understand and nobody couldn't understand, sir.'

I was absolutely dumfoundered, knowing very little about 'favourites' or 'cracks.' My groom I knew I could rely upon, for he always seemed to be the very soul of honour. I thought at first he might have been misled in some Bromley tap-room, but afterwards found that it was all true—he had heard it from the owner himself, in whom the public seemed to place confidence, for they laid very long odds against Dreadnought.

The animal was famous, but not in that name; he had, like most honest persons, an alias. How he achieved his victory is uncertain; one thing, however, is certain: it must have been a startling surprise to Dreadnought to find himself in a race at all, and still more astonishing to find himself in front.

'How many ran?' I asked.

'Three, sir; two of 'em crack horses.'

At this time I took little interest in pedigrees, and knew nothing of the 'cracks,' so the names of those celebrated animals which Dreadnought had beaten are forgotten. One of them, it appeared, had been heavily backed at 9 to 4, but Dreadnought did not seem to care for that; he ran, not on his public form, but on his merits. My eyes were opened at last, and the whole mystery was solved when James told me that all three horses belonged to the same owner!

From that time to this I never heard what became of Dreadnought, and never saw the man who bought him, even in the dock. It is strange, however, that animals so true and faithful as dogs and horses should be instruments so perverted as to make men liars and rogues; while for intelligence many of them could give most of us pounds and pass us easily at the winning-post.

Take the little fox-terrier that I once owned many years before my faithful Jack saw the light. He used to sleep outside my bedroom on a mat, and one night woke me by scratching as though he would tear the door down. I knew something was the matter, and got up. The moment the door was opened he led me downstairs to a window on the landing at which a burglarious gentleman was endeavouring to enter. I

caught sight of the evil-looking brute, as he caught sight of Tim's teeth, and very soon felt them in his face, which was actually staring at us as he was getting through. But down he went with a heavy thud, and I could just see he was not killed, for his faithful companion supported him as they wended their way into the street. The terrier, meanwhile, aroused the whole neighbourhood, for there was no parliamentary way of closuring him, and never ceased to give the alarm until I carried him upstairs in my arms and put him to bed. Poor fellow! he died only a few weeks after.

But speaking of dogs and their ways reminds me of dog-stealers and their ways, of which some years ago I had a curious experience. I have told the story before, but it has become altered, and the true one has never been heard since. Indeed, no story is told correctly when its copyright is infringed.

There was a man at the time referred to known as old Sam Linton, the most extraordinary dog-fancier who ever lived, and the most curious thing about him was that he always fancied other people's dogs to He was a remarkable dog-finder, too. In his own. these days of dogs' homes the services of such a man as Linton are not so much in request; but he was a home in himself, and did a great deal of good in his way by restoring lost dogs to their owners; so that it became almost a common question in those days, when a lady lost her pet, to ask if she had made any inquiry of old Sam Linton. He was better than the wise woman who indicated in some mysterious jargon where the stolen watch might or might not be found in the distant future, for old Sam brought you the very dog

on a specified day! The wise woman never knew where the lost property was; old Sam did.

I dare say he was a great blackguard, but as he has long joined the majority it is of no consequence. He went away without leaving his address, and, perhaps, as he keeps it dark, as he did here, he may still be looking after dogs not lost but gone before. There was one thing I admired about Sam: there was a thorough absence in him of all hypocrisy and cant. He professed no religion whatever, but acted upon the principle that a bargain was a bargain, and should be carried out as between man and man. That was his idea, and as I found him true to it, I respected him accordingly, and mention his name as one of the few genuinely honest men I have met. The way I made his acquaintance was singular. I was dining with my brother benchers at the Middle Temple Hall, when a message was brought that a gentleman would like to see me 'partickler' after dinner, if I could give him a few minutes.

When I came out of the hall, there was a man looking very like the burglar that my little fox-terrier flew at. It was old Sam Linton, the great dogfinder, as well known in society as the Bishop of London. His dress, or what you should call his 'getup,' is worth a momentary glance. He had a cat-skin cap in his hand about as large as a frying-pan, and nearly of the same colour—this he kept turning round and round first with one hand, then with both-a pea-jacket with large pearl buttons, corduroy breeches, a kind of moleskin waistcoat, and blucher shoes. He impressed one in a moment as being fond of drink. On one or two occasions I found this quality of great

service to me in matters relating to the discovery of lost dogs. Drink no doubt has its advantages to those who do not drink.

'Muster Orkins, sir,' said he, 'beggin' your pardon, sir, but might I have a word with you, Muster Orkins, if it ain't a great intrusion, sir?'

I saw my man at once, and showed him that I understood business.

'You are Sam Linton?'

It took his breath away. He hadn't much, but poor old Sam did not like to part with it. In a very husky voice, that never seemed to get outside his mouth, he said:

' Yas, sur; that's it, Muster Orkins'—with an East-End accent.

His huskiness, I should like to say, was not like that of Mr. Justice Maule, which, though wheezy and asthmatical, was refined to a degree that made it almost musical. Sam's was guttural, or sometimes cat-guttural, if I may be allowed to say what forcibly struck me at the time, although by no means intended as a pun or a joke at this present moment. Then he breathed, 'Yer 'onner, wot I means to say is this—'

'What do you want, Linton? Never mind what

you mean to say; I know you'll never say it.'

'Well, Mr. Orkins, sir, ye see it is as this: you've lost a little dorg. Well, you'll say, "How do you know that 'ere, Sam?" "Well, sir," I says, "'ow don't I know it? Ain't you bin an' offered fourteen pun for that there leetle dorg? Why, it's knowed dreckly all round Mile End—the werry 'ome of lorst dorgs—and that there dorg, find him when you wool, why, he

ain't worth more'n fourteen bob, sir." Now, 'ow d'ye 'count for that, sir?'

'You've seen him, then?'

'Not I.' says Sam, unmoved even by a twitch; 'but I knows a party as 'as, and it ain't likely, Mr. Orkins, as you'll get 'im by orferin' a price like that, for why? Why, it stands to reason-don't it, Mr. Orkins !- it ain't the dory your payin' for, but your feelin's as these 'ere wagabonds is tradin' on Mr. Orkins; that's where it is. Oh, sir, it's abomnible, as I tells 'em, keepin' a gennelman's dorg.'

I was perfectly thunderstruck with the man's philosophy and good feeling.

'Go on, Mr. Linton.'

'Well, Mr. Orkins, they knows-damn 'em!-as your feelin's ull make you orfer more and more, for who knows that there dorg might belong to a lady, and then her feelin's has to be took into consideration. I'll tell ee now, Mr. Orkins, how this class of wagabond works, for wagabonds I must allow they be. Well, they meets, let's say, at a public, and one says to another, "I say, Bill," he says, "that there dawg as you found 'longs to Lawyer Orkins; he's bloomin' fond o' dawgs, is Lawyer Orkins, so they say, and he can pay for it." "Right you are," says Bill, "and a d—— lawyer shall pay for it. He makes us pay when we wants him, and now we got him we'll make him pay." So you see, Mr. Orkins, where it is, and whereas the way to do it is to say to these fellers— I'll just suppose, sir, I'm you and you're me, sir; no offence, I hopes—"Well, I wants the dawg back." Well, they says; leastways, I ses, ses I:

"Lawyer Orkins, you lost a dawg, have yer?"

- "Yes," ses you, "I have," like a gennelman—excuse my imitation, sir—"and I don't keer a damn for the whelp!" That's wot you orter say. "He's only a bloomin' mongrel."
  - 'Very good; what next? What next, Mr. Linton?'
- "Don't yer?" says the tother feller; "then what the h— are yer looken' arter him for?"
- "Well," you ses, Mr. Orkins, "you can go to h—. I don't keer for the dawg; he ain't my fancy."
  - 'A proper place for the whole lot of you, Sam.'
- 'But, excuse me, Mr. Orkins, sir, that's for future occasions. This 'ere present one, in orferin' fourteen pun, you've let the cat out o' the bag, and what I could ha' done had you consulted me sooner I can't do now; I could ha' got him for a fi-pun note at one time, but they've worked on your feelin's, and, mark my words, they'll want twenty pun as the price o' that there dawg, as sure as my name's Sam Linton. That's all I got to say, Mr. Orkins, and I thought I'd come and warn yer like a man—he's got into bad hands, that there dawg.'
- 'I am much obliged, Mr. Linton; you seem a straightforward-dealing man.'
- 'Well, sir, I tries to act upright and downstraight; and, as I ses, if a man only does that he ain't got nothin' to fear, 'as he, Muster Orkins?'
  - 'When can I have him, Sam?'
- 'Well, sir, you can have him—let me see—Monday was a week, when you lost him; next Monday'll be another week, when I found him; that'll be a fortuit. Suppose we see next Tonesday week?'

'Suppose we say to-morrow.'

'Oh!' said Sam, 'then I thinks you'll be sucked in ! The chances are, Mr. Orkins, you won't see him at all. Why, sir, you don't know how them chaps carries on their business. Would you believe it, Mr. Orkins, a genleman comes to me, and he ses, "Sam," he ses, "I want to find a little pet dawg as belonged to a lidy"-which was his wife, in course-and he ses the lidy was nearly out of her mind. "Well," I ses, "sir, to be 'onest with you, don't you mention that there fact to anybody but me"-because when a lidy goes out of her mind over a lorst dawg up goes the price, and you can't caulculate bank-rate, as they ses. The price 'll go up fablous, Mr. Orkins; there's nothin' rules the market like that there. Well, at last I agrees to do my best for the gent, and he says, just as you might say, Mr. Orkins, just now: "When can she have him?" Well, I told him the time—but what a innercent question, Mr. Orkins! "Why not before?" says he, with a kind of a angry voice, like yours just now, sir. "Why, sir," I ses, "these people as finds dawgs 'ave their feelin's as well as losers 'as theirs, and sometimes when they can't find the owner, they sells the animal." Well, they sold this gentleman's animal to a Major, and the reason why he couldn't be had for a little while was that the Major, being fond on him, and 'avin' paid a good price for the dawg, it would ha' been cruel if he did not let him have the pleasure of him like for a few days-or a week.'

Sam and I parted the best of friends, and, I need not say, on the best of terms I could get. I knew him

for many years after this incident, and say to his credit that, although he was sometimes hard with customers, he acted, from all one ever heard, strictly in accordance with the bargain he made, whatever it might be; and what is more singular than all, I never heard of old Sam Linton getting into trouble.

#### CHAPTER XII

#### WHY I STOPPED CARD-PLAYING

LIKE most men who are not saints, I had the natural instinct for gambling, without any passion for it; but soon found the necessity for suppressing my inclination for cards lest it should interfere with my legitimate profession. It was necessary to abandon the indulgence, or abandon myself to its temptations.

Many of our virtuous resolutions are brought about by experience, while all our errors are the result of temptation. As the thief remarked to the Alderman, 'Put yourself in my place, your worship.' 'Thank you, no,' said his worship; 'I would rather remain where I am.'

I owe my determination never to play again at cards to the bad luck which befell me on a particular occasion at Ascot on the Cup Day of the year 18—. I was at that time struggling to make my way in my profession, and carefully storing up my little savings for the proverbial rainy day.

Having been previously to the Epsom summer races, and had such extraordinary good luck, nothing but a severe reverse would have induced me to take the step I did. Good luck is fascinating, and invariably leads us on, with bad luck sometimes close behind

VOL. I. 97

I went to Epsom with my dear old friend Charley Wright, and we soon set to work in one of the booths to make something towards our fortunes at rouge et noir. The booth was kept by a man who seemed—to me, at all events—to be the soul of honour. I had no reason to speak otherwise than well of him, for I staked a half-crown on the black, and won two half-crowns every time, or nearly every time.

I thought it a most excellent game, and with less of the element of chance or skill in it than any game I ever played. My pockets were getting stuffed with halfcrowns so that they bulged, and caused me to wonder if I should be allowed to leave the racecourse alive, for there were many thieves who visited the Downs in those days.

But my friend Charley was with me, and I knew he would be a pretty trustworthy fellow in a row. This, however, was but a momentary thought, for I was too much engrossed in the game and in my good luck to dwell on possibilities. Nor did I interest myself in Charley's proceedings, but took it for granted that a game so propitious to me was no less so to him. He was playing with several others; who or what they were was of no moment to me. I pursued my game quietly, and picked up my half-crowns with great gladness and with no concern for those who had lost them.

Presently, however, my attention was momentarily diverted by hearing Charley let off a most uncontrollable 'D—n!

<sup>&#</sup>x27;What's the matter, Charley?' I asked, without lifting my head.

<sup>&#</sup>x27;Matter,' says Charley; 'rooked, that's all l'

'Rooked! That's very extraordinary. I'm winning ike anything. Look here!' and I pointed to my pockets, which were almost bursting.

'Yes,' said he, 'I see how it is: you've been winning on two's to one, and I've been losing on three's.'

'Black's the winning colour to-day, Charley—noir; you should have backed noir. Besides, long odds are much too risky. I am quite content with two to one.'

Here there was a general break-up of the party, because Charley being out of it as well as several others, it left only one, and, of course, the keeper of the booth was not so foolish, however honourable, to pay me two half-crowns and win only one. So there it ended.

That night I made this game a study, and the sensible conclusion came to me that if you would take advantage of the table you should play for the lower stakes, because you have a better chance of winning than those who play high. At least, that was the result of my policy; for while those who played high were ruined, my pockets were filled, and, by that cautious mode of playing, I was so lucky that, had there been enough at three's to one, I could have kept on making money as long as they had any to lose.

I changed my half-crowns with the booth-keeper for gold, and reached my chambers safely with the spoil. And how pleasant it was to count it!

It has occurred to me since that the keeper of the booth had carefully noted my proceedings (such was my innocence), and that he made his calculations for a future occasion. One thing he was quite sure of—namely, that he would see me again on the first opportunity there was of winning more half-crowns.

It is possible that a succession of runs of luck might have put an end to my professional career; it is certain that the opposite result put an end to my card-playing aspirations.

In about a fortnight, all eager for a renewal of my Epsom experience, I went down to the Ascot meeting, taking with me not only all my previous winnings, but my store of savings for the rainy day, and was determined to pursue the same moderate system of cautious play.

There was the same booth, the same little flag fluttering on the top, and the same obliging proprietor. He recognised me at once, and looked as if he was quite sure I would be there—as if, in fact, he had been waiting for me. After a pleasant greeting and a few friendly words, I thought it a little odd that a man should be so glad to meet one who had come to fill his pockets at the booth-keeper's expense—at least, I thought this afterwards, not at the time. He looked genuinely pleased, and down I sat once more, quite sure that two to one would beat three.

The proprietor kept his eye on my play in a very thoughtful manner, nor was it surprising that he knew his game as well as I; in fact, it turned out that he knew it better. To this day I am unable to explain how he manouvred it, how he adjusted his tactics to counteract mine; but that something happened more than mere luck would account for was certain; for, as often as the half-crown went on black,

red was the lucky colour. But I persevered on black because it had been my friend at Epsom, and down went the half-crowns, to be swept up by the keeper of the booth. I cannot even now explain how it was done.

Intending to make a good day's work and gather a rich harvest, I took with me every shilling I had in the world-not only my previous winnings, but my hard-earned savings at the Bar. I began to lose, but went on playing in the vain hope-the worst hope of the gambler-of retrieving what I had lost and recovering my former luck. But it was not to be; the table was against me. I forsook my loyalty to black and laid on red. Alas! red was no better friend. lost again, and knew now that all my Epsom winnings had found their way once more into the keeper's pocket. A fortnight's loan was all I had of them. It was a pity they had not been given to some charity. But I kept on bravely enough, and did not despair or leave off while I had a half-crown left. That half-crown, however, was soon raked up with the rest into the keeper's bag.

I was bankrupt, with nothing in my pocket but twopence and a return ticket from Paddington.

Hopeless and helpless, I had learnt a lesson—a lesson you can only learn in the school of experience.

I little thought then that the only certain winner at the gaming-table is the table itself, and made up my mind as I walked alone and disappointed through Windsor Park, on my way to the station, that I would never touch a card again—and I never did.

For the first time since setting out in the morning I felt hungry, and bought a pennyworth of apples at a

little stall kept by an old woman, and a bottle of ginger-beer. Such was my frugal meal, and thus sustained I tramped on, my return ticket being my only possession in the world. I reached Paddington with a sorry heart, and walked to the Temple, my good resolution my only comfort; but it was all-sufficient for the occasion and for all time to come.

## CHAPTER XIII

#### POTTO BROWN'S CASE

ONE of my earliest cases at Quarter Sessions was rather curious. It was long known as 'Potto Brown's Case,' and was often cited at the circuit mess.

Potto Brown was a miller living at St. Ives, near Huntingdon, and was robbed from time to time of sacks of flour. It was suspected that the thieves must be some of the workmen employed on the railway, and as two of these employees were at last taken into custody on another charge, it seemed natural to the rural police that they should be indicted for stealing the flour from Potto Brown's mill.

I was retained to defend the prisoners, and the cases were bad enough, but Potto Brown's turned out to be perfectly hopeless. However, by this time I had learnt never to despair while there was the least possibility of success. No one could tell what chance might do—chance, the director of all things.

The flour case was the second case. In the first I cross-examined the prosecutor at great length and with some severity, Potto Brown being in court waiting for his case to come on. I was fortunate enough to obtain an acquittal.

The counsel for the prosecution was a great deal

annoyed, and immediately proposed to proceed with Potto Brown's case. There was to be no delay; the witnesses being there, the case was called on, and the jury were sworn.

Potto Brown had heard the cross-examination I had administered in the first prosecution, and dreading a like ordeal in his own case, left the court; and when he was called as the witness for the prosecution was nowhere to be found. The precincts of the court resounded with cries of 'Potto Brown!' but no Potto Brown responded. It was like a hue and cry, and with a similar result: the more they cried, the faster Potto went.

When he reached the stable where his horse had been put up, he called to the ostler and begged him to put his pony in as soon as he possibly could, muttering to himself: 'If Hawkins wants me he'll have to find me out, and fetch me. I'm d—d if I'm going to be cross-examined by him if I know it! I'm off. Tell him what I say, ostler.' And away he went as fast as his pony could take him.

Meanwhile, the jury had to make due 'deliverance' between our Sovereign Lady the Queen and the

prisoners at the Bar, so help them God.

Without Potto Brown they could not convict, and although Potto had invited me to fetch him, it was no part of my duty to do so as the counsel for the prisoner, to say nothing of the deduction it would make out of my 'one three six.' The magistrate knew, also, that it was no business of mine to bring up Potto. I agreed with the counsel for the prosecution that it was very bad of the prosecutor, and a great contempt of court, but the prisoners could not

help that; they were not responsible for the prosecutor's misconduct; he must answer for himself.

'No, no,' said the chairman, who was a very good lawyer. 'There's no evidence. Where's Potto Brown?'

I shook my head. 'Not a shred of evidence, sir,' said I.

'Not a shred,' said the chairman. 'Gentlemen, you must say "Not guilty," 'which they did.

At this time there were more courts open to advocates than there are now, and fewer candidates for their emoluments, so that the chances of succeeding at the Bar were greater.

The 'Palace Court,' it is true, was closed as a hunting-ground to all who could not pay £2,000 for the privilege of practising in it, although a junior might devil there for the benefit of a legalized practitioner.

This, of course, gave the opportunity which I availed myself of, and held many briefs for one or two who had by purchase obtained admittance.

Having somewhat succeeded in my practice at Quarter Sessions, I enlarged my field of adventure by attending the Old Bailey, hoping, of course, to obtain some briefs at that court.

Those were the days of Clarkson, Bodkin, and Charles Philipps. They were also the declining days of Adolphus, whose career was almost run. Adolphus could go a long way back into the past, and his retentive memory rendered his conversation interesting and instructive. He was kind and helpful to juniors, and after this long distance of time I think of him with respect and sympathy. He had no hope of success by

Old Bailey practice, for he contended with men who were greedily struggling for a livelihood.

This was before Giffard (Lord Halsbury), the now esteemed Lord Chaucellor, then a much-envied junior, had obtained the position of representing, as he so deservedly did, most of the business which attached to cases of great public interest.

But although I abandoned the practice of that court as a rule, I was, in after-life, on many occasions retained to appear in cases which are still fresh in my memory. I was with Edwin James, who was counsel for Mr. Bates, one of the partners of Strahan and Sir John Dean Paul, bankers of the Strand, and who were sentenced to fourteen years' transportation for fraudulently misappropriating securities of their customers. I was counsel for a young clerk to Leopold Redpath, the notorious man who was transported for extensive forgeries upon the Great Northern Railway. The clerk was justly acquitted by the jury.

I have mentioned the name of Charles Philipps, who was said by some unthinking persons to have suggested that the murder of Lord William Russell. which had been committed by Courvisier, was actually perpetrated by a housemaid. Philipps told me this was a very grave error, and that he had never made

or intended to make such an imputation.

The only ground for imputing the murder to the prisoner Courvisier had been that he was seen in a passage near the bedroom of Lord William Russell. where the housemaid had also been seen. Philipps. upon this, simply made the observation that, as regards this isolated fact, the circumstance standing alone would be just as damaging to a charge against

the housemaid as to Courvisier, and that the prosecuting counsel might just as well impute guilt to her (which was never suggested) as to Courvisier. This was true enough. The mere fact of being seen in the passage afforded no real evidence against either.

Again, Philipps said. 'The Lord alone knows who did this deed of darkness.' He, of course, excepted the real culprit, whoever he might be. And then, as to the confession made by his client to him, he could not have acted otherwise than he did without betraying the confidence of his client. Moreover, Baron Park, to whom he spoke of his client's confession, and whose opinion he asked as to the course he should take, said that if his client wished him to continue the defence he was bound to do so, commenting merely upon the evidence before him.

I doubt if any blame fairly attached to anything Philipps said or did.

My recollection of this period brings back many curious defences, which illustrate the school of advocacy in which I studied. Whether they contributed to my future success, I do not know, but that they afforded amusement is proved by my remembering them at all.

Hertford and St. Albans were my chief places, my earliest attachments, and are amongst my pleasantest memories. It seems childish to think of them as scenes of my struggles, for when I come to look back I had no struggles at all. I was merely practising like a cricketer at the nets; there was nothing to struggle for except a verdict when it would not come without some effort.

But dear old Codd was the man to struggle. He

struggled and wriggled; tie him up as tightly as you could, you saw him fighting to get free, as he did in the following great duck case. He was a very amiable old barrister, a fast talker—so fast that he never stayed to pronounce his words—and of an ingenuity that ought to have been applied to some better purpose, such as the making of steam-engines or writing novels, rather than defending thieves. He reminded me on this occasion of the man in the circus In this duckwho rode several horses at a time. stealing case he set up no less than seven defences to account for the unhappy duck finding its way into his client's pocket, and the charm of them all was their variety. Inconsistency was not the word to apply reproachfully. Inconsistency was Codd's merit. He was like a conjurer who asks you to name a card, and as surely as you do so you draw it from the pack.

This particular duck case was known long after as

'Codd's Puzzle.'

'First,' says Codd, 'my client bought the duck and paid for it.'

He was not the man to be afraid of being asked where.

'Second,' says Codd, 'my client found it; thirdly, it had been given to him; fourthly, it flew into his garden; fifthly, he was asleep, and someone put it into his pocket;' and so the untiring and ingenious Codd proceeded making his case unnaturally good.

But the strange thing was that, instead of sweeping him away with a touch of ridicule, the young advocate argued the several defences one after the other with great dialectical skill, so that the jury became

puzzled; and if the defence had not been so extraordinarily good, there would have been an acquittal forthwith.

There had been such a bewildering torrent of arguments that presently Codd's head began to swim, and he shrugged his shoulders, meaning thereby that it was the most puzzling case he had ever had anything to do with. The Marquis laughed. The Marquis was always our chairman, and a very pleasant and agreeable one he was.

At last it became a question whether, amidst these conflicting accounts, there ever was any duck at all. Codd had not thought of that till some junior suggested it, and then he was asked by the Marquis whether there was any particular line of defence he wished to suggest.

'No,' says Codd, 'not in particular; my client wished to make a clean breast of it and put them all before the jury; and I should be much obliged if those gentlemen will adopt any one of them.'\*

The jury acquitted the prisoner, not because they chose any particular defence, but because they did not know which to choose, and so gave the prisoner the benefit of the doubt.

The client was happy, and Codd famous.

In another case he had been set a difficult task, namely, that of saying 'a few words' on behalf of a

<sup>\*</sup> Sixty years after this event, in the reply in the great Tichborne case, Mr. Hawkins, Q.C., quoted this very defence as an illustration of the absurdity of the suggestion that one of several ospreys picked up Sir Roger Tichborne, as will hereafter appear.—R. H.

man who had pleaded 'Guilty.' It was contrary to the genius of Codd to plead 'Guilty' at all, especially with a man who had so good a character as the learned counsel was instructed to urge in his behalf, and it certainly was contrary to Codd's loquacions genius to say a few words. However, he was equal to the occasion. Nothing daunted, even by a plea of 'Guilty,' he hoped to get him off without any punishment. The plea was no sooner taken than up jumped Codd and began:

'May it please your lordship, my unfortunate client---'

' Any facts, Mr. Codd?' asked the chairman.

'No, my lord, no; rely entirely upon my client's good character. Never a stain on it before this unfortunate occasion——'

The chairman interrupted him with a smile, and then cast his eyes down on a long, ominous-looking paper.

'Perhaps, Mr. Codd,' said his lordship, 'you would like to defer your observations on your client's

character until you have heard mine.'

Codd, the very soul of courtesy, was delighted.

The chairman then said to the prisoner:

'On the — day of — you were convicted of house-breaking, and sentenced to eighteen months' imprisonment. Is that right?'

'Yes, your lordship.'

After this came a series of convictions, including sheep-stealing, house-breaking, pocket-picking, and other offences: and when his lordship arrived at the eighth conviction Codd could stand the fire of his

Welsh blood no longer. He jumped from his seat, took up his brief, and dashed it down again with the exclamation:

'Oh, my God! what a scoundrel my client is!' meaning, of course, the attorney who had instructed him to set up the man's character as his only plea for mercy.

# CHAPTER XIV

GRAHAM, THE POLITE JUDGE, AND 'JOHN ROBINS, MY LORD'

ONE of the earliest Judges I heard of as being near my time, if not the earliest, was Mr. Justice Graham. I find he was the Judge of Assize in a calendar for the county of Lincoln in the year 1818.

I was born in times when the law sent crowds of people to the gallows after every assize and at every sitting of the Old Bailey, and the calendar which is before me is a record of that portion of English history which is the least creditable to its sense of justice. Its punishments had been too brutal for words to express. Most of those which involved torture had been abolished before my day, but the punishment of death was inflicted for almost every offence of stealing which would now be thought sufficiently dealt with by a sentence of a week's imprisonment. The struggle to turn King's evidence was great, and it was almost a competitive examination to ascertain who knew most about the crime, and he being generally the worst of the gang, was accepted accordingly. Such was the case in Thurtell and Hunt's murder of Mr. Weare, the choice of King's evidence being between Hunt and a man named Probert, the

selection falling on Probert. Thurtell was hanged, and Hunt transported for life. But it usually happened that the 'King's evidence' was hanged the following year for a minor offence, if he held out even so long. In this instance he was acquitted of murder because he betrayed his associates, but hanged the next year on someone else's evidence for stealing a horse.

It was in such times that Mr. Justice Graham was called upon to administer the law, and on one occasion particularly he vindicated his character for courtesy to all who appeared before him. He was a man unconscious of humour and yet humorous, and was even unconscious of the extreme civility which he exhibited to everybody and upon all occasions, especially to the prisoner.

People went away with a sense of gratitude for his kindness, and when he sentenced a batch of prisoners to death he did it in a manner that might make anyone suppose, if he did not know the facts, that they had been awarded prizes for good conduct. I will give an instance or two, and then it will be seen that I do not exaggerate his lordship's demeanour.

He was firm, nevertheless—a great thing in Judges, if not accompanied with weakness of mind. I may add that there was a singular precision in his mode of expression as well as in his ideas.

At a country assize, where he was presiding in the Crown Court, a man was indicted for murder. He pleaded 'Not guilty.' The evidence contained in the depositions was terribly clear, and, of course, the Judge, who had perused them, was aware of it.

The case having been called on for trial, counsel for vol. 1.

the prosecution applied for a postponement on the ground of the absence of a most material witness for the Crown.

I should mention that in those days counsel were not allowed to speak on behalf of the prisoner, but the Judge was always in theory supposed to watch the case on his behalf. In the absence of a material witness the prisoner would be acquitted.

The learned Mr. Justice Graham asked the prisoner if he had any objection to the case being postponed until the next assizes, on the ground, as the prosecution had alleged, that their most material witness could not be produced. His lordship put the case as somewhat of a misfortune for the prisoner, and made it appear that it would be postponed, if he desired it, as a favour to him.

Notwithstanding the Judge's courteous manner of putting it, the prisoner most strenuously objected to any postponement. It was not for him to oblige the Crown at the expense of a broken neck, and he desired above all things to be tried in accordance with law. He stood there on his 'gaol delivery.'

Graham was firm, but polite, and determined to grant the postponement asked for. In this he was doubtless right, for the interests of justice demanded it. But to soften down the prisoner's disappointment and excuse the necessity of his further imprisonment, his lordship addressed him in the following terms, and in quite a sympathetic manner:

Prisoner, I am extremely sorry to have to detain you in prison, but common humanity requires that I should not let you be tried in the absence of an important witness for the prosecution, although at the same time I can quite appreciate your desire to have your case speedily disposed of; one does not like a thing of this sort hanging over one's head. But now, for the sake of argument, prisoner, suppose I were to try you to-day in the absence of that material witness, and yet, contrary to your expectations, they were to find you guilty. What then? Why, in the absence of that material witness, I should have to sentence you to be hanged on Monday next. That would be a painful ordeal for both of us.

'But now let us take the other alternative, and let us suppose that if your trial had been put off, and the material witness, when called, could prove something in your favour—this sometimes happens—and that that something induced the jury to acquit you, what a sad thing that would be! It would not signify to you, because you would have been hanged, and would be dead!'

Here his lordship paused for a considerable time, unable to suppress his emotion, but, having recovered himself, continued:

'But you must consider what my feelings would be when I thought I had hanged an innocent man!'

At the next assizes the man was brought up, the material witness appeared; the prisoner was found guilty, and hanged.

The humane Judge's feelings were therefore spared.

There could be no question about the humanity of the argument, but I will now give an instance of his politeness.

At the Old Bailey he was presiding during a sessions which was rather light for the times, there being less than a score left for execution under sentence of death.

There were, in fact, only sixteen, most of them for petty thefts.

His lordship, instead of reading the whole of the sixteen names, omitted one, and read out only fifteen. He then politely, and with exquisite precision and solemnity, exhorted them severally to prepare for the awful doom that awaited them the following Monday, and pronounced on each the sentence of death.

They left the dock.

After they were gone the gaoler explained to his lordship that there had been sixteen prisoners capitally convicted, but that his lordship had omitted the name of one of them, and he would like to know what was to be done with him.

'What is the prisoner's name?' asked Graham.

'John Robins, my lord.'

'Oh, bring John Robins back—by all means let John Robins step forward. I am obliged to you.'

The culprit was once more placed at the Bar, and Graham, addressing him in his singularly courteous

manner, said apologetically:

'John Robins, I find I have accidentally omitted your name in my list of prisoners doomed to execution. It was quite accidental, I assure you, and I ask your pardon for my mistake. I am very sorry, and can only add that you will be hanged with the rest.'

This singular Judge was ever complaining and fretting over the disappointments of life. He was dissatisfied with his position and worried about tritles. The weather was a great source of amogstate him, and Sir Alexander Cockburn teld me that Graham said, if he had been one of the explanation

insects who live only a day, he would have been brought into the world on  $\alpha$  wet one.

Had he been born on any day this year (1903) he would have a right to complain, for his life would, indeed, have been unhappy.

Passing, for the sake of contrast, to more modern times, I see on the Bench a man eminent indeed in law, absorbed in it and permeated with it, both common law and case law. He was one of the most even-minded men I ever knew, but, unlike Graham, rough in manner and hard in speech, with a rasping Scotch accent. He was devoted to his profession, although he never succeeded in it till he became a Judge, and then he was successful in his department almost without an equal. He was fair to everybody, and nothing could sway him a hair's-breadth from his conscientious conviction. Equally impartial in criminal and in civil cases, his judicial impartiality was recognised even by the criminals themselves.

During a Guildford Summer Assize some years ago I learnt how even the most ignorant and depraved can appreciate that divine quality. I was walking in the meadows one afternoon, and two rough fellows were lying on the grass, with their faces downward and kicking the turf with their toes.

'Jemmy,' said one, 'that there bloke, the Lord Judge, was d—— rough on our counsellor, worn't he?'

'Yes, mate, he was, but he was d—er rough on the tother—so he was. But, mind yer, that bloke knows what's what, and what ain't; he knows what's law and what ain't; and he ain't goin' to scrag a man for a bad character, nor let a swell get off for a good

un. What he said was right enough; if we was guilty they must prove it. I'd sooner be tried afore that man than any o' them bloomin' mealy-mouthed uns, as ull give yer seven stretch for not bein' a angel.'

I need not say this was Mr. Justice Blackburn, who deserved this highest praise a Judge can receive.

#### CHAPTER XV

GLORIOUS OLD DAYS—OLD BOB GRIMSTONE, THE HONOUR-ABLE, AND MANY OTHERS—CHICKEN-HAZARD

THE old glories of the circuit days vanished with stage-coaches and post-chaises. If you climbed on to the former for the sake of economy because you could not afford to travel in the latter, you would be fined at the circuit mess, whose notions of propriety and economy were always at variance.

Those who obtained no business found it particularly hateful to keep up the foolish appearance of having it by means of a post-chaise. You might not ride in a public vehicle, or dine at a public table, or put up at an inn for fear of falling in with attorneys and obtaining briefs from them surreptitiously. The Home Circuit was very strict in these respects, but it was the cheapest circuit to travel in the kingdom, so that its members were numerous and various in mind, manner, and position.

But it was a circuit of brilliant men in my young days. Many of them rose to eminence both in law and in Parliament. It was a time, indeed, when, if Judges made law, law made Judges.

I should like to say a word or two about those times

and the necessary studies to be undergone by those who aspired to eminence.

In the days of my earliest acquaintance with the law, an ancient order of men, now almost, if not quite, extinct, called Special Pleaders, existed, who, after having kept the usual number of terms—that is to say, eaten the prescribed number of dinners in the Inn of Court to which they belonged—became qualified, on payment of a fee of £12, to take out a Crown license to plead under the Bar. This enabled them to do all things which a barrister could do that did not require to be transacted in court. They drew pleadings, advised and took pupils.

Some of them practised in this way all their lives and were never called. Others grew tired of the drudgery, and were called to the Bar, where they remained junior barristers as long as they lived, old age having no effect upon their status. Some were promoted to the ancient order of Serjeants-at-Law, or were appointed Her Majesty's Counsel, while some of the Serjeants received from the Crown patents of precedence with priority over all Queen's Counsel appointed after them, and with the privilege of wearing a silk gown and a Queen's Counsel's wig.

There was, however, this difference between a Queen's Counsel and the holder of a Patent of Precidence: that the former, having been appointed one of Her Majesty's Counsel, could not thenceforth appear without special license under the sign-manual of the Queen to defend a prisoner upon a criminal charge. The Serjeant-at-Law is as rare now as a bustard.

I mention these old-fashioned times and studies, not because of their interest at the present day, but because

they produced such men as Littledale, Bayley, Parke (afterwards Lord Wensleydale), Alderson, Tindal, Patterson, Wightman, Crompton, Vaughan Williams, James, Willes, and, later, Blackburn.

The contemplation of these legal giants, amongst whom my career commenced, somewhat checked the buoyant impulse which had urged me onward at Quarter Sessions, but at the same time imparted a little modest desire to imitate such incomparable models. Those of them who were selected from the junior Bar were good examples of men whose vast knowledge of law was acquired in the way I have indicated, and who were chosen on their merits alone.

But even these successful examples, however encouraging to the student, were, nevertheless, not ill-calculated to make a young barrister whose income was small, and sometimes, as in my case, by no means assured to him, sicken at the thought that, study as he liked, years might pass, and probably would, before a remunerative practice came to cheer him. Perhaps it would never come at all, and he would become, like so many hundreds of others of his day and ours, a hopeless failure. All were competitors for the briefs and even the smiles of solicitors; for without their favour none could succeed, although he might unite in himself all the qualities of lawyer and advocate.

These were matters which I was unable, or, at all events, unwilling, to appreciate when I first thought of making my livelihood at the Bar, against which I had received such solemn warnings. Baron Parke, whom I have just mentioned, was a great lawyer, and educated for the law, when the cultivation of advocacy and great knowledge of the law were

essential to success. The first, I need not say, is a natural gift, though capable of the highest training and of essential use at the Bar; the other is acquired by time, study, practice, and patience, and is equally essential on the Bench.

The prospect was not exhibarating for anyone who had to perform the drudgery of the first few years of a junior's life; nevertheless, I was not cast down by the mere apprehension, or, rather, the mere possibility of failure, for when I looked round on my competitors I was encouraged by the thought that dear old Woollet knew more about a rate appeal than Littledale himself, while old Peter Ryland, with his inimitable Saxon, was quite as good at the irremovability of a pauper as Codd was in accounting for the illegal removal of a duck, and both in their several branches of knowledge more learned than Alderson or Bayley. But here I was, launched on that wide sea in which I was 'to sink or swim,' and, as I preferred the latter, I struck out with a resolute breast-stroke, and, as I have said, never failed to keep my head above water. It was some satisfaction to know that if the Judges were so learned, there was yet more learning to come; much yet to come down from the old table-land of the common law, and much more from the inexhaustible fountain of Parliament.

The Home Circuit was renowned for its many and humorous stories, convivial accomplishments, and good fellowship. It was second to none, not excepting the brilliant traditions of the Northern. I have also obtained the book containing the record of our meeting was lost.

The Quarter Session Court, however, was the

arena of my first eight years of professional life. I watched and waited with unwearied attention, never without hope, but often on the very verge of despair, of ever making any progress which would justify my choosing it as a profession. My greatest delight, perhaps, was the obtaining an acquittal of someone whose guilt nobody could doubt. All the struggle of those times was the fight for the 'one three six,' and the hardest effort of my life was the most valuable, because it gave me the key which opened the door to many depositories of unexplored wealth.

There were many men who outlived their life, and others who never lived their lives at all; many men who did nothing, and many more who would almost have given their lives to do something.

There was, however, one man of those days whom I cannot here pass over, as he remained my companion and friend to his life's end, and will be remembered by me with affection and reverence to the end of my own. It was 'old Bob Grimstone,' whom I first met at the benefit of 'the Spider,' one of the famous prize-fighters of the time. The Hon. Bob Grimstone was known in the sporting world as one of its most enthusiastic supporters, and acknowledged as one of the best men in saddle or at the wicket. But Bob was not only a sportsman, he was a gentleman of the finest feeling you could meet, and the keenest sense of honour.

Having thus spoken of some of the eminent men of my early days, I would like to mention a little incident that occurred before I had fairly settled down to practise, or formed any serious intention as to the course I should pursue—that is to say, whether I

should remain a sessions man like dear old Woollet, or become a master of Saxon like old Peter Ryland, a sportsman like old Bob Grimstone, or a cosmopolitan like Rodwell, so as to comprehend all that came in my way. I chose the latter for the simple reason that in principle I loved what in these days would be called 'the open door,' and received all comers, even sometimes entertaining solicitors unawares.

Accordingly I laid myself open to the attention of kind friends and people whose manner of life was founded on the Christian principle of being 'given to hospitality.'

But before I come to the particular incident I wish to describe, I must hark back to mention a remarkable case that was tried in the Queen's Bench, and which necessarily throws me back a year or two in my narrative.

It was a case known as 'Boyle and Lawson,' and the incident it reveals will give an idea of the state of society of that day. I am not sure whether it differs in many respects from that of the present, except in so far as its honour is concerned, for what was looked upon then as a flagrant outrage on public morality is now regarded as an error of judgment, or a mistake occasioned by some fortuitous combination of unconsidered circumstances. Such is the value in literature and argument of long words without meaning.

However, the action was brought against the proprietors of the Times newspaper for libel. The libel consisted in the statement that the respectable plaintiff—a lady—had conspired with person the known to obtain false letters of credit for large sums of money at a place, strange to say, near the locality

where I met the prize-fighting gang I mentioned a short while since.

The alleged conspirators were living in excellent style in Norwich. How they had attained their social distinction I am unable to say, but they were, in fact, in the very best set, which in Norwich was by no means the fastest.

I was travelling at this time with Charles Willshire and his brother Thomas, who was a mere youth. There was also an undergraduate of Cambridge of the name of Crook with us, and another who had joined our party for a few days' ramble.

We were enjoying ourselves in the old city of Norwich as only youth can, when we received an invitation to pass an evening in a very fashionable circle. How the invitation came I could not tell, but we made no inquiry and accepted it. Arrived at the house, which was situated in the most aristocratic neighbourhood that Norwich could boast, we found ourselves in the most agreeable society we could wish to meet. This was a group of exalted and fashionable personages arrayed in costumes of the superb Prince Regent style. Nothing could exceed this party in elegance of costume or manners. You could tell at once they were, as it was then expressed, 'of the quality.' Their cordiality was equalled only by their courtesy, and had we been princes of the blood we could not have received a more polite welcome. There was an elegance, too, about the house, and a refinement which coincided with the culture of the hosts and guests. Altogether it was one of the most agreeable parties I had ever seen. There were several gentlemen, all Prince Regents, and one sweet lady,

charming in every way, from the well-arranged blonde tresses to the neatest little shoe that ever adorned a Cinderella foot. She was beautiful in person as she was charming in manner. You saw at once that she moved in the best Norwich society, and was the idol of it. Crook was perfectly amazed at so much grace and splendour, but then he was much younger than any of us.

I don't think anyone was so much smitten as Crook. We had seen more of the world than he had—that is to say, more of the witness-box—and if you don't see the world there, on its oath, you can see it nowhere in the same unveiled deformity.

We enjoyed ourselves very much. There was good music and a little sweet singing, the lady being in that art, as in every other, well trained and accomplished. If I was not altogether ravished with the performance, Crook was. You could see that by the tender look of his eyes.

After the music, cards were introduced, and they commenced playing vingt-et-un, Crook being the special favourite with everybody, especially with the ladies. I believe much was due to the expression of his eyes.

As I had given up cards I did not join in the game, but became more and more interested in it as an onlooker. I was a little surprised, however, to find that in a very short while, comparatively, our friend Crook had lost £30 or £40; and as this was the greater part of his allowance for travelling expenses, it placed him in a rather awkward position.

Some men travel faster when they have no money? this was not the case with poor Crook, who travelled only by means of it. Alas, I thought, treatgent and the work.

because Crook was not a good loser; he lost his head and his temper as well as his money; and I have ever observed through life that the man who loses his temper loses himself and his friends.

He was disgusted with his bad luck, but nurtured a desperate hope—the forlorn hope that deceives all gamblers—that he should retrieve his losses on some future occasion, which he eagerly looked for and, one might say, demanded.

The occasion was not far off; it was, in fact, nearer than Crook anticipated. His pleasant manner and agreeable society at vingt-et-un procured us another invitation for the following night but one, and of course we accepted it. It was a great change to me from the scenery of the Elm Court chimney-pots.

Whatever might be Crook's happily sanguine disposition and hope of retrieving his luck, there was one thing which the calculator of chances does not take into consideration in games of this kind. We, visiting such cultured and fashionable people, would never for a moment think so meanly of our friends; I mean the possibility of their cheating, a word never mentioned in well-bred society. A suspicion of such conduct, even, would be tantamount to treason, and a violation of the rules that regulate the conduct of ladies and gentlemen. It was far from all our thoughts, and the devil alone could entertain so malevolent an idea. Be that as it may, as a matter of philosophy, the onlooker sees most of the game, and as I was an onlooker this is what I saw:

The elegant lady exchange glances with one of the players while she was looking over Crook's hand! Crook was losing as fast as he could, and no

example, the only one I intend to give. than any other, is legion. This is an unhappy which is perhaps a more disastrous delig to worship hun Tribles : bolles ei doidn lobi adt gniqqiderow successful men at the Bar who have been ruined by cursed with such an inclination. The mainter of preserved me from the same fare Later been bave met with several instances which would have earnings of a barrister, however large they may be-I is, endeavouring to cope with the rich on the mere

Ruidrow Las assets bood at gaivil arw I slidW

emerged, to be seen no more for ever, a deeper obscurity than that from which he had splendid social galaxy, and then disappeared into particular star who had long held his place amidst the especially for a working man, and so hand the bright into the portals of the smart society temple is heavy, money to spend on great people. The antrance-fee about amongst the socially great; I had, indeed, no very hard, I had little time and no inclination to lounge

a certain line of business, and a popular, agreeable, He was a Queen's Counsel, a brilliant advocate in

intelligence, there was he; and Society hardly seemed every Society paper, amongst its most fashionable made him one of its selected and principal lions. a seat in Parliament and a footing in Society, which intellectual, and amusing companion. He obtained

to be able to get along without him.

visitors, but such a visitor was beyond all expectation, that time of my career not only did I not receive upon me. My astonishment was great, because at room when this agreeable member of the clite called One Sunday afternoon I was reading in my little

# MR. HENRY HAWKINS

lered, when his name was announced, what brought him, he so great and I comnothing. It is true I had known him for but I knew him so little that I thought of nost estimable great man whose career was a to the highest distinction in his profes-

extraordinary thing that struck me long lid not at the time, was that the business pon made no particular impression on my nore than if it had been the most ordinary e world. That to me is still inexplicable. or did not let troubles sit upon him, if ever had, for he seemed to be in the highest ciety kept him ever in a state of effervescent that he never let anything trouble him, he he was making at the Bar seven or eight year, and consequently, I thought, must piest of men.

mplacency at variance with the nature of which he quickly took care to make known ag me that he was in a devil of a mess, and a what he should do to get out of it.

aid quite carelessly, 'you'll manage.' And think I should be the means of fulfilling ophecy.

et is, my dear Hawkins, said the wily for such he was, 'I'll tell you seriously d: to-morrow morning I have bills becoming ting to £1,250, and I want you to be good lend me that sum to enable me to not

I was perfectly astounded! This greatness to have come down to £1,250 on the wrong side of the ledger.

'I have no such amount,' said I, 'and never had anything like it at my bank.' I must say I pitied him, and began to wonder in what way I could help him. He was so really and good-naturedly in earnest, and seemed so extremely anxious, that at last I said, 'Well, I'll see what I can do,' and asked him to meet me in court the following morning, when I would tell him whether I could help him or not.

His gratitude was boundless; my kindness should never be forgotten—no, as long as he lived! and if he had been addressing a common jury he could not have used more flowers of speech or shed more abundant tears to water them with. I was the best friend he had ever had. And, as it seemed afterwards, very foolishly so, because he told me he had not one farthing of security to offer for the loan. A man who ought to have been worth from fifty to a hundred thousand pounds!

However, I went to my bankers' and made arrangements to be provided with the amount. I met him at the place of appointment, and was quite surprised to see the change in his demeanour since the day before. He was now apparently in a state of deeper distress than ever; and, thinking to soothe him, I said, 'It's all right; you can have the money!'

Once more he overwhelmed me with the eloquence of a grateful heart, but said it was of no use—no use whatever; that instead of £1,250 he had other bills coming in, and unless they could all be met he might just as well let the others go.

'How much do you really want to quite clear you?"

I asked, with a simplicity which astonishes me to this day.

'Well,' he said, 'nothing is of the least use under

£2,500.

I was a little staggered, but, pitying his distress of mind, went once more to my bankers' and made the further necessary arrangements. I borrowed the whole amount at five per cent., and placed it to the credit of this brilliant Queen's Counsel.

The only terms I made with him on this new condition of things was that he should, out of his incoming fees, pay my clerk £500 a quarter until the whole sum was liquidated. This he might easily have done, and this he arranged to do, but the next day he pledged the whole of his prospective income to a Jew, incurred fresh liabilities, and left me without a shadow of a chance of ever seeing a penny of my money again, and I need not say every farthing was lost, principal and interest. I say interest, because it cost me five per cent. till the amount was paid.

His end was as romantic as his life, but it is hest told in the words of my dear old friend Charley Colman, who never spares colour when it is necessary, and in that respect is an artist who resembles Nature. Thus he writes:

What a coward at heart was —! He allowed himself to be sat upon and crushed without taking a hand or voice in his defence of himself. When he returned from America he accepted a mat in office—in the office of the man who urged lead — to presente him.

After your gift to him—a make gift of Ethnor he called at my chamber, gedte in high terms of your

generosity, and wished all the world to know it, so elated was he. I was to publish it far and wide. He went away. In half an hour he returned, and begged me to keep the affair secret. "Too late," said I. "Several gentlemen have been here, and to them I mentioned the matter, and begged them to spread it far and wide." His heart failed him when he thought he would be talked about.

'He was a kind-hearted fellow at times—generous to a fault, always most abstemious; but he had a tongue, and one he did not try to control. He used to say stinging things of people, knowing them to be untrue.

'What a life! What a terrible fate was his! Turned out of Parliament; made to resign his Benchership; his gown taken from him by the Benchers; driven to America by his creditors to get his living; not allowed to practise in the Supreme Court in America. At forty-five years of age his life had foundered. He returns to England—for what? Simply to find his recklessness had blasted his life, and then——?

'Sometimes, in spite of all, I feel a moisture in my eye when I think of him. Had he been true to himself, what a brilliant life was open to him! What a practice he had! Up to the last he told me that he turned £14,000 a year. He worked hard, very hard, and his gains went to —— or to chicken hazard! Poor fellow!'

## CHAPTER XVI

PETER RYLAND, THE REV. MR. FAKER, AND THE WELSH WILL

I was retained at Hertford Assizes with Peter Ryland, a practising barrister on that circuit, as my leader, to prosecute a man for perjury, which was alleged to have been committed in an action in which a cantankerous man, who had once filled the office of High Sheriff for the county, was the prosecutor. Wealthy and disagreeable, he was nevertheless a henpecked tyrant.

Mrs. Brown, his wife, was a witness for the prosecution in the alleged perjury, which was unfortunate for her husband, because she had the greatest knowledge of the circumstances surrounding the case; while Mr. Brown had the best knowledge of the probable quality of his wife's evidence.

When we were in consultation and considering the nature of this evidence, and arranging the best mode of presenting our case to the jury, Brown interposed, and begged that Mr. Ryland should call Mrs. Brown as the last witness. He said it was all-important she should cone last. 'It is Mrs. Brown's wish,' he pleaded

'But,' says Ryland, 'Mrs. Brown ought to he called jirst. It is all important in my view that de-

should be called in that order, which you must see is the natural order—Mrs. Brown first, the rest anywhere '(or, perhaps, better nowhere, thought Brown, if Mrs. Brown is to be believed).

'You'll find the other way best,' says Brown.

But Ryland positively refused to proceed in any other than the proper course, which was to call the lady first instead of last.

As I left Ryland, Brown came up to me, thinking me, probably, more amenable to reason, and in the most beseeching manner begged me to press upon Ryland the course he, Brown, had suggested. I assured him that Mr. Ryland would do what was best and most prudent; at the same time saying that, if he could give me any good reason for calling Mrs. Brown last, I was sure he would do so if he agreed with it. Whereupon said Brown:

'Well, Mr. Hawkins, Mr. Ryland did not seem to think very well of my case on the evidence, so I have come to the conclusion that Mrs. Brown ought to be the last witness, because, if anything goes wrong during the trial or anything is wanting, Mrs. Brown will be quite ready to mop it all up.'

This in a prosecution for *perjury* was one of the boldest propositions I had ever heard.

I need not say 'good Mrs. Brown was called, as she ought to have been, first.' The lady's mop was not in requisition at that stage of the trial, and the jury decided against her.

I was sometimes in the Divorce Court, and dear old Jack Holker was generally my opponent. He was called 'Long Odds.' In one particular case I won some éclat. It is not related on that account, however,

but simply in consequence of its remarkable incidents. No case is interesting unless it is outside the ordinary stock-in-trade of the Law Courts, and I think this was.

The details are not worth telling, and I therefore pass them by. Cresswell was the President, and the future President, Hannen, my junior.

We won a great victory through the remarkable overconfidence and indiscretion of Edwin James, Q.C., who opposed us. James's client was the husband of the deceased. By her will the lady had left him the whole of her property, amounting to nearly £100,000. The case we set up was that the wife had been improperly influenced by her husband in making it, and that her mind was coerced into doing what she did not intend to do, and so we sought to set aside the will on that ground.

I am stating the matter in this somewhat roundabout fashion because all readers of these reminiscences will not be lawyers.

Edwin James had proved a very strong case on behalf of the validity of the will. He had called the attesting witnesses, and they, respectable gentlemen as they undoubtedly were, had proved all that was necessary—namely, that the testator, notwithstanding that she was in a feeble condition and almost at the last stage, was perfectly calm and capable in mind and understanding—exactly, in fact, as a testator ought to be who wills her property to her husband if he retains her affection.

The witnesses had been cross-examined by me, and nothing had been elicited that east the best doubt upon their character or credibility. Had the native is an hat taken it was, the studyon would have it as

secured. But James, whatever may have been his brilliance, was wanting in tact. He would not leave well alone, but resolved to call the Rev. Mr. Faker, a distinguished Dissenting minister.

In fiction this gentleman would have appeared in the melodramatic guise of a spangled tunic, sugar-loaf hat, with party-coloured ribands, purple or green breeches, and motley hose; but in the witness-box he was in clerical uniform, a long coat and white cravat, with corresponding long face and hair, especially at the back of his head. A soberer style of a stage bandit was never seen. He was just the man for crossexamination, I saw at a glance—a fancy witness, and, I believe, a Welshman. As he was a Christian warrior, I had to find out the weak places in his armour. But little he knew of courts of law and the penetrating art of cross-examination, which could make a hole in the triple-plated coat of fraud, hypocrisy, and cunning. I was in no such panoply. I fought only with my little pebble-stone and sling, but took good aim, and then the missile flew with well-directed speed.

I had to draw a bow at a venture at first, because, happily, there were no instructions how to cross-examine. Not that I should have followed them if there had been! But I might have got a fact or two from them.

It is well known that artifice is the resource of cunning, whether it acts on the principle of concealing truth or boldly asserting falsehood. Here the reverend strategist did both: he knew how a little truth could deceive. You must remember that at this point of the case, when the Rev. Faker was called, there was nothing to cross-examine about. I knew nothing of

the parties, the witnesses, the solicitors, or anyone except my learned friends. It would not have been discreditable to my advocacy if I had submitted to a verdict. I will, therefore, give the points of the questions which elicited the truth from the Christian warrior; and probably the non-legal reader of these memoirs may be interested in seeing what may sometimes be done by a few judicious questions.

- Mr. Faker, I said.
- ·Sir, says Faker.
- 'You have told us you acted as the adviser of the testatrix.'
  - 'Yes, sir.'
  - 'Spiritual adviser, of course f'
  - A spiritual bow.
- 'You advised the deceased lady, probably, as to ber duties as a dying woman?

- 'The will was not completed, I think, when you first saw the dying woman—on the day, I mean, of her death?'
  - 'No; not at that time.'
- 'Was it kept in a little bag by the pillow of the dying woman, who retained the keys of the bag herself?'
  - 'Oh yes; that is quite right.'
- 'Had it been executed at this time?—I think you said not?'
  - 'Not at this time; it had to be revised.'
  - 'How did you obtain possession of the keys?'
  - 'I obtained them.'
  - 'Yes, I know; but without her knowledge?"

It was awkward for Faker, but he had to confess that he was not sure. Then he frankly admitted that the will was taken out of the bag—in the lady's presence, of course, but whether she was quite dead or almost alive was uncertain; and then he and the husband spiritually conferred as to what the real intention of the dying woman in the circumstances was likely to be, and having ascertained that, they made another will, which they called 'settling the former one' by carrying out the lady's intentions, the lady being now dead to all intentions whatsoever.

This was the will which was offered for probate!

Cresswell thought it was a curious state of affairs, and listened with much interest to the further cross-examination:

'Had you ever seen any other will?' I inquired. It was quite an accidental question, as one would put in a desultory sort of conversation with a friend.

'Er-yes-I have,' said Faker.

- 'What was that?'
- 'Well, it was a will, to tell you the truth, Mr. Hawkins, executed in my favour for £5,000.'
  - 'Where is it?'
- 'I have not the original,' said the minister, 'but I have a copy of it.'
  - 'Copy! but where is the original?'
  - 'Original?' repeats Faker.
- 'Yes, the original; there must have been an original if you have a copy.'
- 'Oh,' said the Rev. Faker, 'I remember, the original was destroyed after the testatrix's death.'
  - 'How?'
  - 'Burnt!'

Even the very grave Hannen, my ever-respected friend and junior, smiled; Cresswell, never prone to smile at villainy, smiled also.

'The original burnt! and only a copy produced!

What do you mean, sir?'

The situation was dramatic.

'Is it not strange?' I asked, 'even in your view of things, that the original will should be burnt and the copy preserved?'

'Yes,' answered the reverend gentleman; 'perhaps

it would have been better---'

'To have burnt the copy and given us the original, and more especially after the lady was dead! But, let me ask you, why did you destroy the original will!

I pressed him again and again, but he could not shower. The reason was plain. His ingenuity was exhaunted, and so I gave him the inishing strake with this que tion:

'Will you swear, sir, that an original will ever existed?'

The answer was, 'No!'

I knew it must be the answer; because there could be no other that would not betray him.

'What is your explanation?' asked Cresswell.

'My explanation, my lord, is that the testatrix had often expressed to me her intention to leave me £5,000, and I wrote the codicil which was destroyed to carry out her wishes.'

Cresswell had warned James early in the case as to the futility of calling witnesses after the two who alone were necessary, but to no purpose; he hurried his client to destruction, and I have never been able to understand his conduct. The most that can be said for him is that he did not suspect any danger, and took no trouble to avoid incurring it.

It is curious enough that on the morning of the trial we had tried to compromise the matter by offering £10,000.

The refusal of the offer shows how little they thought that any cross-examination could injure their cause.

Hannen said he could not have believed a cross-examination could be conducted in that manner without any knowledge of the facts, and paid me the compliment of saying it was worth at the least £80,000.

#### CHAPTER XVII

TATTERSALL'S—BARON MARTIN, HARRY HILL, AND THE OLD FOX IN THE YARD

TATTERSALL'S, in my time, was one of the pleasantest Sunday afternoon lounges in London. There was a spirit of freedom and social equality pervading the place which only belongs to assemblies where sport is the principal object and pleasure of all. There was also the absence of irksome workaday drudgery; I think that was, after all, the main cause of its being so delightful a meeting-place to me.

There was, however, another attraction, and that was dear old Baron Martin, one of the most pleasant companions you could meet, no matter whether in the Court of Exchequer or the 'old Ring.' A keen sportsman he was, and a shrewd, communsense lawyer—so great a lover of the turf that it is told of him, and I know it to be true, that once in court a man was pointed out to him bowing with great reverence, and repeating it over and over again until he caught the Baron's attention. The Judge, with one pair of spectacles on his forehead and another on his eyes, immediately cried aloud to his mar ind. 'Custance, the jeckey, as I'm alive!' and the time

Baron bowed most politely to the man in the crowd, the most famous jockey of his day.

Speaking of Tattersall's reminds me of many things, amongst them of the way in which, happily, I came to the resolution never to bet on a horse-race. It was here I learnt the lesson, at a place where generally people learn the opposite, and never forgot it. No sermon would ever have taught me so much as I learnt there.

Like my oldest and one of my dearest friends on the turf, Lord Falmouth, I never made a bet after the time I speak of. No one who lives in the world needs any description of the Tattersall's of to-day. But the Tattersall's of my earlier days was not exactly the same thing, although the differences would not be recognisable to persons who have not overkeen recollections.

The institution has perhaps known more great men than Parliament itself—not so many bishops, perhaps, as the Church, but more statesmen than could get into the House of Lords; and all the biographies that have ever been written could not furnish more illustrations of the ups and downs of life, especially the downs, nor of more illustrious men.

Here the great and the small mingled on terms of friendly intimacy and equality; the wit met the fool in joyous rivalry, and the rich met the poor in the same spirit of friendly emulation. Country squire and Cockney sportsmen talked of the merits of the Flying Dutchman or Voltigeur, Surplice or the losing favourite in the famous Hermit's year—the last year, if I remember rightly, of the old Tattersall establishment.

The names of all the great and mediocre people who

visited the famous rendezvous would fill a respectable Court guide, and the money transactions that have taken place would pay off the National Debt. All this is a pleasant outcome of the national character.

Do not suppose that Judges, other than dear old Sam,\* never looked in, for they did, and so did learned and illustrious Queen's Counsel and Serjeants-at-Law, authors, editors, actors, statesmen, and, to sum it up in brief, all the real men of the day of all professions and degrees of social position.

At first my visits were infrequent; afterwards I went more often, and then became a regular attendant. I loved the 'old Ring,' and yet could never explain why. I think it was the variety of human character that charmed me. I was doing very little at the Bar, and was, no doubt, desirous to make as many acquaintances as possible, and to see as much of the world as I could. It is a long way back in my career, but I go over the course with no regrets and with every feeling of delight. Everything seems to have been enjoyable in those far-off days, although I was in a constant state of uncertainty with regard to my career. There were three principal places of pleasure at that time: one was Tattersall's, one Newmarket, and the Courts of Law a third.

There used to be, in the centre of the yard or court at Tattersall's, a significant representation of an old fox, and I often wondered whether it was set up as a warning, or merely by way of ornamentation, or the symbol of sport. It might have been to tell you to be wary and on the alert. But whatever the original design of this statue to reynard, the old fox read not a

solenn lesson, and seemed to be always saying, 'Take care, Harry; be on your guard. There are many prowlers everywhere.' And when the fox meditates in that way, you may be sure the hounds are not far off. He was a wonderful fox, and full of good advice to all who could understand his meaning, which I thought I did. He acted upon me, I candidly confess, as a monitor, be his mission what it might, so that I grew intimate with him as well as conversational, and whenever I entered the place our eyes met.

But there was another monitor in constant attendance whose language I better understood, and who was deservedly respected by all who had the pleasure of his acquaintance—that is to say, by all who visited Tattersall's more than once. He was not in the least emblematic like the old fox, but a man of sound sense with no poetry, of an extremely good nature, and full of anecdote. You might follow his advice, and it would be well with you; or you might follow your opinion in opposition to his and take your chance. His name was Hill—Harry Hill they familiarly called him, and although you might have many a grander acquaintance, you could never meet a truer friend.

He was an old and much-respected friend of Baron Martin, and that says a great deal for the monitor; for if anybody in the world could understand a man, it was Baron Martin. Whether it was the Prime Minister or the unhappy thief in the dock, he knew all classes and all degrees of criminality. The dear old Baron was not poetical with regard to landscapes, for if one were pointed out to him by some proprietor of a lordly estate, he would say, 'Yes, a vera fine place indeed; and I would have the winning-post there!'

VOL. I. 1

Those who can go back to the days of Harry Hill—but I fear there are few—will know that my eulogy is not undeserved, and his cheery face and genuine pleasant manner will never fade from the memory of those who 'love life and see many days.'

Harry had his wits about him, as most sportsmen have, and those who could 'take him in' would break a respectable record in the art of cunning, even if we included an antiquated gentleman whose name is never mentioned in the polite society where a spade is never called by that name, but, as Bishop Magee said, a —— shovel. However, if a man has his wits about him, you may back him against the field of mediocrities.

I once saw the Baron in quiet conversation with my renowned friend, old Harry Hill, and felt that strange sensation which invariably comes over us when we think we are being talked about. I knew, however, to my consolation, that it was in no unfriendly way. The Baron knew me, as I afterwards discovered, although I had never up to this time been introduced to him, except in court. I had conducted several cases before him, but as to his opinion of my ability I knew absolutely nothing. He was kind to all juniors, and sympathetic, but beyond that his mind and opinion were a blank. I afterwards discovered it.

The old fox and Harry Hill! The two characters at Tattersall's in those days can never be forgotten, and so I have been tempted to linger over them longer than I should probably from a merely literary point of view.

It may seem strange in these more collightened day a that at that time I was under the impression that no one could make a bet unless he had the means of paying if he lost. This statement will provoke a smile, but it is true. The consequence was that I was debarred from speculating where I thought I had a most excellent chance of winning, having been brought up to believe that the world was almost destitute of fraud—a strange and almost unaccountable idea which only time and experience proved to be erroneous. Judge of the vast unexplored field of discovery that lay before me! Harry Hill was better informed. He had lived longer, and had been brought in contact with the cleverest men of the age. He knew at a glance the adventurous fool who staked his last chance when the odds were a hundred to one, and also the man of honour who staked his life on his honesty—and sometimes lost, alas!

There were 'blacklegs' in those days who looked out for such honest gentlemen, and won—scoundrels who degrade sport, and trade successfully on the reputations of men of honour. You cannot cope with these; honesty cannot compete with fraud either in sport or trade.

It was a very brief Sunday sermon which Harry preached to me this afternoon, but it was an effective one, and out of the abundance of his good nature he gave me these well-remembered words of friendly warning:

'Mr. Hawkins, I see you come here pretty regularly on Sunday afternoons, but I advise you not to speculate amongst us, for if you do we shall beat you. We know our business better than you do, and you'll get nothing out of us any more than we should get out of you if we were to dabble in your law, for you know that business better than we do.'

This disinterested advice I took to heart, and reated it as a warning. I thanked Mr. Hill, promised o take advantage of his kindness, and kept my word luring the whole period that Tattersall's remained in he old locality, which it did for a considerable period. The establishment at this time was at Hyde Park Jorner, and had been rented from Lord Grosvenor ince 1766. It was used for the purpose of selling acroughbreds and other horses of a first-rate order atil the against the large which were I think

noroughbreds and other horses of a first-rate order atil the expiration of the lease, which was, I think, 1865. It was then removed to Knightsbridge, here I still continue my visits.

The new premises, or, as it might be called, the ew institution, was inaugurated with a grand dinner, nefly attended by members of the sporting world, cluding Admiral Rous, George Payne, and many her well-known and popular patrons of our national ort. There were also a great many who were own as 'swells,' people who took a lively interest racing affairs, and others who belonged to the erary and artistic world, and enjoyed the national orts as well. It was a large assembly, and if any sons can enjoy a good dinner and lively consation, it is those who take an interest in sport. eir unanimity and sparkling joviality seemed to pire the champagne itself, while this, by reslex ion, returned the compliment. There was no air of periority, no desire to outshine one another, but a versal wish to be agreeable, and to make things isant all round. Mixed as the company might be, was uniform in its object, which was to be happy well as jolly. How they succeeded all who have yed a social evening with them how. Greens!

and generous sociability is the keynote of enjoyment.

Richard and Edmund Tattersall were there, the representatives of old Richard Tattersall, long and favourably known to many a brave veteran sportsman included in that company. But Richard, alas! had long since joined the great majority. He lived in memory, and to his memory was the toast proposed. He had contributed to the happiness of mankind.

That I should have been asked to be present on this historic occasion was extremely gratifying, but I could find no reason for the honour conferred upon me, except that it might be because I had always endeavoured to make myself agreeable—a faculty, if it be a faculty, most invaluable in all the relations and circumstances of life. I was flattered by the compliment, because in reality I was the guest of all the really great men of the day. Not the only representative of the profession to which I belonged was I, for there were one or two Queen's Counsel and about the same number of Serjeants, besides those fashionable members of the Bar who are seen almost everywhere except in court, and know almost everything except their business.

But a still more striking honour was in store for me. I was called upon to respond for somebody or something; I don't remember what it was to this day, nor had I the faintest notion what I ought to say. I was perfectly bewildered, and the first utterance caused a roar of laughter. I did not at that time know the reason. It is of no consequence whether you know what you are talking about in an afterdinner speech or not, for say what you may, hardly

anybody listens, and if they do few will understand the drift of your observations. You get a great deal of applause when you stand up, and a great deal more when you sit down. I seemed to catch my audience quite accidentally by using a word tabooed at that time in sporting circles, because it represented the blacklegs of the racecourse, and was used as a nickname for rascaldom. 'Gentlemen,' I said, 'I have been unexpectedly called upon my legs-' Then I stammered an apology for using the word in that company, and the laughter was unbounded. They thought I did it for the purpose instead of it being the result of my innocence. Of course, the next morning it was in all the sporting papers, reported as an excellent joke. although the last person who saw the joke was myself. However, I diverted my audience from the subject. and made a few chaffing observations on my learned friends, explaining to my numerous hosts that the visits of these distinguished persons to the old Tattersall's were only to be accounted for by their insatiable thirst for knowledge, which is the accompaniment of the most learned, and doubtless in the future they would come to the New Palace for the same disinterested object of picking up information and dropping their money. This, I need not say, was received as a set-off to the indebtedness of my original observation.

After dinner we adjourned to the new premise, which included a betting-room, since christened place,' by interpretation of a particular statute by myself and others. Oh, the castigation I received from the Jockey Club on that account? Whether tier monitory for was anywhere within the pressent i

do not know, but I missed him at that time, and attributed to his absence the lapse from virtue which undermined my previous resolution, and in a moment undid the merits of exemplary years. However, it brought me to myself, and was, after all, a 'blessing in disguise'—and pleasant to think of.

We were in the betting-room, and there was Harry Hill, my genial old friend, who had advised me to take care, and never to bet, 'because we know our business better than you do.' Alas! amidst the hubbub and the excitement, to say nothing of the joviality of everybody and the excellence of the champagne, I said in a brave tone:

'Come now, Mr. Hill, I must have a bet, on the opening of the new Tattersall's. I will give you evens for a fiver on —— for the Derby!'

Alas! my friend, who ought to have known better, forgot the good advice he had given me only a few years before, and I, heedless of consequences in my hilarity, repeated the offer of evens on the favourite.

'Done!' said two or three, and amongst them Hill. I might have repeated the offer and accepted the bet over and over again, so popular was it. 'Done, done, done!' everywhere.

But Hill was the man for my money, and he had it —before morning the favourite was scratched!

It was the race which Hermit won! Poor Hastings lost heavily and died soon after. I had backed the wrong horse, and have never ceased to wonder how I could have been so foolish. 'Let me advise you not to speculate amongst us,' were Hill's words, 'for if you do we shall beat you'; and it cost me five pounds to learn that. A lawyer's opinion may be worth what is paid for it in

a case stated; but of the soundness of a horse's wind, or the thousand and one ailments to which that animal's flesh and blood are heir, I knew nothing—not so much as the little boy who runs and fetches in the stable, and who could give the ablest lawyer in Great Britain or Ireland odds on any particular favourite's 'public form' and beat him.

Put not your trust in tipsters; they no more knew that Hermit had a chance for the Derby than they could foretell the snowstorm that was coming to enable him to win it.

This was the last bet I ever made; and I owe my abandonment of the practice to Harry Hill, who gave me excellent advice and enforced it by example.

## CHAPTER XVIII

## CHIEF BARON KELLY—JEAKES AND JACOBS—TWO HEBREW FIRMS OF SOLICITORS

AMONGST the Judges for whom I entertained the highest esteem were Kelly and Pollock, both Chief Barons of the Exchequer. They possessed—especially Pollock-so wide a knowledge of mankind that it would be difficult to find their match even in these days of wireless telegraphy. They were courteous, kind, and pleasant in every way. Kelly was extremely precise in diction and choice in language; but whatever his decision, you went away satisfied that you had been fairly dealt with—that yez, at least, had had a fair hearing! He was precise and paintaking, but always in every sense of the word a gentleman of the old English school; you have amarted under a sneer, or had to per the since a medical jest. Pollock was equally good-extract and in every way a pattern of refinementant marries in the language was always well chosen, sometimes aparenting with humour, and, as it seemed to me the series which the He had mixed with the world and week leaves from many schools of hurrer statement Delegative with the him was coxcomony, and management of the best = accomplishments

These men were not the waifs and strays of the political world provided for by Judgeships; they were selected for their learning and character, and therefore competent for the high positions they were called upon to occupy.\*

An instance of Chief Baron Kelly's careful forethought in looking at all contingencies when a settlement was being arrived at, occurs to my mind.

In a case in which my opponent and myself had agreed to dispense with the jury and try the matter before the Judge, the Lord Chief Baron showed himself almost painfully anxious about the smallest detail. Every date, no matter how unimportant, he would insist on having on his notes, lest something or other might turn upon it.

After proceeding for a considerable time, a grave discussion took place with regard to the future conduct of the case. It was a difficult matter, involving innumerable details, and there seemed every probability of its outlasting, at the rate we were progressing, the present generation. Kelly had no regard for posterity, and during the argument with the Chief Baron, details of the smallest moment became invested with an amount of importance that no one contemplated.

When the learned Judge was finally asked to make an order for an arrangement that we considered satisfactory to all parties, Kelly again laid down in precise and measured syllables his views of the situation, and

<sup>\*</sup> I have studied Judges all my professional life, and am certain that the less religious or political sentiment imported to the Bench the better it is for the interests of justice.—R. H.

gave us a very beautiful discourse on contingencies that might or might never arise, events that might or might not happen.

'I will make no order,' said his lordship with great emphasis, 'for any arrangement if there is a possibility that anything should set the parties at variance again. A mutual agreement—must of—ne—ces—sity embrace all par—ticulars and details—so—that in no circumstances should there be the least room—for—any—mis—understanding between—the several parties—there—fore, Mr. Hawkins—Mr. Hawkins, will you attend?—as you—well know—you must shape—the order—so that—due pro—vision be—made for—ev—ery—con—tin—gen—cy.'

In this case it had been arranged between the opposing counsel and myself that a learned friend who had more time at his disposal than money, should proceed to the Gold Coast, the most deadly of all climates, to take evidence on commission in the cause. The arrangement had, as I have said, taken a long time to settle, because of the innumerable details to be provided for in so adventurous a business.

The Chief Baron, seeing that we had nearly come to terms, interposed with the question:

'I take it—for granted, that you—gentlemen are perfectly aware that the Gold Coast is a very—un—healthy spot, and that the special exam—iner—might—die—in the—course—of dis—charging his—duty?'

'Oh yes, my lord,' I answered; 'we are much obliged to your lordship for calling our attention to the matter, but we have made, I think, suitable arrangements and provided for the contingency your lordship has suggested most satisfactorily.'

the arrangement is that he is to pay the funeral expenses.'

A most satisfactory arrangement, Mr. Hawkins, and most equitable. I will make the order accord-

ingly.'

Whether the latter part of the arrangement was ever carried out I have not learnt. Nothing more was heard of the case.

No man is true to his own character at all times or on all occasions; his diversity often depends on the merest trifle, so that he may do the very opposite of what one might expect; he may even act honestly, which is as contrary to the nature of some men as anything in this world can be.

When I came across a real good rogue I played him as though I had hooked a salmon: I let him have a little line now and then; and a little air; and then he would take a plunge into deep water, but he never got away if I could help it.

Let me give you an instance of what I mean, as illustrating human character, only that instead of taking two rogues, I will take two highly respectable Hebrew solicitors who in my early days occasionally briefed me. One of them was named Saul Jeakes, and the other Elias Jacobs. They enjoyed a large practice, were highly respected, and were both of considerable age. They had been opponents all their lives, and had grown old in their professional rivalry. What those two men did not know would have to be sought for in a warmer climate than this world enjoys.

Internally there was not much difference between them, outwardly there was; but where Nature caused them to differ in appearance Art contrived a resemblance so as to bring them to an equality as nearly as possible.

For instance, one—that was Saul—possessed an abundance of flowing white locks, which gave him a most patriarchal appearance. You might have taken your choice of the Biblical children of Israel and not outdone Saul in respectability of outline. He looked like Jacob asking his father's blessing. Elias, on the contrary, had no hair at all on the top of his head, which gave him a rather anti-Biblical appearance—no Esau in him; but he had a margin of hair round his neck and a little scanty fringe above the ear. To make up for this deficiency, Elias indulged in a lavish application of hair-powder, which, in my ignorance, I took to be kitchen flour. Whatever it was, he made a liberal use of it, and was a friend of the

When these two worthy practitioners sat together miller. in court it was difficult to decide who had the best of it so far as respectability of appearance went. Each was accompanied by a satellite, who revolved around his primary, and never swerved from his particular orbit. One of them was named Shakes. He it was who waited on Jeakes. The other was Davis, the gentleman usher of Jacobs. They were a family party whose friendship other people's quarrels never could disturb, but rather cemented.

I have no word of disparagement for either side. They played their game with legitimate weapons, according to the rules of Jewish warfare, and would have treated with the greatest indignation any suggestion that one of the four would or could act contrary to the highest traditions of their honourable profession. They were most strict in their observance of the etiquette of their business.

I speak with impartiality, because I was as often for the one honourable practitioner as the other. Mr. Serjeant Byles and I divided their patronage between us-that is to say, when he was retained for one side, I was usually chosen for the other. Byles was a man of considerable humour, and although he knew our clients were conscientiously fair, he sometimes smiled at their mode of showing it. He often wondered, as I did, that gentlemen who, to vulgar eyes, would seem to be of the lowest principles should be the slaves of a despotic conscientiousness. Notwithstanding this precision, however, we were not always permitted to confine ourselves to matters that merely related to the issue; the margin was large, but confined to a proper regard to etiquette. That had to be observed, even if both clients should perish simultaneously.

This will be more apparent from a case in which I appeared for the defendant, and Byles for the plaintiff. The plaintiff himself was a strict Jew, and my client of course knew it.

The action was of the simplest kind—a claim of £25 for a baker's bill, and, so far as I could see, we had no defence whatever. The goods had been received, and the money was owing. But no human being not of their persuasion could imagine the resources of the rival firms of Jeakes and Jacobs.

The defence according to my instructions was to cross-examine the plaintiff, and I had learnt by this time enough to know that cross-examination is not always a defence to an honest action, let the books say what they will.

'Ask him,' whispered the Jew from behind his hand, 'whether he does not keep a pig.'

'Keep a pig!' I exclaimed. 'Suppose he keeps

twenty pigs, must he not pay his baker's bill?'

'Yes, sir, keep a pig; he'll know what you mean. Ask him if he keeps a pig.'

I was staggered at the suggestion, and did not intend to play into his hands, whatever it might mean.

'What,' I asked, 'has a pig to do with the baker's bill? A pig does not exonerate a man from paying his debts. Is a pig an answer to an honest claim?'

'You ask him, Mr. Hawkins, you'll see,' grinned my instructor, with his lean finger to the side of his nose.

'Has he not a right to keep a pig?' I asked. 'What

on earth do you mean?'

'Ask him, sir; he'll be so mad, he'll forget all about his case, and won't answer another question; and Mr. Serjeant Byles shall get no more answers from him, too.'

I need not say the question was not put, and the

baker was triumphant!

There was a young solicitor who had been entrusted with a defence in a case of murder. It was his first case of importance, and he was, of course, enthusiastic in his devotion to his client's interests. Indeed, his enthusiasm rather overstepped his prudence.

By way of extra precaution, when the case was about to be called on, he managed in some way or other to ingratiate himself with a man who was a juror-in-waiting, and likely to be called into the box to try his case. By dint of perseverance and persuasion

he obtained a promise from the man that if he should be on the jury he would consent to no other verdict than manslaughter, which would be a tremendous triumph for the young solicitor.

The case was a very strong one for wilful murder. The friendly juror-in-waiting was called, and took his seat in the box. Everything went well except the evidence, and the solicitor's heart almost failed for fear his man should give way. Would the juror think more of the money than his conscience? The jury retired, and were absent for a long time; then returned to court, and said they were unable to agree.

Now the young solicitor felt he was right: it was his faithful juror who was standing out.

'All agreed but one, my lord.'

'Go back to your room,' said the Judge; which they did, and after another long absence returned with a verdict of 'Manslaughter.'

The prisoner's solicitor was jubilant with his success. His client was saved from the gallows, and his ten years' penal servitude was nothing. Presently, however, he met his juryman and congratulated him on his firmness, and thanked him effusively for his exertions in his client's behalf.

- 'How did you manage it, my good friend,' he asked —'how did you manage? It was a wonderful verdict —wonderful!'
- 'Oh,' said he, 'I was determined not to budge. I never budge. I gave you my word, and I stuck to it. Conscience is ever my guide.'
  - 'I suppose there were eleven to one against you?'
- 'Eleven to one! It was a tough job, sir—a tough job.'

11

- 'Eleven for wilful murder, eh?' said the jubilant young man. 'Dear me, what a narrow squeak!'
  - 'Eleven for murder! No, sir!' exclaimed the juror.
  - 'What, then?'
- 'Eleven jor an acquittal! You may depend upon it, sir, the other jurors had been "got at."'

Lord Watson, dining with me one Grand Day at Gray's Inn, said he recollected a very stupid and a very rude Scotch Judge (which seems very remarkable) who scarcely ever listened to an advocate, and pooh-poohed everything that was said.

One day a celebrated advocate was arguing before him, when, to express his contempt of what he was saying, the cantankerous old curmudgeon of a Judge pointed with one forefinger to one of his ears, and with the other to the opposite one.

- 'You see this, Mr. ?'
- 'I do, my lord,' said the advocate.
- 'Well, it just goes in here and comes out there!' and his lordship smiled with the hilarity of a Judge who thinks he has actually said a good thing.

The advocate looked and smiled not likewise, but a good deal more wise. Then the expression of his face changed to one of contempt.

'I do not doubt it, my lord,' said he. 'What is there to prevent it?'

The learned Judge sat immovable, and looked—like a judicial—wit.

#### CHAPTER XIX

### ARISING OUT OF THE 'ORSINI AFFAIR'

THE 'Orsini Affair' was one of high treason and murder. It was the attempt on the part of a band of conspirators to murder Napoleon III. In order to accomplish this *political* object, they exploded a bomb as nearly under His Majesty's carriage as they could manage, but instead of murdering the Emperor they killed a policeman.

Orsini was captured, tried, and executed in the good old French fashion. His political career ended with the guillotine, a sharp remedy, but effective, so far as he was concerned.

One, Dr. Simon Barnard, was more fortunate than his principal, for he was in England, the refuge of discontented foreign murderers, who try to do good by stealth, and sometimes feel very uncomfortable when they find that it turns out to be assassination.

Barnard was a brother conspirator in this famous Orsini business, and being apprehended in England, was taken to be tried before Lord Chief Justice Campbell, Edwin James and I being retained for the defence.

There was no defence on the facts, and no case on

the law. He was indicted for conspiracy with Orsini to murder the Emperor in Paris.

I had prepared a very elaborate and exhaustive argument in favour of the prisoner, on the law, and had little doubt I could secure his acquittal; but the facts were terribly strong, and we knew well enough if the jury convicted, Campbell would hang the prisoner, for he never tolerated murder. With this view of the case, we summoned Dr. Barnard to a consultation, which was held in one of the most ghastly rooms of Newgate.

It was the most miserable place to be found outside the gaol, and could only be surpassed in horror by one within. It might have been, and probably was, an ante-room to hell, but of that I say nothing. I leave my description, for I can do no more justice to it. The only cheerful thing about it was Dr. Barnard himself. His cheerfulness was amusing. He was the most cold-blooded person I had ever met. Not one of those dark, mysterious beings your read of in novels. He gave the lie to your stage conspirator, and talked as cheerfully as if he were calculating the chances of the favourite winning the Derby. In its very lightness the situation seemed to be serious. He was totally unconcerned with the danger of his situation, and regarded himself as a hero of the first Murder, hanging, guillotine-all seemed to be the everyday chances of life, and to him there was nothing sweeter or more desirable, if you might judge by his demeanour.

I thought it well to mention the fact that, if the jury found him guilty, Lord Campbell would certainly sentence him to death, leaving him to get out of

it as best he could, and explained to him that his position was one of extreme danger, but he exhibited no emotion whatever. Shrugging his shoulders, after the manner of a Frenchman who differed from you in opinion, he said:

'Well, if I am hanged, I must be hanged, that is all.'

With a man like him it was impossible to argue or ask for explanations. He seemed to be possessed with the one idea that to remedy all the grievances of the State it was merely necessary to blow up the Emperor with his horses and carriage, and coolly informed us, without the least reserve, that the bombs manufactured with this political object had been sent over to Paris from England concealed in firkins of butter. I can find no words in which to express my feelings.

So ended our first consultation. The 'merits' of the case were gone; there was no defence. But whatever might be our opinion on Dr. Barnard's state of mind, we could not abandon him to his fate. We were retained to defend him, and defend him we must, even in spite of himself, if we could do so consistently with our professional honour and duty.

Accordingly we had another consultation, and, as I have said, there was one other room in England more ghastly than that where we held our first interview, so now I reluctantly introduce you to it.

If a man about to be tried for his life could look on this apartment and its horrors unmoved, he would certainly be a fit subject for the attentions of the hangman, and deserving of no human sympathy. It was enough to shake the nerves of the hangman himself. We were in an apartment on the north-east side of the quadrangular building, where the sunshine never entered. Even daylight never came, but only a feeble, sickening twilight, precursor of the grave itself. It was not merely the gloom that intensified the horrors of the situation, or the ghastly traditions of the place, or the impending fate of our callous client; but there was a tier of shelves occupying the side of the apartment, on which were placed in dismal prominence the plaster of Paris busts of all the male-factors who had been hanged in Newgate for some hundred years.

No man can look attractive after having been hanged, and the indentation of the hangman's rope on every one of their necks, with the mark of the knot under the ear, gave such an impression of all that can be conceived of devilish horror as would baffle the conceptions of the most morbid genius.

Whether these things were preserved for phrenological purposes or for the gratification of the most sanguinary taste I never knew, but they impressed me with a disgust of the brutal tendency of the age.

Dr. Barnard, however, seemed to take a different view. Probably he was scientific. He went up to them, and examined, as it seemed, every one of these ghastly memorials with an interest which could only be scientific. It did not seem to have occurred to his brain that his head would probably be the next to adorn that repository of criminal effigies.

He was in charge of a warder, and looked round with the utmost composure, as though examining the Caesars in the British Museum, and was as interested as any fanatical fool of a phrenologist. He shrugged his shoulders, raised his eyebrows, and repeated his old formula, 'Well, if I am to be hanged, I must be hanged.'

He was acquitted. My elaborate arguments on the law were not necessary, for the jury actually refused to believe the evidence as to the facts. I have never known why; but perhaps our old friends Jeakes and Jacobs could explain. I cannot.

Such are the chances of trial by jury!

As a relief to this gloomy chapter I must tell you of a distinguished Judge who had to sentence a dishonest butler for robbing his master of some silver spoons. He considered it his duty to say a few words to the prisoner in passing sentence, in order to show the enormity of the crime of a servant in his position robbing his master, and by way of warning to others who might be tempted to follow his example.

'You, prisoner,' said his lordship, 'have been found guilty by a jury of your country, of stealing these articles from your employer—mark that—your employer! Now, it aggravates your offence that he is your employer, because he employs you to look after his property. You did look after it, but not in the way that a butler should—mark that!' The Judge here hemmed and coughed, as if somewhat exhausted with his exemplary speech; and then resumed his address, which was ethical and judicial: 'You, prisoner, have no excuse for your conduct. You had a most excellent situation, and a kind master to whom you owed a debt of the deepest gratitude and your allegiance as a faithful servant, instead of which you paid him by feathering your nest with his silver spoons; therefore you must be transported for the term of seven years!'

The metaphor was equal to that employed by an Attorney-General, who at a certain time in the history of the Home Rule agitation, addressing his constituents, told them that Mr. Gladstone had sent up a balloon to see which way the cat jumped with regard to Ireland! He was soon appointed a Judge of the High Court.

Judges, however, are not always masters of their feelings, any more than they are of their language; they are sometimes carried away by prejudice, or even controlled by sentiment. I knew one, a very a worthy and amiable man, who, having to sentence a prisoner 'to death, was so overcome by the terrible nature of the crime that he informed the unhappy convict that he could expect no mercy either in this world or the next!

Littledale, again, was an uncommonly kind and virtuous man, a good husband and a learned Judge; but he was afflicted with a wife whom he could not control. She, on the contrary, controlled him, and left him no peace unless she had her will. At times, however, she overdid her business. Littledale had a butler who had been in the family many years, and with whom he would not have parted on any account. He would sooner have parted with her ladyship. One morning, however, this excellent butler came to Sir Joseph and said, with tears in his eyes:

- 'I beg your pardon, my lord---'
- 'What's the matter, James?'
- 'I'm very sorry, my lord,' said the butler, 'but I wish to leave.'
- 'Wish to leave, James? Why, what do you wish to leave for? Haven't you got a good situation?'

'Capital sitiwation, Sir Joseph, and you have always been a good kind master to me, Sir Joseph, but oh, Sir Joseph! Sir Joseph!'

'What then, James, what then? Why do you wish to leave? Not going to get married, eh—not surely going to get married? Oh, James, don't do it!'

'Heaven forbid, Sir Joseph!'

'Eh, eh? Well, then, what is it? Speak out, James, and tell me all about it. Tell me—tell me as a friend! If there is any trouble——'

'Well, Sir Joseph. I could put up with anything from you, Sir Joseph, but I can't get on with my

lady!

'My lady be ——. Oh, James, what a sinner you make of me! Is that all, James? Then go down on your knees at once and thank God my lady is not your wife!'

The consolation was enough, and James stayed.

I don't think I have mentioned a curious reason that a jury once gave for not finding a prisoner guilty, although he had been tried on a charge of a most terrible murder. The evidence was irresistible to anybody but a jury, and the case was one of inexcusable brutality. The man had been tried for the murder of his father and mother, and, as I said, the evidence was too clear to leave a doubt as to his guilt.

The jury retired to consider their verdict, and were away so long that the judge sent for them and asked if there was any point upon which he could enlighten them. They answered no, and thought they understood the case perfectly well.

After a great deal of further consideration they brought in a verdict of 'Not guilty.'

The Judge was angry at so outrageous a violation of their plain duty, and did what he ought not to have done—namely, asked the reason they brought in such a verdict, when they knew the culprit was guilty and ought to have been hanged.

'That's just it, my lord,' said the foreman of this distinguished body. 'I assure you we had no doubt about the prisoner's guilt, but we thought there had been deaths enough in the family lately, and so gave him the benefit of the doubt!'

I was now getting on so well in my profession that in the minds of many of the unsuccessful there was a natural feeling of disappointment. Why one man should succeed and a dozen fail has ever been an unsolved problem at the Bar, and ever will be. But the curious part of this natural law is that it manifests itself in the most unexpected manner.

I was one day coming from a County Court, where I had had a successful day, and humming a little tune, when whom should I meet but my friend Morgan ----. He was a very pleasant man, what is called a nice man, of a quiet, religious turn of mind, and nobody was ever more painstaking to push himself along. He was a great stickler for a man's doing his duty, and was possessed with the idea that, getting on as I was, it was my duty to refuse to take a brief in the County Court.

Coming up to me on the occasion I refer to, Morgan said, 'What, you here, Hawkins! I believe you'd take a brief before the devil in h-.'

I was quite taken aback for the moment by the use of such language. If he had not been so religious a man, perhaps I should not have felt it so much; as it was, I could hardly fetch my breath.

When I recovered my equanimity, I answered, 'Yes, Morgan, I would, and should get one of my devils to hold it.'

He seemed appeased by my frank avowal, for he loved honesty almost as much as fees.

#### CHAPTER XX

# APPOINTED QUEEN'S COUNSEL—SITTING AS A COMMISSIONER

On January 10, 1859, the Lord Chancellor did me the honour to recommend my name to Her Most Gracious Majesty, and I was raised to the rank and dignity of a Queen's Counsel.

This is a step of doubtful wisdom to most men in the legal profession, for it is generally looked upon as the end of a man's career or the beginning. I had no doubt about the propriety of the step; it had been the object of my ambition, and I believe I should unhesitatingly have acted as I did, even if it had been the termination of my professional life. My idea was to go forward in the career I had chosen. The junior work, if it had not lost its emoluments, no longer possessed the pleasurable excitement of the old days. It was never my ambition merely to 'mark time'; that is unsatisfactory exertion, and leads no whither.

But enough; I took silk, and a new life opened before me. I was a leader.

My business rolled on in ever-increasing volume, so that I had to fairly pick my way through the constant downpour of briefs, but was always present

forward by that useful institution known as the barrister's clerk.'

Whatever business overwhelms the counsel, no amount of it would disconcert the clerk, and it is wonderful how many briefs he can arrange in upstanding attitude along mantelpieces, tables, tops of dwarf cupboards, windows—anywhere, in fact, where there is anything to stand a brief on, without that gentleman feeling the least exhausted. It would take as long to wear him out as to wear to a level the rocks of Niagara. The loss of a brief to him is almost like the loss of an eye. It would take a week after such a disaster to get the right focus of things.

My clerk came rushing into my room one day so pale and excited that I wondered if the man had lost his wife or child. He did not leave me long in suspense as soon as he could articulate his words.

'Sir,' said he, 'you know those Emmetts that you have done so much for?'

I remembered.

'Well, sir, they've taken a brief to another counsel.'

It was a serious misfortune, no doubt, and I had to soothe him in the best manner I could; so to lessen the calamity I made the best joke I could think of in the circumstances, and said the Emmetts were small people, almost beneath notice.

I don't wonder that he did not see it with tears in his eyes; his distress was painful to witness. The poor fellow was dumbfounded, but at last shook his head, saying:

- 'We've had a good deal from those Emmetts, sir.'
- 'But you need not make mountains out of ant-hills.'

He did not see that either.

It may not be generally known that the Judge as Judge does not go circuit at all; he goes as a Commissioner of Assize, and as such sits as Commissioner. The Queen's or King's Counsel are also Commissioners in the same sense, and are occasionally called upon to assist the Judge Commissioners in their duty.

My being thus called upon was the occasion of this episode, and I think it was the first case I ever tried. It was at Kingston-upon-Thames, where this justly celebrated summing up was delivered. A worthy publican was charged with conspiring to defraud certain brewers by obtaining the finest stout and getting it delivered as small-beer. It could only be accomplished by the aid of those in the brewery whose duty it was to deliver it to the customers. The charge was serious, and the prisoner was represented by a voluble barrister who possessed more eloquence than brains and more innocence than virtue—that is to say, he thought it was his duty to obey whatever instructions were given to him by his solicitor.

Many curious defences have startled the ear of Justice, but seldom has such a masterpiece as this been paraded before the public. It was the ingenious suggestion of a local practitioner, and was to this effect:

The distance which the beer had to travel from the brewery to the publican's cellar was several miles along a country road, and the learned counsel's theory was that, although it had been discovered, that a much larger quantity had left the brewery than was charged for to the publican, the fact was the rough roads had

aused it to shake down on its journey, and, conseuently, when it arrived at the publican's it was a good deal less in quantity than when it left the prewery.

Such was the answer to the first part of the fraud, and the learned counsel amidst the roars of laughter which followed his brilliant defence, forgot all about the second count in the indictment—namely, the charging for small-beer and delivering stout of three times the value.

One might have acquitted the publican on account of the ingenuity of the defence, but I, as Commissioner, thought otherwise, and asked the jury whether they believed the 'shaking-down' theory—whether their own experience agreed with the hitherto unheard-of suggestion of the contraction of liquor; but whatever they might think of that matter, perhaps they would ask themselves if they ever heard of small-beer shaking itself down into treble X stout on its way to the publican.

The jury surprised the counsel by promptly returning a verdict of 'Guilty,' and the solicitor, who was one of those sharp practitioners happily so sparsely dotted about in country districts, after hearing the sentence pronounced, murmured as he left the court, 'No small-beer that for my client, by Jove!'

I was now living in Bond Street, and for the first time in my life was taken seriously ill. My clerk's worry then came home to me; not about a single brief, but about a great many. Illness would be a very serious matter, as I had arrived at an important stage in my career. A barrister in full practice cannot afford to be ill. He must be con-

tinually at work, never ceasing, while his clerk is waiting at the door to take in the next pile of papers or, it may be, as was the case I shall presently refe to, special retainers by the score. I mention it by no means in the way of boasting, but as something to satisfy curiosity as to the amount of business which came day by day and almost hour by hour In my distress I sent to dear old Baron Martin, as I was in every case in his list for the following day and begged him to oblige me by adjourning his court. It was a large request, but I knew his kindness, and felt I might ask the favour. Baron Martin, I should think, never in his life did an unkind act or refused to do a kind one. He instantly complied with my request, and did not listen for a moment to the 'public interest,' as the foolish fetish is called which sometimes does duty for its neglect. The 'public interest' on this occasion was the interests of all those who had entrusted their business to my keep-The public interests are the interests of the suitors.

Not only did the Baron adjourn his court, but he called and cheered me up with many an old tale and new story of the Turf, the Bench, and the Bar; it was all one to old Sam. 'You know,' said he, '—— was driving through Rome this spring with Jack —— and his charming wife—charming, but proper as they are made, religiously proper. Well, their carriage was more than usually beset with beggars that day, and their coachman, who was an English Jehu, and knew a good deal more Billingsgate than Italian, hearing the master shout over and over again, "Va via! Va via!" and the beggars still persisting in their clamour with-

out taking any notice of the order, at last could contain himself no longer, and, in spite of the lady's presence, shouted at the top of his voice, and in the greatest rage, "Va! va! wire! wire! Why the hell don't you wire when the gentleman tells you?"

I asked what became of the lady.

'Oh,' said the Baron, 'she buried her face behind her sunshade to conceal her laughter.'

Even that little incident cheered me in my illness; and, coming as it did from so abundant a source of humour and good-nature, it not only cheered, but seemed to give me new life. It was good to see Baron Martin laugh, especially when he told a story. But his stories of the turf were endless, so I shall not begin them.

My illness threatened to be fatal. I had been overworked; and nothing but the greatest care and skill brought me round. One never knows what friendship is and what friends are till he is ill.

At length there was a consultation, Drs. Addison, Charles Johnson, Duplex, and F. Hawkins, my cousin, being present.

It was a kind of medical jury which sat upon me. I will pass over details, and come to the conclusion of the investigation. After considering the case, Dr. Addison, who acted as foreman of the jury, said:

'We find a verdict of "Guilty," under mitigating circumstances; the prisoner has not injured himself with intent to do any grievous bodily or mental harm, but he has been guilty of negligence, not having taken due care of himself, and we hope the sentence we are about to pass will act as a warning to him, and deter

others from following a like practice. The prisoner is released on bail, to come up for judgment when called upon; and the meaning of that is,' said Dr. Addison, 'that if you behave yourself you will hear no more of this; but if you return to your former practice without any regard to the warning you have had, you will be promptly called up for judgment, and I need not say the sentence will be proportioned to the requirements of the case. You may now go.'

I believe that the amusement I derived from Addison's address and treatment contributed no little to remove my depression and restore my good spirits. We ought to be grateful, I think, and have always thought, that there is such a thing as humour in the world. Without it earth would be, as the Sheffield dignitary said, a suburb of a far worse locality.

To carry on Dr. Addison's joke, I heartily thanked him for taking my good character into consideration, and practically acquitting me of all evil tendencies. Acting upon his good advice, from that time to this I have never been in trouble again, although, as I shall have occasion to relate, at one time I might have required a coroner's jury for myself and Marshal.

Watson, Q.C., afterwards Baron Watson, advised me to take a long rest; but as he was not a Doctor of Medicine, I did not act upon his advice: a long rest would have killed me much faster than any amount of work, so I worked with judgment, and although my business went on increasing to an extent that would not have pleased Dr. Addison, I suffered no evil effects, but seemed to get through it with more case than ever, and was soon in a fair way to achieve the greatest gest

of human endeavour—a comfortable independence. The reason of getting through so much work was that I had to reject a great deal, and, of course, had my choice of the best, not only as to work, but as to clients. To use a sporting phrase, I got the best 'mounts,' and therefore was at the top of the record in wins.

Good cases are easy—they do not need winning; they will do their own work if you only leave them alone. Bad cases require all your attention; they want much propping, and your only chance is that, if you cannot win, your opponent may lose.

But nothing in the chapter of the Bar is more erroneous than the talk of the tremendous incomes of counsel. A man is never estimated at his true worth in this world, be he whom he may—barrister, actor, physician, or writer; and as for incomes, no one can estimate his neighbour's except the Income-tax Commissioners. They get pretty near sometimes without knowing it.

The same foolish estimate is also formed by the public, who know nothing of the subject, and when they talk of ten thousand a year, in many cases ten hundred would be nearer the mark.

One morning I was riding in the Park when old Sam Lewis, the great money-lender, a man for whom I had great esteem, and about whom I will relate a little story presently, came alongside. We were on friendly, and even familiar, terms, Sam and I, although I never borrowed any money of him in my life.

'Why, Mr. Hawkins,' said he, 'you seem to be in almost everything. What a fortune you must be piling up!'

'Not so big as you might think,' I replied.

'Why, how many,' he rejoined, 'are making as much as you? A good many are doing twenty thousand a year, I dare say, but——'

Here I checked his curiosity by asking if he had ever considered what twenty thousand a year meant.

He never had.

'Then I will tell you, Lewis: you may make it in a day, but to us it means five hundred golden sovereigns every week in the working year!'

It somewhat startled him, I could see, and it effected my object without giving offence. What did it matter to Sam Lewis what my income was?

'There are men who make it,' he answered.

This I neither agreed with nor denied.

'Some men have made it,' I said; 'and I know some who make more, but will never own to it, ask who may.'

Nevertheless, I liked Sam Lewis, and having told the story of the Queen's Counsel who borrowed my money in so dishonest a manner, I will tell one of Sam, the professional money-lender.

He never was known to take advantage of a man in difficulties, and he never did, nor to charge anyone exorbitant interest. I have known him lend to men and allow them to fix their own time of payment, their own rate of interest, and their own security. He often lent without any at all. He knew his men, and was not fool enough to trust a rogue at any amount of interest. He was known and respected by all ranks, and never more esteemed than by those who had had pecuniary transactions with him. He was the soul of honour, and his transactions were world-wide; hasiness passed through his hands that would have been

entrusted nowhere else; so that he was rich and no one was more deservedly so. Some men, on account of their calling, are spoken against by others whose halo of virtue prevents their seeing very clearly; and, of course, as a 'money-lender,' he was looked upon by those who neither knew him nor needed him as 'one of the fraternity.' Prejudice, alas! will injure the best of characters, as Envy will attack the most meritorious. The borrower, on the other hand, is never looked upon in any other light than a downtrodden honest man or a deluded fool. He is often both.

Here is an incident in Lewis's business life that will show one phase of his character:

He held a number of bills, many of which were suspected by him to be forged—that is to say, that the figures had been altered after the signature of the acceptor had been written.

They were all in the name of Lord ——

One day Lewis met his lordship in the Park and mentioned his suspicion, at the same time inviting him to call and examine the bills. The noble lord was a little amazed, and proceeded at once to Lewis's office. Seating himself on one side of the table with his noble creditor opposite, Lewis handed to him the bills one by one and requested him to set aside those that were forged.

The separation having been made, it appeared that over twenty thousand pounds' worth of the bills were forged! The noble lord was a little startled at the discovery, but his mind was soon eased by Lewis putting the whole of the forged bills into the fire.

'There's an end of them, my lord,' said he. 'We

want no prosecution, and I do not wish to receive payment from you. I ought to have examined them with more care, and you ought not to have left space enough before the first figure to supplement it by another. The rogue could not resist the temptation.'

So ended this monetary transaction, creditable alike to the honour and generosity of the money-lender.

## CHAPTER XXI

ARTHUR A'BECKET—'TAKEN IN' TO CROSS-EXAMINE IN CHANCERY—JESSEL'S IDEA OF IT

THE most steady of minds will sometimes go on the tramp. This was never better illustrated than when the young curate was being married, and the officiating clergyman asked him the formal question, 'Wilt thou have this woman to thy wedded wife?'

The poor bridegroom, losing self-control, and not having yet a better-half to keep him straight, answered, 'That is my desire,' anticipating by a considerable period a totally different religious ceremony of the Church, namely, the Baptism of Infants. In his anticipation the young man had overreached the necessities of the situation.

This momentary digression leads me to the following story: I was staying at the house of an old friend, a wealthy Hebrew, while another of the guests was Arthur A'Becket. As will sometimes happen when you are in good spirits, the conversation took a religious turn. We drifted into it unconsciously, and our worthy host was telling us that he was in the habit of praying night and morning. Being in a communicative mood, I said, 'Well, since you name it, I sometimes say a little prayer myself.'

The Hebrew was attentive, and seemed not a little surprised. 'This is especially the case in the morning,' I added.

But once upon a time my mind wavered a little between business and prayer, and I found myself in the midst of my devotional exercise saying, 'Gentlemen of the jury.'

'Thank God!' cried A'Becket, 'our friend Hawkins is not a Unitarian.'

I often wonder how I was able to get through the amount of business that pressed upon me and retain my health, but happily I did so. One great factor in my fortunate condition of health was, perhaps, that I had no ridiculous ambition. What was to come would come as the result of hard work, for I was born to no miraculous interpositions or official friendships.

Having dropped gambling, I set to work, and after a long spell of nisi prius, in all its phases, had engaged my attention, a new sphere of action presented itself in the shape of Compensation Cases—an easy and lucrative branch, which seemed to be added to, rather than have grown out of our profession; but whatever was its connection, it was a prolific branch, hanging down with such good fruit that it required no tempter to make you taste it.

Railway, Government, and Municipal authorities were everywhere taking land for public improvements, and where they were there were, as a rule, my friend Horace Lloyd and myself, fighting in friendly rivalry

as to the amount to be paid.

But before I say a word or two about my Lhours in this landscape - for it is rather that than a 'field' I

must mention an incident that occurred in the course of my advocacy as a Queen's Counsel.

Lord Romilly was Master of the Rolls when Jessel, afterwards Master of the Rolls, was Solicitor-General. I was retained to cross-examine some witnesses in a Chancery suit. It was a case in which the plaintiff prayed that the Corporation of London might be restrained from taking proceedings against my clients, who were building shops on the Corporation ground; these buildings, it was alleged, would be prejudicial to the interests of New Smithfield, which was the property of the Corporation.

Jessel was my leader, but I was 'brought in to cross-examine.' Chancery leaders are not supposed to have devoted their studies to the art of cross-examination, and at that time knew little, if anything, about it, any more than a dentist would understand how to amputate a limb. Consequently, when they were of opinion that an affidavit was false, they had recourse to a common law advocate to examine into the grounds upon which that very learned document was constructed. I speak with great reserve, because I am not acquainted with the minds of Chancery men.

'Leading' is much easier than cross-examining, and Jessel was a good leader. A leader is like the ringmaster in a circus, and merely smacks his whip and cries 'Hoop-la!' or words to that effect, while the dauntless cross-examiner rides any number of horses, and if successful secures the applause of the audience by his skilful horsemanship. Jessel was my ringmaster.

I was instructed to cross-examine with the view of showing that, whatever might be the rights or the nearly turned against me through my chaffing a witness. When a counsel makes a mistake of that sort, and is unfortunate enough to raise the laugh against himself, his client has to pay for it. Luckily, I had presence of mind enough to escape, but not entirely to my satisfaction, for I had gone too far, and might have hurt the witness's feelings, which I had no right to do, and was sorry for afterwards. Being in unusually exuberant spirits on this particular morning, and full of the humour of the situation, I let myself go. I was defending the proprietor of an equestrian circus which was located at Gravesend, and belonged to a Mr. Lawrence Levi.

I learnt very early in my career that a witness should never score against the cross-examiner, and on this occasion nearly lost the benefit of many years' experience. But I was tempted irresistibly by the extremely conceited manner of the witness, who was manager of the circus, and the most matador-looking coxcomb I had ever seen in the box.

He assumed a military air which was the caricature of the most insufferable blockhead. His black hair was parted down the middle and pomatumed at the sides, which gave him the appearance of a stage corsair of the Byronic type, while his moustache was so formidable and so curled up and waxed at the ends that I could scarce refrain from laughing. His name was Phillips, but I thought it should have been Rinaldo, or something of that sort. Phillips was test low and commercial for such a stage hero. The whole court, Judge and all, were in a laughing mood, and I knew well enough that the least word would set them off, and it did so sooner than I expected.

'Now, Captain Phillips!' said I; and the laughter began.

At that time moustachies were confined to the military, and a man who exhibited that decoration was looked upon as a fop, especially if he arrayed himself in cavalier boots and a blue coat. When I said, 'Now, Captain Phillips,' I involuntarily put my fingers to my chin, where never a hair had been allowed to show itself. This had the effect of calling the attention of the audience to the point of the question.

Phillips, however, was equal to the occasion, and, turning upon me with a theatrical air, declaimed:

'I am not Captain Phillips; but we are not all so bare-faced as you, Mr. Hawkins!'

It was a smart reply, and by no means calculated to smooth my temper, which I seldom lost, for nothing in advocacy can be worse. It was necessary to retrieve my position, as the retort of Phillips had turned the laughter against me, and unless I could give him one back the verdict would be lost; such are the chances of advocacy that the result may hang upon a small thread.

'I beg your pardon, Mr. Phillips,' I answered; 'the circus whip has made you smart, and you have done justice to your teacher.'

This was taken everywhere in good part, because I said it in such a manner that no offence was taken even by Phillips, and we got on so well afterwards that in his gratitude for my well-disposed jocularity he gave himself entirely away.

I must now describe a remarkable event that occurred a great many years ago, and which caused no little VOL. I.

The burst of laughter which this little transformation of the respectable stout old Quaker occasioned I was in no way responsible for; but even Old Parke fell back in his seat, and said:

'Mr. Hawkins! Mr. Hawkins!'

I knew what that meant, and when the usher, by dint of much clamour, secured me another hearing, I continued:

'Nay, sir, and if you looked at yourself in a looking-glass you would not be able to recognise a single feature you possessed, had you been battered about the face as the unfortunate man was. Why, the young policeman says in his evidence his nose was flattened, his eyes were swollen black, blue, and red, his cheeks gashed and bloody! But it is enough: if that is a correct description, although a mild one, of the man as he appeared after the scene of the conflict, how can you expect the young constable to recognise such an individual months afterwards, or any of the witnesses, although to their dying day they would not forget the terrible disfigurement of the poor fellow whom you are supposed to be trying?'

All this time there was everywhere painfully suppressed laughter, and even the jury, all of them Epsom men, and many of whom I knew well enough, were hardly able to contain themselves.

His lordship, after summing up the case to the jury, looked down quietly to me, as I was sitting below him, and murmured:

'Hawkins, you've got all Epsom with you!'

'Yes,' I answered, 'but you have got the Quaker; he was the only one I was afraid of.'

'You have transformed him,' said the Judge.

In a few minutes the verdict showed the accuracy of his lordship's observation, for the jury returned a verdict of not guilty.

I must say, however, that Parke did his utmost to obtain a conviction, but reason and good sense were too much for him. opinion that it should not be granted, and peremptorily dismissed it!

Of course, I was dissatisfied, and declared it was a denial of justice, a statement I was sorry for afterwards, but was too much annoyed to acknowledge at the time. Pollock took no notice of my observation, except to say that he required my attendance in court at half-past nine on the following morning, before the court sat for its regular business.

I had not the least idea as to the fate that awaited me, and was not so particularly comfortable after receiving the notice to attend. When I entered the Chief Baron's room the next morning, his lordship was walking up and down. Whatever my anticipations were, he quickly relieved my anxiety by shaking hands very heartily and with a kind grasp.

Then, without more ado, he said he had been hasty on the previous day when I made my application, and had not given himself time to duly consider the affidavit on its merits. Since then, however, he had been driving round the Park, and had carefully weighed both the affidavit and my application. 'I have come to the conclusion,' he added, 'that I was wrong, and I now give my full consent to your motion, and make the order asked for.'

The order was made; not a word was said about my own hastiness; his very manner forbade it on my part, and his real good-heartedness prevented it on his. The matter there ended, but from that moment I never forgot his kindness or the gratitude and respect I own him. Pollock was strong as well as amiable.

Lord Abinger was another whom I have already mentioned, but he was not so amiable as Pollock.

Many years after this incident the Chief Baron taught me a good deal as to the duties of counsel and Judges.

It happened in this way: I was defending a man who was charged with setting fire to a stack of corn. My old friend and enemy, Rodwell, as usual, was for the prosecution.

Rodwell called a witness who swore that he saw the light put to the stack. The Lord Chief Baron was paying the closest attention to the evidence, and I think his exemplary conduct, his acute, keen, and judicial mind, make this incident almost unique in the trials of this country.

Rodwell proved his case as completely as could have been desired, with the exception of a single fact, and, doubtless, everyone saw at once that it was a somewhat material one. The witness who swore to having seen the light applied to the stack minutely described the man, and gave his height, build, and dress, all of which accurately agreed with those of the prisoner. No part of the description was wanting, and there stood the man answering exactly to the appearance of the incendiary.

But there was still one question of the utmost importance which the counsel for the Crown had omitted to ask. The question was whether the man at the bar was really the man who was seen setting fire to the stack.

It was an all-important one, and, whether omitted purposely or by accident, it was not for the counsel who defended the prisoner to bring it home to him. There is much even here to learn in advocacy.

It was no part of my duty to ask if the prisoner

'No, no, thankee, sir; we dunna want to pit 'im in the ground; we wunna have it!'

'But have you made no preparations for the funeral, my good man?'

'Noa, noa,' answered the father, 'mither were wery fond on him.'

'But what do you intend to do, then, my good man? Just think—the child must be buried.'

'Ay, and I ha'e tho't an' all. Much obliged, sir, for your kind offer, but I means to have un stuffed!'

The gentleman took his departure.

I come to a pleasanter subject—namely, to good old Chief Justice Denman. He was always furious when an application was made to postpone a case, no matter for what cause. A kind friend who used to make applications for me, and whose name was Sam Joyce, obliged me on this present occasion. The court sat in those good old days at 9.30, and did not rise till five, so that there was more time to make fees than now; not only so, but if a case was progressing, in order that the parties and witnesses might not be dragged there again the next day, the Judge would sit and try it out, even if it was not over till eight or nine o'clock.

Sammy was a kind-hearted, short, stout man, who would do a kind act for anybody; and as at that time he had not much practice, I thought a little thing like this would suit him.

The reason for the application was a sound one, it being to postpone my case until eleven o'clock on the next morning instead of beginning at 9.80, in order to enable my wirnesses to arrive at the Flower Pot, in Bishopsgate Street, by ceach. The neary Sam,

always good-tempered, was in the highest spirits, and boldly rose to make his application. What happened I did not see, but as I was going into court immediately after, I met Sammy coming out with a face the colour of lard.

'Thank God,' said he, 'I have escaped Denman's wrath! He was mad with rage.' Sam must have had a buffeting.

The Chief Justice was by no means of an equable temper, and alternated so much that he got into many a difficulty which he would have escaped had he been more calm. The last time I saw him was at Chelmsford Assizes, when he had to try a notoriously bad woman named Chesham for the murder of two children. Old Serjeant Charnock defended her. The case stood second on the list, and it being taken for granted it would not be reached at the particular time, the witnesses were not present when it was called on. What happened when Denman found that so flagrant a neglect of duty had taken place as not 'having your witnesses ready,' in order that a break-neck pace might be kept up, I will not say. It is sufficient for the purpose of this reminiscence that the infamous woman was acquitted.

Denman was too angry to postpone the trial, and the consequence was a gross miscarriage of justice a cheap acquittal. In these days, I need not say, such an event would never happen.

From the courts of justice to the prize-ring is an easy and sometimes pleasant transition, especially in books. From Lord Denman, with his angry voice and severe countenance, to 'Dutch Sam' is a notable change of acquaintance, and might even be pleasant,

not discover it, as the whole of them combined had not a hundredth part of the intelligent cuteness of Lord Chief Justice Jervis.

Two of this gang were standing near me, and I heard one of them say to the other:

'Joey, how would you like to play blind hookey with that —— old devil?'

'Oh my G-!' exclaimed Joey.

The prisoners were convicted principally upon the evidence of the Lord Chief Justice, and sentenced to long terms of imprisonment. My client Johnny got away. He read about Jervis and this trial in the papers, and declared he would sooner abandon his profession than be tried by such an old thief. 'Why,' said he, 'that old bloke knows every trick on the board.'

Johnny's patience was untiring; he did not mind waiting to be tried. It was the trial itself he disliked, so he bided his time. No assize went by that he did not carefully estimate his chances, so that he might have made a betting-book. He studied the public form, and weighed the merits of the Judge who was coming the particular assize.

At last came one to his liking, a good old sportive man of the world who had never studied the backs of cards or looked on kings and knaves with any close discrimination or study. Johnny surrendered. It was our dear old Pollock, every whit as shrewd as Jervis and as learned, but he did not throw so much personal element into his cases. As I said before, if a prisoner had a chance of an acquittal, he was too much of a sportsman to catch him in a trap. Johnny was fair game for the Crown to hunt, but the Crown

itself must hunt in a sportsman-like manner. The cards produced against him were not the cards the Brighton magistrates had seen, for they positively had declared they were free from suspicion, and Johnny was very properly acquitted. This was a somewhat new and perplexing point, and my client was cute enough to see it at a glance.

There is one other incident I should mention in the matter of Johnny Broom, and that is his escape. He came into Lewes fully intending to take his trial, and went out of Lewes with the determination not to be tried at those assizes, for the simple reason, as he said, that Jervis was too heavy weight for his counsel.

He took a room and showed himself publicly; but at night the police—those stalwart county men—paid a tiptoe visit to his bedroom. They had no right to this privilege, but perhaps Harry thought it would be better for his brother if they did so. Why they went on tiptoe was that Harry told them his brother was in so weak a state that he woke up with the least noise. The police very kindly believed him, and paid their first and second visit on tiptoe.

When they went the third time, however, their bird had flown. Johnny had let himself down by the window, and, evading the vigilance of those who may have been on the look-out, escaped.

But he did not go without providing a substitute. Harry was to answer all inquiries, and waited the arrival of his watchers, lying in Johnny's bedroom. When the officers came he opened the door in his night apparel, and said, 'Hush! don't disturb him; poor Johnny ain't slept hardly for a week over this 'ero job.' But you can have a peep at him, only don't vol. I.

arms, throw forward his huge legs, twirl his thumbs, and pressing his chin on to his breast, strike up in a solemn tone the heroic ballad of 'Chevy Chase.'

As a ballad it is interesting; as a song badly sung, when the singer is half asleep, and his words come as indistinct, guttural sounds, it is not so exhilarating. The hum of bees may be monotonous, but it is nothing to dear old Venables' hum when he got to the manly declaration:

> 'I'll do the best that do I may While I have power to stand-While I have power to wield my sword I'll fight with heart and hand.'

And then, by way of catching his audience, the old man, who was to some extent a real artist, went on without taking his eyes off his watch-chain:

> 'And when I have no power to stand, Why, then, we'll all combine: And surely you'll be your pint stoup, And surely I'll be mine?'

One man saw through old Venables' scheme, which was to exhaust the patience of the company, and put an end to the foolish practice. The penalty for not singing or telling a story was that the defaulter should drink a large tumbler of salt and water.

Venables went on to the bitter end, repeating a verse here and there, and when he happened to miss a word muttering, 'No, no,' and fetching up the lagging portion, while all the company were waiting the end of their agony.

At last the battle of 'Chevy Chase' was over, and the widows came 'their husbands to bewail,' and old

Venables gave a solemn winding-up snore.

He sang it from beginning to end.

Next it came to the turn of another elderly gentleman of the name of Philpots; he also was a big man, and the moment he was called upon protested that he never sang a song in his life, and could not sing.

That made no difference, and could not be accepted as an excuse, for he had the alternative of drinking their healths in the salt and water. One performance would do equally as well as the other. I should have preferred that the whole had drunk it except myself.

'I don't know a story,' said he; 'how can I tell you a story?'

'Then drink!' they shouted. 'Give him the tumbler, and see that it's full—a brimmer for Philpots! Philpots for ever! Take your time, Philpots; perhaps you may change your mind.'

'Now look here,' said the victim, who was not without generalship, for he had been an officer in the volunteers in the time of the proposed Buonaparte invasion—'look here, I'll tell you what I'll do: if you'll give me a little time I'll oblige the company, and give you a song or tell you a devilish good story, whichever you like.'

They agreed that this was something like, and articles of peace were arranged. Philpots was to name his conditions.

'Take your time, Philpots. Philpots is a brick!'

'Well, then,' said he, 'the only stipulation I make is that Venables shall sing us "Chevy Chase" over again, and that will give me time to think of my song.'

There was a good deal of laughter at the trick, because there was not a man there who would not rather take his tumbler of salt and water than hear So that at this electioneering time the whole of that division was alive with theatricals and 'Every Man in his Humour,' which was exactly what Sir Edward wanted.

It was an ordeal for some of us to rehearse with the celebrities of the stage, but I need not say their good-humour and delight in showing how this and that should be done, and how this and that should be spoken, was, I am sure, reciprocated by all the amateurs in studying the corrections. Never were lessons more kindly given, or received with more pleasurable surprise. Some could scarcely conceive how they could so blunder in accent and emphasis. However, most things require learning, even Advocacy and Acting.

Elliot Yorke was stage-manager, and wrote a very excellent prologue. It must have been good, it was so heartily applauded, and the same may be said of all of us. I think Radcliffe studied the part of Old Knowell, while I played Young Knowell. Speaking after this interval of many years, I believe we were all word-perfect and pretty well conscious of our respective duties. Charles Dickens arranged our costumes, while Nathan supplied them. He arranged me well. I was quite satisfied with my Elizabethan ruff wound round my throat, but must confess that it was a little uncomfortable for the first three or four hours. My hose also gave me great satisfaction and some little annoyance.

I thought if I could walk into court without changing my costume, what a sensation I should create. What would Campbell or Jervis say to Young

Knowell?

My father, as I have mentioned, lived at Hitchin, about six miles from Knebworth, and my professional duties calling me so early to town, I arranged to sleep at Hitchin, and go to London by an early train in the morning. Sir Edward was much concerned at all this, and again wondered whether his library could not be appropriated. But the other was the only practicable plan, and was adopted. Every day I was in court by nine o'clock, worked till five, then went by rail to Stevenage and drove to Knebworth, three miles. That was the routine. It was then time to put on my Elizabethan ruff and hose. After the play I once more donned my private costume, and supped luxuriously at a round table, where all our splendid company were assembled.

After supper some of us used to retire to Douglas Jerrold's room in one of the towers, and there we spent a jovial evening, prolonging the entertainment until the small hours of the morning.

Then my fly, which had been waiting a long time, enabled me to reach Hitchin and get three hours' sleep. One night I took two of the audience, Charley Wright and Peter Cunningham, with me to Stevenage, where they had lodgings.

All this was hard work, but I was really strong, and in the best of health, so that I enjoyed the labour as well as the pleasure. One cannot now conceive how it was possible to go through so much without breaking down. I attribute it, however, to the attendant excitement, which braced me up, and have always found that excitement will enable you to exceed your normal strength.

I had very many theatrical friends, all of them vol. 1.

The prisoners were father, mother, and son, and the deceased was a poor servant girl who had been engaged to be married to another son of the male prisoner and his wife.

The unfortunate girl had left her service at Gravesend, and gone to this family on a visit. The prisoners, there could be no doubt, were open to the gravest suspicion, but how far each was concerned with the actual murder was uncertain, and possibly could never be proved.

The night before the trial the attorney who acted for the accused persons called on me, and asked this extraordinary question:

'Could you secure the acquittal of the father and the son if the woman will plead guilty?'

It is impossible to conceive the amount of resolution and self-sacrifice involved in this attempt to save the life of her husband and son. It was too startling a proposal to listen to. I could advise no client to plead guilty to wilful murder. It was so extraordinary a proposition, look at it from whatever point I might, that it was perfectly impossible to advise such a course. I asked him if the woman knew what she was doing, and that if she pleaded guilty certain death would follow.

'Oh yes,' said he; 'she is quite prepared.

'The murder,' I said, 'is one of the worst that can be conceived of—cruel and fiendish.'

He agreed, but persisted that she was perfectly willing to sacrifice her own life if her husband and son could be saved.

This woman, so full of feeling for her own family, had thought so little of that of others that she had

held down the poor servant girl in bed while her son strangled her.

I said, 'It is a serious question, and I must consider it before giving you a final answer.' I did so, and afterwards put the matter before the attorney in all its terrible consequences. 'If,' said I, 'she were to plead guilty, the great probability is that the jury would believe they were all guilty, and likely enough they are; and most certainly in that case they would all be hanged.' I therefore most strongly advised that the woman should stand her trial 'with the others,' which she did. In the end they all got off! the evidence not being sufficiently clear against any.

It was a strange mingling of evil and good in one breast; of diabolical cruelty and noble self-sacrifice.

I leave others to work out this problem of human nature.

tarried a good deal, as if reluctant to leave it. To and fro it passed in front of my nose as if it contained something I should like to smell, and at last moved away altogether. I was glad of that because it prevented my following the words of the hymn in my book, and, unfortunately, it was one of those harvest hymns I did not know by heart.

On our way home over the meadows, where the Grasshoppers were practising for the next day's sports, and were in high glee over this harvest festival, Mr. Goodman seemed fidgety; whether conscience-stricken for the Sabbath fraud he had practised upon me or not, I could not say; but at last he asked how I liked their little service.

I said it was quite large enough.

'You'— he paused—'you did not, I think — another pause—' contribute to our little gathering?'

'No,' I said, 'but it was not my fault; I lent you all I had. The fund, however, will not suffer in the least, and you have the satisfaction of having contributed the whole of our joint pocket-money. It does not matter who the giver is so long as the fund obtains it.'

My friends of the Garrick were interested in the story, especially my old friend J. L. Toole.

## CHAPTER XXIX

## MR. BARON MARTIN

In order to divert my friend Goodman's grief at my not contributing anything to the bag, I told him a little story as we went along over the peaceful meadows.
'Mr. Goodman,' said I, 'did I ever tell you how the

dear old Baron-I mean Baron Martin-once treated a prisoner?'

Goodman sighed, and answered 'No.'

'Well, he had tried a very old offender for some petty act of stealing, and was in considerable doubt as to what should be done with him. He did not like accumulated sentences, and thought every crime should carry its own punishment and no other. Moreover, he was sternly of opinion that a man or woman should not be punished twice for the same offence as they sometimes are, when they receive a heavier sentence for having been previously convicted. One Judge I knew some years ago sentenced a man who had been previously convicted to a lighter sentence on that account, because between that time and his second offence he must have striven hard, against adverse circumstances, to get an honest living.

"Look," says the Baron, "I hardly know what to

do with you, but you can tak' six months."

a 'fine old English gentleman, one of the olden time.' It was in a billiard-room at Leamington where I first met him, and as he was as indifferent a player as you could meet, he thought himself one of the best that ever handled a cue.

Such was Crockford's, the most celebrated place of the kind in its day. Indeed, it belonged to no kind; it was a *genus* of itself.

I neither played chicken hazard nor any other game, but enjoyed myself in seeing others play, and in picking up crumbs of knowledge which I made good use of in my profession.

The institution was not established for the benefit of science or literature, except that kind of literature which goes by the name of bookmaking. Its founder was a veritable dunce, but he was the eleverest of bookmakers, and made more by it in one night than all the authors of that day in their lives. One hundred thousand pounds in one night was not had evidence of his calculation of chances and his general knowledge of mankind.

To be a member of this club, wealth was not the only qualification, because in time you would lose it: you had to be well born or distinguished in some other way. The fishmonger knew a good salmon by its appearance; he had also a keen respect for the man who had ancestors and ancestral estates.

I ought not to omit to mention another celebrated bookie of that day, he was second only to Crockford himself, and was called 'The Librarian.' He was also known as 'Billy Sims.'

Billy lived in St. James's Street in a house which has long since been demolished, and thither people

resorted to enjoy the idle, witty, and often scandalous gossip of the time. It was as easy to lose your reputation there as your money at Crockford's, and far more difficult to keep it. The only really innocent conversation was when a man talked about himself.

From that popular gossiping establishment I heard a little story told by the son of Sydney Smith. His father had been sent for to see an old lady who was one of his most troublesome parishioners. She was dying. Sad to say, she had always been querulous and quarrelsome. It may have been constitutional, but whatever the cause, her husband had had an uncomfortable time with her. When Sydney Smith reached the house the old lady was dead, and the bereaved widower, a religious man in his way, and acquainted with Scripture, said:

- 'Ah, sir, you are too late; my poor dear wife has gone to Abraham's bosom.'
- 'Poor Abraham!' exclaimed Sydney, 'she'll tear his inside out.'

As all these things pass through my memory I recall another little incident with much satisfaction, because I was retained in the case. It was a scandalous fraud in connection with the gaming-table. An action was brought by a cheat against a gentleman who was said to have lost £20,000 on the cast of the dice. I was the counsel opposed to plaintiff, who was said to have cheated by means of loaded dice. I won the case, and it was generally believed that the action was the cause of the appointment of the 'Gaming Committee,' at which tribunal all the rascality of the gaming-tables was called to give evidence, and the witnesses did so in such a manner as to shock the conscience of the

you getting on, Kirby? A glass o' sherry, Kirby? it will do you good after your walk."

"I shall be glad to have one, Mr. Palmer, if you'll join me," I ses, "for a thought come to me about Sam the ostler."

"No," he says, "thankee, Kirby, I never drink sherry."

"No more don't I, Mr. Palmer," I ses; and then I asked him if it was agreeable to him to pay what he owed.

"No," says he, "Kirby, it is not quite agreeable at present—but—are you sure you won't take a glass of sherry, Kirby?"

"Quite, sir," I ses, "thankee all the same; I ain't no sherry drinker, Mr. Palmer."

"What will you take?" ses he; "you must have something."

" "No sir, thankee, my little account's all I wants."

"Well, if you won't take anything," ses he, "you may as well have a look round my little farm. I've got some nice little pigs to show you."

'Well, when we got to the sty, there was as nice a

farrer as you could see.

"I'll tell you what it is, Kirby," ses he: "you've been very good lettin' that little account of ours stand over, and I'll make you a present of one of these sucking-pigs, and my cook shall send him over all ready for roasting."

"No, thankee, sir," I ses again; "I ain't come for no pigs." I worn't goin' to have his damned sherry and

poisoned pig.'

Such was Kirby's story, and I have no doubt he was doomed to death by Palmer. Some time after, when I

was a Judge and at the Stafford Assizes, I was talking with Major Talford, the governor of the gaol where Palmer was hanged, and he told me that Palmer talked freely about his case while waiting execution; that he said all through the trial he expected an acquittal, and even after the Judge's terrible summing up, hope did not desert him. 'But,' he added, 'when the jury returned into court, and I saw the cocked-up nose of the perky little foreman, I knew it was a gooser with me.'

On the morning of the execution the path from the condemned cell to the gallows was wet and muddy, it having rained during the night, and Palmer minced along like a delicate schoolgirl, picking his way and avoiding the puddles. He was particularly anxious not to get his feet wet.

The prejudice against Palmer in Staffordshire was such that an Act of Parliament was passed to remove the trial from that county to the Central Criminal Court, an invasion of local venue never before known. I may also add that at that time there was no known test for the discovery of strychnine in the body, and Palmer was convicted entirely upon the symptoms preceding death, and especially the peculiar arching of the body after. It is now discoverable in every organ of the system.

During the trial, while the Lord Chief Justice Campbell was examining an expert doctor so as to test his evidence, which was in favour of Palmer, the prisoner wrote on a slip of paper which he handed down to his junior counsel, Johnny Gray, these words:

'I should like to give just such a dose to that old devil!' meaning Campbell.

He evidently had not been trained by the cunning old surveyor whose experience taught him to be moderate, and claim only twice as much as you ought to get.

In another claim, which was no less than £10,000, the jury gave £300. This was a state of things that had to be stopped, and it could only be accomplished at that time by counsel who appeared on behalf of the companies.

Sir Henry Hunt was one of the best of arbitrators, and it was difficult to deceive him. It took a clever expert to convince him that a piece of land whose actual value would be £100 was worth £20,000.

Sir Henry once, I have been told, paid me a compliment—of course when I was not present.

'Hawkins,' said he, 'is the very best advocate of the day, and, strange to say, his initials are the same as mine. You may turn them upside down and they will still stand on their legs' (H. H.).

Sir Henry was sometimes a witness, and as such always dangerous to the side against whom he was called, because he was a Judge of value and a man of honour.

One instance in which I took a somewhat novel course in demolishing a fictitious claim is, perhaps, worth while to relate, although so many years have passed since it occurred.

It was so far back as the time of the old Hungerford Market, which the railway company was taking for their present Charing Cross terminus. The question was as to the value of a business for the sale of medical appliances.

Mr. Lloyd, as usual, was for the business, while I

appeared for the company. My excellent friend proceeded on the good old lines of compensation advocacy with the same comfortable routine that one plays the old family rubber of threepenny points. I occasionally finessed, however, and put my opponent off his play. He held good hands, but if I had an occasionally bad one, I sometimes managed to save the odd trick.

Lloyd had expatiated on the value of the situation, the highroad between Waterloo Station and the Strand, immense traffic and grand frontage. To prove all this he called a multitude of witnesses, who kissed the same book and swore the same thing almost in the same words. But to his great surprise I did not cross-examine. Lloyd was bewildered, and said I had admitted the value by not cross-examining, and he should not call any more witnesses.

I then addressed the jury, and said: 'A multitude of witnesses may prove anything they like, but my friend has started with an entirely erroneous view of the situation. The compensation for disturbance of a business must depend a great deal on the nature of the business. If you can carry it on elsewhere with the same facility and profit, the compensation you are entitled to is very little. I will illustrate my meaning. Let us suppose that in this thoroughfare there is a good public-house—for such a business it would indeed be an excellent situation; you may easily imagine a couple of burly farmers coming up from Farnham or Windlesham to the Cattle Show, and, walking over the bridge, hot and thirsty. "Hallo!" says one; "I say, Jim, here's a nice public; what d'ye say to goin' in and havin' a glass o' bitter? It's a goodish pull over this 'ere bridge."

therefore without the name. Those who are interested in his memory will know to whom I allude, and will be grateful for this tribute to his character, however much it may have been sullied by his temporary absence of manly discretion.

He was charged with assaulting a young lady in a railway train between Aldershot and Waterloo. There was much of the melodramatic in the incidents, and much of the righteous indignation of the public before trial. There was judgment and condemnation in every virtuous mind. The assault alleged was doubtless of a most serious character, if proved. I say nothing of what might have been proved or not proved; but, speaking as an advocate, I will not hesitate to affirm that cross-examination may sometimes save one person's character without in the least affecting that of another.

But this was not to be. Whatever line of defence my experience might suggest, I was debarred by his express command from putting a single question.

I say to his honour that as a gentleman and a British officer, he preferred to take to himself the ruin of his own character, the forfeiture of his commission in the army, the loss of social status, and all that could make life worth having, to casting even a doubt on the lady's veracity in the witness-box.

My instructions crippled me, but I obeyed my client, of course, implicitly in the letter and the spirit, even though to some extent he may have entailed upon himself more ignominy and greater severity of punishment than I felt he deserved.

I saw him once afterwards walking in front of the Athenœum Club, and very shortly afterwards he died in Egypt, never having been reinstated in the British

army. I knew but little of him until this catastrophe occurred; but the manliness of his defence showed him to be naturally a man of honour, who, having been guilty of serious misconduct, did all he could to amend the wrong he had done; and so he had my sympathy in his sad misfortune and misery.

I have been asked to revive some of the old circuit histories. Alas! some are gone beyond recall, like the majority of the good fellows I knew in those old and happy days. Many, for whose memory I shall retain unbounded esteem till my last hour, have been mentioned in other pages of this book, but the juniors may most of them be represented by the able, jovial, witty, and genial Archer Ryland, who had been called to the Bar in Gray's Inn so far back as the year 1818. By diligence and hard work he got practice at the Essex Sessions and other places on circuit in criminal cases. He was kind to juniors, but naturally jealous of their success, for every success to a junior was a comparative loss to him, who had hard work to live. Yet though he was really 'hard up' he was cheerful at mess. One old fellow, called about 1815, wed to sing an old song about sitting on his mother's lap and other joys of childhood, especially his endeavouring to walk, the refrain being 'My mother dear, my gentle mother dear.' until Ryland would say, There he goes again, meandering about his mother." But the song went on norwithstanding, to the bitter end, and then he endeavoured to walk again.

Well, they were simply cappy these those street messes, full of fun, forthermore, and finder. The member was King, and faryour fines at the way his jurisdiction bean interesting the interesting

VOL. I.

mad with joy, and all wanted to shake hands with me at once. I dodged a good many, and by dint of waving his arms like a semaphore the chairman succeeded, not in restoring peace, but in somewhat moderating the noise.

I now had an opportunity of using my eyes, and there before me in one of the front seats was the redoubtable Cave—the great canvassing Cave—who instantly rose and gave me the most cordial welcome, trusted I was to be his future colleague in the House, and was most generous in his expressions of admiration for the people of Barnstaple, especially the voting portion of them, and hoped I should have a very pleasant time and never forget dear old Barnstaple. I said I was not likely to—nor am I.

Of course I had to address the assembled electors first after the introduction by the chairman, who, taking a long time to inform us what the electors wanted, I soon made up my mind what to say in order to convince them that they should have it. I gave them hopes of a great deal of legal reform and reduction of punishments, for I thought that would suit most of them best, and then at least assented to a satisfactory adjustment of all local requirements and improvements, as well as a determined redress of grievances which should on no account be longer delayed. ('Orkins for ever.')

I am sorry to say I did not preserve a copy of my speech, because I looked at it then as a model of what a candidate should promise and a borough wish it might get.

Then Cave stood up—an imposing man, with a good deal of presence and shirt-collar-who invited any

man—indeed, challenged anybody—in that hall to question him on any subject whatever.

The challenge was accepted, and up stood one of the rank and file of the electors—no doubt sent by the Howell Gwynne party—and with a voice that showed at least he meant to be heard, said:

'Mr. Cave, first and foremost of all, I should like to know how your missus is to-day?'

It was scarcely a political or public question, but nobody objected, and everybody roared with laughter, because it seemed at all political meetings Cave had started the fashion, which has been adopted by many candidates since that time, of referring to his wife! Cave always began by saying he could never go through this ordeal without the help and sympathy of his dear wife—his support and joy—at whose bidding and in pursuit of whose dreams he had come forward to win a seat in their uncorruptible borough, and to represent them—the most coveted honour of his life—in the House of Commons.

Of course this oratory, having a religious flavour, took with a very large body of the Barnstaple electors, and was always received with cheers as an encouragement to domestic felicity and faithfulness to connubial ties.

When this gentleman put the question, Cave answered as though it was asked in real earnest, and was cheered to the echo, not merely for his domestic felicity, but his cool contempt for any man who could so far forget connubial bliss as to sneer at it.

For a few days all went tolerably well, and then I was told that a very different kind of influence prevailed in the borough than that of religion or political

there was an individual amongst the crowd who

dressed as a gentleman's valet, and was undoubte a real Conservative; he stood on a tub and k making a noise with the intention of interrupting I was told he was a footman to one of my strong opponents, and on the principle of like master man, had a strong dislike to the 'lower order the crowd, it appeared, knew him well enough, a always regarded him as a 'gentleman.' As he stothere on the tub, conspicuous above the crowd (house not being far away), I pretended to hear the laring in that direction, and while he was waving arms in gesticulation, I said:

'John, I hear your master's bell; he has just ru for you!'

This was enough for John. If he had disappear into the tub he could not have been more instataneously invisible. Amidst the most uproarious cheers away he went, and molested me no more.

When my turn came to address the multitude spoke in no measured terms as to the conduct of the election, which I denounced as having been won by the most scandalous bribery and corruption.

All who were present as unbiassed spectators were sorry, and many of them expressed a wish that would return on a future day.

'Not,' said I, 'until the place has been purged the foul corruption with which it is tainted.'

I had resolved to leave by the mail train, and wa actually accompanied to the station by a crowd of some 2,000 people of all ranks, including the Rector, or Vica of the parish, who gave me godspeed on my journey

home.

This kind and sincere expression of goodwill and sympathy was worth all the boisterous cheers of welcome with which I had been received.

On the platform at the railway-station I had to make another little speech, and then I took my seat, not for Barnstaple, but London. As the train drew out of the station, the people clung to the carriage like bees, and although I had not even honeyed words to give them, they gave me a 'send off' with vociferous cheers and the most cordial good wishes.

Thus I bade good-bye to Barnstaple, never to return or be returned, and I can only say of that enlightened and independent constituency that, while seeking the interests of their country, they never neglected their own.

I need not say I learnt a great deal in that election which was of the greatest importance in the conduct of the Parliamentary petitions which were showered upon me.

I ought to add that, before I accepted the candidature of Barnstaple, a friend of mine came to me and said he had been making inquiries as to how the little borough of Totnes could be won. He had, I need not say, made those inquiries on my account, and informed me that the lowest figure required as an instalment to commence with was £7,000.

After this I had no more to do with electioneering in the sense of being a candidate, but a good deal to do with it in every other. I think I have before observed that one day Baron Martin asked me if I was coming to such and such an election petition.

'No,' I answered, 'no; I have put a prohibitory fee vol. 1.

So the case went on, costs piled on costs; information picked up, especially by means of interminable preliminary proceedings, until the impostor was left master of the situation, to the gratification of fools and the hopes of fanatics.

I was, however, allowed in the trial to cross-examine some witnesses. Amongst them was a man of the name of Baigent, the historian of the family, who knew more of the Tichbornes than they knew of themselves. My cross-examination of Baigent, which did more than anything else to destroy the Claimant's case, occupied ten days. He was the real Roger's old friend, and knew him to the time of his leaving England never to return. I drew from him the confession that he did not believe he was alive, but that he had encouraged the Dowager Lady Tichborne to believe that the Claimant was her son; and that her garden was lighted night after night with Chinese lamps in expectation of his coming.

I also obtained admissions from him that when he saw the Claimant at Alresford Station neither knew the other, although Baigent had never altered, in the least, as he alleged.

There was another witness allotted to me, and that was Carter, an old servant of Roger whilst he was in the Carabineers. This man supplied the plaintiff with information as to what occurred in the regiment while Roger belonged to it; but he only knew what was known to the whole regiment. He did not know private matters which took place at the officers' meso; it was upon these, I need not say, that my cross examination showed the Chainant to be an imposter. I had him there.'

As Parry and I were sitting one morning waiting for the Judges, I remarked on the subject of the counsel chosen for the prosecution: 'Suppose, Parry, you and I had been Solicitor and Attorney General, in the circumstances what should we have done?'

'Plunged the country into a bloody war before now, I dare say,' said Parry, elevating his eyebrows and wig at the same time.

I confess when I undertook the responsibility of this great trial I was not aware of the immense labour and responsibility it would involve; nor do I believe anyone had the smallest notion of the magnitude of the task.

Instead of the work diminishing as we proceeded, it increased day by day and week by week; one set of witnesses entailed the calling of another set. The case grew in difficulty and extent. It seemed absolutely endless and hopeless.

Within a few weeks of the start, a necessity arose for procuring the testimony of a witness from Australia, a matter of months; and the trial being a criminal one, the defendant was entitled to have the case for the prosecution concluded within a reasonable time. If we had no evidence it was to his advantage, and we had no right to detain him for a year while we were trying to obtain it.

However, the Australian evidence came in time. Numbers of witnesses had to be called who not only were not in our brief, but were never dreamed of. For instance, there was the Danish perjurer Louie, who swore he picked up the defendant at sea when the *Bella* went down.

he could not know and which never happened to him, but did happen to Arthur Orton. He knew Wapping well—every inch of it; Old Charles Orton, the father of Arthur; Charles Orton the brother, the sisters, the people who kept this shop and that; so that when on his return to England he went to the Wapping seat of his ancestors instead of Ashford: he asked all about them, and reminded them so faithfully of the little events of Arthur's boyhood, and resembled that person so much in the face that they said, 'Why, you are Arthur Orton yourself!' True, he paid some of them to swear he was not, but the impression remained.

Mr. Hawkins told the jury how he picked up his second-hand knowledge of the things he spoke about concerning the Tichbornes, for it was necessary to be able to answer a good many questions wherever he went, especially when he went into the witness-box.

There was an old black servant, quite black, who had been a valet in the Tichborne family. His name was Bogle, and the Claimant was told by the poor old dowager that if he could meet with him, Bogle could tell him a good many things about himself.

Bogle was an excellent diplomatist, and no sooner heard from Lady Tichborne that her son Roger was in Australia than the two began to look for one another, the one as black inside as the other was out. Bogle announced that he was the man before he saw him, on the mother's recommendation, and became and was to the end one of his principal supporters, so much so that 'Old Bogle' spread the Claimant's knowledge of the Tichbornes abroad, and, like everybody else, believed in him because he knew so much which he could not have known unless he had been the veritable Roger, all which Bogle had told him.

But in the interests of justice, 'Old Bogle' and Mr. Hawkins became acquainted, much to the advisatage of the latter, as he happened to meet logle in the witness-box, a place where Mr. Hawkins unravelled

the trickster's most subtle of designs. The advocate fairly liked 'Old Bogle,' as he called him, because, said he, Bogle having white hair, was so like a malacca cane with a silver knob, white at the top and black below.

Bogle had sworn that Roger had no tattoo marks when he left England. In point of fact he had. But Bogle had to fit him to the Claimant who had had tattoo marks of a very different kind from those of Roger's. The Claimant had removed his, and therefore was presented to the court without any. Mr. Hawkins was not content, like the Chancery barrister, with saying, 'You swear Roger had no tattoo marks? Very well, let me take that down,' but addressed him as follows:

'How do you know Roger had no tattoo marks?'

'I saw his arms on three occasions.' This was a

serious answer for Bogle.

'When and where, and under what circumstances? followed in quick succession, so that there was no escape, and the witness said that Roger had on a pair of black trousers tied round the waist, and his shirt buttoned up.

'The sleeves, how were they?'

'Loose.'

'How came you to see his naked arms?'

'He was rubbing one of them like this.'

'What did he rub for?'

'I thought he'd got a flea,'

'Did you see it?'

'No, of course.' 'Where was it?'

'Just there.'

'What time was this?'

'Ten minutes past eleven.'

'That's the first occasion; come to the second.'

'Just the same,' says Bogle. 'Same time?'

VOL. I.

"Did you smoke pipes or cigars?"

"Well, I remember we had some curious pipes."

"Another glass of champagne for Mr. Biddulph." (More laughter.) "What sort of pipes?" asks the

Claimant; "death's-head pipes?" 'The magistrate remembered, opened his eyes, and lifted his hands. Thus the amiable magistrate was convinced, although he said, candidly enough, "I did not recognise him by his features, walk, voice, or twitch in his eye, but I was struck with his recollection of having met me at Bath." The death's head

pipes settled him. 'As for Miss C-, the governess, she was of a different order from Mr. Biddulph. She told us she had listened to the defendant when he solemnly swore that he had seduced her former pupil, that he had stood in the dock for horse-stealing, and had been the associate of highwaymen and bushrangers, and had made a will for the purpose of fraud; and yet this woman took him by the hand, and was not ashamed of his companionship. His counsel described her as a ministering angel. Heaven defend me from ministering angels if Miss —— is one!'

The Claimant, while in Australia, being asked what kind of lady his mother (the downger Lady Tichborne) was, answered: 'Oh, a very stout lady; and that is the reason I am so fond of Mrs. Butts, of the Metropolitan Hotel, she being a tall, stout, and buxon woman; and like Mrs. Mina Jury (of Wapping).

because she was like my mother.'

A witness of the name of Coyne was called to give evidence of the recognition of the Claimant by the mother in Paris, and the solicitor said to Coyne: You see how she recognises him.'

'Yes,' said Coyne; 'he's lucky.' There was no cross-examination, and Mr. Hawkit. said to the jury: 'They need not cross-examine unle they like; it's a free country. They may leave this

man's account unquestioned if they like, but it it is a true account, what do you say to the recognition! Louie, the Dane, said that while the Claimant was our

board his ship he amused himself by picking oakum and reading 'The Garden of the Soul.' There were several Ospreys spoken to as having

picked up the Claimant after the wreck of the Billie. and the defendant had not the least idea which one

was the best to carry him safely into harbour. The defendant's counsel, notwithstanding, had told the just that he, Hawkins, had not ventured to contradict our or other of the stories of the wreck, and had not called

the captain of the Osprey which had picked him up. Comment on such a proposition in advocacy would be ridiculous. Mr. Hawkins dealt with it by an example. which the reader will remember as having occurred in his early days:

"We don't know which Osprey you mean." "Take any one," says the defendant's counsel, reminding me of the defence of a man charged with stealing a duck, and having given seven different accounts as to how he became possessed of it, his counsel was at last asked "Oh, never mind which," he which he relied on. answered; "I shall be much obliged if the jury will adopt any one of them."

'Roger was said by the defendant's counsel to have been painfully thin; no one can say the Claimant is painfully thin, nor can anyone but his own counsel pretend that he has that "dreamy and pensive" look in his blue eyes which he spoke of, while his feet are at least two inches longer than Roger's.

'You remember, gentlemen, the touching words in which the defendant's counsel spoke of Bogle: "He is one of those negroes," said he, "described by the author of 'Paul and Virginia,' who are faithful to the death, true as gold itself. If ever a witness of truth came into the box, that witness was Bogle." Mr. Hawkins answered him in these words:

Claimant. He was, from the first, one of his most enthusiastic supporters.

'Well,' he said, 'and how are you getting on to-day? How are we getting on, eh?'
'Getting on,' growled the Claimant; 'he's been going on at a pretty rate, and if he goes on much longer I shall begin to think I am Arthur Orton, after all.

I will conclude this chapter with the following reminiscences of the trial by Lord Brampton himself:

I had a great deal to put up with from day to day in many ways during this prolonged investigation. The Lord Chief Justice, Cockburn, although good, was a little impatient, and hard to please at times.

My opponent sought day by day some cause of quarrel with me. At times he was most insulting, and grew almost hourly worse, until I was compelled, in order to stop his insults, to declare openly that I would never speak to him again on this side the grave, and I never did. My life was made miserable, and what ought to have been a quiet and orderly performance was rendered a continual scene of bickering and conflict, too often about the most trifling matters.

With everyone else I got on happily and agreeably. my juniors loyally doing their very utmost to render

me every assistance and lighten my burden.

Even the Claimant himself not only gave me no offence from first to last, but was at times in his manner very amusing, and preserved his natural good temper admirably, considering what he had at stake on the issue of the trial, and remembering also that that issue devolved mainly upon my own personal exertions.